

Royal Society of Chemistry
Guidelines to Referees
Chemical Communications
www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Chemical Communications is intended as a forum for preliminary accounts of original and significant work, in any area of chemistry that is likely to prove of wide general appeal or exceptional specialist interest. The 2008 impact factor for *Chemical Communications* is 5.340.

Only a fraction of research work warrants publication in *Chemical Communications*, our current rejection rate is ~70 %, and strict refereeing standards should be applied. Acceptance should only be recommended if in your opinion the content of the paper is of such urgency or impact that rapid publication will be advantageous to the progress of chemical research.

Papers containing results considered as routine, unnecessarily fragmented or scientifically unacceptable should not be recommended for publication.

When preparing your report, please:

- comment on the originality, importance, impact and scientific reliability of the work;
- state unequivocally whether you would like to see the paper accepted or rejected and give detailed comments (with references, as appropriate) that will both help the Editor to make a decision on the paper and the authors to improve it;
- do not make comments about the manuscript or authors which may cause offence.

Supporting information – Guidelines expanded in 2007

Experimental information must be provided to enable other researchers to reproduce the work accurately.

Characterisation of new compounds

It is the responsibility of authors to provide fully convincing evidence for the homogeneity, purity and identity of all compounds they claim as new. This evidence is required to establish that the properties and constants reported are those of the compound(s) with the new structure(s) claimed. Please assess, as a whole, the evidence presented in support of the claims made by the authors and comment on whether adequate supporting information has been provided to address the above. Further details on the requirements for characterisation criteria can be found at <http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/ReSource/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/CC/sect3.asp>

For confidentiality reasons, please:

- treat the work and the report you prepare as confidential; the work may not be retained (in any form), disclosed, used or cited prior to publication; if you need to consult colleagues to help with the review, please inform them that the manuscript is confidential, and inform the Editor;
- do not communicate directly with authors; NB your anonymity as a referee will be strictly preserved from the authors.

Please inform the Editor if:

- there is a conflict of interest;
- there is a significant part of the work which you are not able to referee with confidence;
- if the work, or a significant part of the work, has previously been published, including online publication (e.g. on a preprint server/open access server);
- you believe the work, or a significant part of the work, is currently submitted elsewhere;
- the work represents part of an unduly fragmented investigation.

When submitting your report, please:

- provide your report rapidly and within the specified deadline, or inform the Editor immediately if you cannot do so;
- submit your report at www.rsc.org/referees

The online service for RSC authors and referees can be found at www.rsc.org/ReSource

For further details, see the RSC's Refereeing Procedure and Policy:

<http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/ReSource/RefereeGuidelines/RefereeingProcedureAndPolicy/index.asp>

We are extremely grateful for the time and effort that referees give for this task and should you have any queries or require any further guidance please contact the *ChemComm* Editorial Staff using the details below:

Chemical Communications, The Royal Society of Chemistry,
Thomas Graham House, Science Park, Cambridge, CB4 0WF, UK
Tel +44 (0)1223 420066, Fax: +44 (0)1223 420247, Email: ChemComm@rsc.org