

INTRODUCTION

'Green Chemistry' is essentially a way of thinking rather than a new branch of chemistry and is about utilising a set of principles that seek to reduce the environmental impact of chemical processes and products. This Note is designed to provide information and general guidance for members of the RSC, and to raise awareness of the principles and benefits of Green Chemistry. It is not intended to be a full or definitive guide and readers are urged to obtain more detailed information and/or expert advice if this is required.

The RSC recognises that there can be both real and perceived barriers to the greater adoption of Green Chemistry. However we believe that overall it should represent a major step change in the practice of chemistry. The promotion of 'Green Chemistry' is one of the most important ways in which chemistry and chemists can contribute to sustainable development. A companion EHSC Note on 'Sustainable Development and the Professional Chemist' explores the role of chemists in relation to sustainable development in more detail.

WHAT IS GREEN CHEMISTRY?

Society has many concerns about 'chemicals' and their uses. For example safety issues such as fire and explosion, health effects such as carcinogenicity and endocrine disruption, and environmental impacts such as global warming and impacts on wildlife. The true extent of such risks is the subject of debate, and it can be argued that too little attention is given to the corresponding benefits that chemicals bring to society. Nonetheless society's growing concern for the environment and pressures for greater control of chemicals in the environment have now coalesced in the arena of 'Sustainable Development'. 'Green Chemistry' is a major component in the way that chemistry as well as the chemical and related industries, have led and responded to the sustainable development debate.

'Green Chemistry' is essentially a way of thinking rather than a new branch of chemistry. It involves pulling together tools, techniques and technologies that can help chemists and chemical engineers in research, development and production to develop more eco-friendly and efficient products and processes, which may also have significant financial benefits. 'Green Chemistry' aims to improve the way that chemicals are both produced and used in chemical processes in order to reduce any impact on man and the environment. It is not just about industrial production. The principles involved apply equally to the use of chemicals in for example laboratories and education.

This Note was produced by a Working Party of the Environment, Health and Safety Committee [EHSC] of the Royal Society of Chemistry

The RSC is a registered Charity. Its Royal Charter obliges it to serve the public interest by acting in an independent advisory capacity. In order to meet this obligation the members of the EHSC are drawn from a wide range of backgrounds and serve on the committee as individual experts and not as representatives of their employer.

The EHSC welcomes comments on this Note. Please send them to the committee secretary :

**Bob Hazell
Health, Safety and
Environment Officer
Royal Society of Chemistry
Burlington House
Piccadilly
London
W1J 0BA**

**Tel: 020 7440 3337
Fax: 020 7437 8883
Email: hazellr@rsc.org**

EHSC Notes are revised from time to time. The latest versions are available on the RSC's web site at www.rsc.org. Notes may be freely reproduced but we ask that the source be acknowledged.

You may also wish to visit the RSC's chemical science network at www.chemsoc.org

VERSION : 5 April 02

The term 'Green Chemistry' was first coined by the US Environmental Protection Agency. Their early definition of the subject is still widely quoted: "*Green Chemistry* is the utilisation of a set of principles that reduces or eliminates the use or generation of hazardous substances in the design, manufacture and application of chemical products". However in practice 'Green Chemistry' is nowadays taken to cover a much broader range of issues than the definition suggests. As well as using and producing 'better' chemicals with less waste, 'Green Chemistry' also involves reducing other associated environmental impacts, in particular reducing the amount of energy used in chemical processes.

In practice 'Green Chemistry' embraces concepts such as:

- Atom efficiency - designing processes to maximise the amount of raw material that is converted into the product
- Energy conservation – designing more energy efficient processes
- Waste minimisation - recognising that the best form of waste disposal is not to create waste in the first place.
- Substitution - using safer, more environmentally benign raw materials and solvents or solvent free processes.

Reducing materials and energy use includes both raw materials and materials of construction. This clearly involves chemical engineering concepts such as process intensification. Waste is increasingly expensive to dispose of and is a major source of pollution arising from the chemical industry. Maximising atom efficiency is linked to waste reduction. This means designing chemical reactions so that as many atoms of starting material as possible end up in useful product. Although this seems common sense, sadly this approach is often not pursued. By improving resource efficiency 'Green Chemistry' should provide financial benefits from lower material usage, energy and capital expenditure costs in addition to the environmental benefits.

'Green Chemistry' also aims to reduce hazards and the risk to people as well as to the environment. This involves concepts such as inherently safe design of reactions and substitution of hazardous chemicals or those that pose a high risk. The RSC has produced a companion EHSC Note on 'Inherently Safer Chemical Processes'. The issue of substitution to reduce hazard and/or risk is a complicated one and an RSC Policy Statement on 'Risk-based Substitution in Chemical Processes', explores this issue in more detail.

It will be evident from the above that as with other sustainable development issues 'Green Chemistry' crosses traditional discipline boundaries. It involves 'joined up thinking' for example between chemistry, chemical engineering and other disciplines. If industry is to develop the cleaner processes now being demanded by the law and the public it will need to establish multi-disciplinary teams at the conceptual stage to pursue the 'Green Chemistry' approach.

