***Lab on a Chip***

*Lab on a Chip* reviews provide an authoritative and in-depth understanding of important topics in the chemical and biological sciences, relating to miniaturisation. They give a very high quality state-of-the-art account of the subject matter and a balanced assessment of the current primary literature. The implications of recent developments for the wider scientific community are emphasised and authors should aim to stimulate progress in the field. For further information on the scope and standards of *Lab on a Chip* please see our [information page](http://www.rsc.org/journals-books-databases/about-journals/lab-on-a-chip/).

Reviews must be:

* **Accessible:** Of general interest and enticing to the journal’s wide, community-spanning readership.
* **Appealing:** A timely account which is needed and which genuinely adds to the existing literature. The review must attempt to critique the current state of the field and articulate why such a review is needed. Further, the review must attempt to outline/recommend future directions in the field based on the current developments in the field.
* **Carefully referenced:** References should be selected to give a balanced view of the field.
* **Jargon free:** Specialist terms and symbols should be defined and fundamental ideas simply explained.

**PROPOSED TITLE:** (Please ensure title is succinct, clear and search engine optimised)

**AUTHOR(S):** List all authors and highlight corresponding author

**EMAIL:** Please give e-mails of relevant (contact) authors

**SYNOPSIS:**

1) Please comment on the current importance of the field

2) What are the implications for the wider scientific community?

3) To which communities will your article appeal?

4) Please select the most relevant article type:

* Critical review
* Tutorial review
* Perspective

[Definitions of article types](http://www.rsc.org/journals-books-databases/about-journals/lab-on-a-chip/?id=8328#undefined) are available on our website: <http://www.rsc.li/loc>

5) Please provide section headings along with a brief discussion of each section and associated key references (including article title, author, journal and year)

6) Please list and comment on any other reviews published on a similar topic, justifying why there is room for another review

7) Please provide a brief CV, including a list of other reviews published in the last three years bythe lead author and the three most relevant research papers (by the authors) to the topic of the proposed review and discuss why you’re qualified to review the field you propose

8) When do you propose to submit the review?

9) Please email your completed Review Proposal form to loc-rsc@rsc.org

Please note that acceptance of a proposal does not guarantee final publication: the manuscript will be subject to initial assessment and full peer review and will have to satisfy the Editorial demands of the journal before acceptance.