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ABSTRACT
This paper reports an electrochemical measurement system with a high-speed camera for observation of molecular transport phenomena at a water-oil (W/O) interface during microfluidic droplet formation. For demonstration of the system, currents corresponding to the transport of electrolyte ions to form the electrical double layer at the liquid interface were measured. Additionally, the high-speed camera observation revealed charge-effect on droplet stability during and/or just after the formation. This measurement system is expected to facilitate a full understanding of the droplet formation process.
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INTRODUCTION
Microdroplets formed in a microchannel were investigated intensively because of their great potential in chemical and biochemical analysis [1]. By taking the advantage of the monodispersity and high controllability of the droplets, many applications such as single cell analysis and micromixer [2] have been reported.

The mechanism of droplet formation has been often investigated by high-speed camera observation and discussed in terms of hydrodynamics. It was found that the droplet size correlates with Capillary number, the ratio of viscous force and interfacial tension. However, the approach cannot explain the size dependence on the surfactant species. The surfactant characteristics at the water-oil (W/O) interface have not been evaluated, despite knowing their effect on the droplet formation.

In order to analyze the characteristics at the interface, we focused on the polarography technique by using two immiscible electrolyte solutions [3]. Here, we propose an electrochemical measurement system to reveal the surfactant’s and other electrolytes’ characteristics during droplet formation (Figure 1). As an example of kinetic analysis at an interface, electrolyte migration phenomena creating an electrical double layer at the W/O interface were measured.

![Figure 1. Electrochemical measurement system with a high-speed camera for observation of molecular transport phenomena at a water-oil (W/O) interface during microfluidic droplet formation. As an example of molecular kinetics affecting an interfacial property during droplet formation, the ion transport phenomena creating an electrical double layer at the W/O interface was measured.](image)

EXPERIMENTAL
Figure 2 illustrates the measurement setup. The glass microdevice was fabricated by a two-step photographic wet etching technique. The microchannel with T-junction was hydrophobized with octadecyltrichlorosilane. Two Ag/AgCl electrodes were inserted to the microchannel by using connectors attached on a glass surface. A continuous organic phase, 1,2-dichloroethane containing 0.02 M Tetrabutylammonium tetraphenylborate (TBA\(^{+}\)TPB\(^{-}\)), was introduced into the 220-µm-wide and 40-µm-deep main channel. A liquid reservoir for 0.02 M tetrabutylammonium chloride (TBA\(^{+}\)Cl\(^{-}\)) aqueous solution with a Ag/AgCl electrode was connected to the main channel, which act as TBA\(^{+}\)-sensitive electrode for the organic phase. From the 60-µm-wide and 6-µm-deep side shallow channel, aqueous solution of NaCl was introduced, where the aqueous phase contacted with another Ag/AgCl electrode.
Methode for the electrochemical measurement. 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) solution of Tetrabutylammonium tetrakisphenylborate (TBATPB) and NaCl aqueous solution were used as the continuous and dispersed phases, respectively. Aqueous solution of tetrabutylammonium chloride (TBACl) was used to form TBA\(^+\)-ion selective electrode for the organic phase.

RESULTS & DISUSSIONS

The high-speed camera observation shows that the droplet formation process depends on the applied potential (Figure 3). At a potential of 0.35 V, when a new droplet was generated from the tip of the dispersed phase, the new droplet repels the previously-generated droplet (Figure 3a). In contrast, when the potential is set to 0.19 V, the potential of zero charge, the tip of the dispersed phase connects the previously-generated droplet near the junction, or the new droplet fuses with it immediately, and, then, the volume of the droplet increases (Figure 3b). Because interfacial tensions under the above two conditions were almost the same, the transient amount of interfacial charge might be govern the phenomenon.

![Figure 3. Micrographs of the droplet formation captured by high-speed camera. (a) The new droplet repels the previously-generated droplet (0.40V). (b) The tip of the dispersed phase connects and fuses with the previously-generated droplet near the junction, and the volume of the droplet increases.](image)

Then, the current corresponding to charging of the electrical double layer via electrolyte migration was measured with a time-resolution of 20 µs (Figure 4). The periodic variation of 800 Hz corresponding to single droplet formation was observed at all the potentials except 0.19 V. The current increase and drop in the period correspond to the droplet expansion and its detachment, respectively. The charge of detached droplet at 0.35 V accumulated during its formation (the time integral of the current) was calculated as 20 pC while that at 0.19 V was negligibly small. The difference can be considered to represent an electrical double layer around a droplet, which generates a repulsive force against the other droplet to prevent fusion.
Figure 4. Results of amperometric measurement. The period of the variation of 800 Hz corresponds to single droplet formation. The charge at 0.35 V was calculated as 20 pC while that at 0.19 V was negligibly small. Therefore the difference (Figure 3) can be considered to represent the charge amount at an electrical double layer around a droplet.

CONCLUSION

Electrochemical measurement system for droplet formation was developed. By using this system, we measured the amount of charge at the interface of a single droplet as an example of the molecular behavior that affects droplet stability during its formation. We expected that this system will enable to evaluate the effect of the molecular kinetics at the interface on the droplet formation process.
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