In addition, in common with most 'sustainable development' issues, 'Green Chemistry' often involves compromises and trade offs, for example reducing the energy used in a particular process might mean using slightly more toxic substances.

WHAT IS THE ROLE OF CHEMISTS IN 'GREEN CHEMISTRY'?

Chemists can use their knowledge of 'Green Chemistry' and its benefits to justify research into 'cleaner and greener' processes. In most cases it is readily demonstrable that a 'Green Chemistry' approach involving reduction of waste, materials and energy, will also lead to cost reductions and that this in turn will have a positive effect on profitability. In academia initiatives such as the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research

Council [EPSRC] Clean Technology Programme and the Government's Sustainable Technology Initiative have already encouraged professionals to enter this area.

Although many of the technologies and tools required to make chemical manufacturing more sustainable are available, and indeed industry is already making significant progress, much more can be achieved. In order to move forward chemists need to understand, and overcome, the barriers, both real and perceived, that exist to innovation in this area. In some cases a culture change may be required before the potential financial benefits are fully appreciated. Professional chemists also have a major role in helping to encourage all interested parties, including industry, customers, pressure groups, governments, educationalists and researchers, to co-operate to ensure a cleaner and more sustainable future.

Barriers to introducing 'Green Chemistry' include:

- Absence of a 'level playing field', i.e. the lack of global harmonisation on regulation and environmental policy
- Rigid notification and authorisation processes which hinder new product and novel process development
- The frequent need for speed and certainty of outcome caused by short-term planning horizons
- Additional cost: although 'Green Chemistry' is often financially beneficial this is not invariably the case
- Unsophisticated accounting practices, which do not encompass total costs
- The difficulty of obtaining research and development funding
- Insufficient guidance on best practice for Green Chemistry
- The low profile of cleaner more sustainable chemistry in school and university teaching
- A culture geared to looking at the product itself rather than the overall process and life cycle.

FUTURE PROGRESS

If chemists are to fully embrace all facets of sustainable chemical manufacturing a paradigm shift in the way chemistry and engineering are taught and practised is required. More attention needs to be given to the whole manufacturing process and life cycle of both products and waste. A companion EHSC Note on Life Cycle Assessment [LCA] explores some of these issues in more detail.

Chemists need to co-operate with other disciplines. In particular it is vital that chemists and engineers work together to develop new sustainable processes. Only by combining the best ideas from both areas will the required technological leaps be made.

Concepts such as atom economy, energy efficient reactions, eco-friendly solvents and renewable feedstocks should be more prominent in basic chemistry education, and there should be less emphasis on the very narrow concept of immediate product yield.

READING LIST

- EHSC Note 'Sustainable Development and the Professional Chemist', Environment, Health and Safety Committee, RSC, 2000
- EHSC Note 'Life Cycle Assessment', Environment, Health and Safety Committee, RSC, 1998
- EHSC Note 'Inherently Safer Chemical Processes', Environment, Health and Safety Committee, RSC, 2000
- RSC Position Statement on: 'Risk-based Substitution in Chemical Processes', Environment, Health and Safety Committee, RSC, 2000

- 'Green Chemistry, a resource outlining areas for the teaching of green and environmental chemistry and sustainable development for 11 to 19 year old students', Dorothy Warren, RSC, 2001, ISBN 0854049495
- The Green Chemistry Network website : www.chemsoc.org/gcn
- 'Green Chemistry, Theory and Practice', P Anastas & T Williamson, OUP, 1998
- 'Green Chemistry, Challenges and Opportunities', J H Clark, Green Chemistry, 1999 1, 1-8
- 'Green Chemistry', M Lancaster, Education in Chemistry, 2000, 37, 2, 40-46
- 'Real World Cases in Green Chemistry', M C Cann & M E Connelly, American Chemical Society, 2001
- 'Clean Technology for the Manufacture of Speciality Chemicals', Eds W Hoyle & M Lancaster, RSC, 2001

*This Note was prepared by a Working Party of the RSC Environment, Health and Safety Committee [EHSC].
The members of the Working Party were :*

Mr T G R Farthing

Dr C L Grundy

Mr R W Hazell [Secretary]

Dr D H Lohmann

Dr G V McHattie [Co-chairman]

Mr D M Sanderson

Mr P Whitehead

Mr H G E Wilson

Dr I Wrightson [Co-chairman]

The Working Party gratefully acknowledges the assistance of Dr M Lancaster, Profesor C L Raston, Dr C Braddock, and Dr P Ravenscroft in the preparation of this Note. However the views expressed remain the responsibility of the RSC Environment, Health and Safety Committee.

This Note may be freely reproduced but we ask that the source is acknowledged.

This Note is also available on the RSC: <http://www.rsc.org/lap/rsccom/ehsc/ehscnotes.htm>

The Environment, Health and Safety Committee welcomes comments on this Note. They should be sent to the Committee Secretary:

Mr R W Hazell

Health, Safety and Environment Officer

The Royal Society of Chemistry

Burlington House

Piccadilly

London W1J 0BA

Direct Tel : 0207 440 3337

Switchboard : 0207 437 8656

Fax : 0207 437 8883

E-mail : hazellr@rsc.org

Web Address : <http://www.rsc.org> or <http://www.chemsoc.org>