**Materials and methods**

High-purity HEPES, indole 3-carboxyaldehyde and N-(1-naphthyl)ethylenediamine dihydrochloride were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (India). NaN₃ was purchased from Merck (India). Solvents used were of spectroscopic grade. Other chemicals were of analytical reagent grade and had been used without further purification except when specified. Mili-Q Milipore® 18.2 MΩ cm⁻¹ water was used throughout all the experiments. A JASCO (model V-570) UV–vis. spectrophotometer was used for recording absorption spectra. FTIR spectra were recorded on a JASCO FTIR spectrophotometer (model: FTIR-H20). Mass spectra were performed on a QTOF Micro YA 263 mass spectrometer in ES positive mode. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded using Bruker Advance 600 (600MHz) in DMSO-d₆. Elemental analysis was performed using Perkin Elmer CHN-Analyzer with first 2000-Analysis kit. The steady-state fluorescence spectra were recorded with a Hitachi F-4500 spectrofluorimeter. pH measurements were performed with Systronics digital pH meter (model 335). All spectra were recorded at room temperature except fluorescence microscope images. All the fluorescence and absorption spectra were recorded after 5 minutes of mixing of azide ion with L (Fig. S21).

**Synthesis of N-(2-(1H-indol-3-yl-)methyleneamino)ethyl)naphthalene-1-amine (L)**

An ethanol solution of indole 3-carboxyaldehyde (560 mg, 3.858 mmol) was added drop wise under stirring condition to a solution (20 mL in ethanol) of N-(1-naphthyl)ethylenediamine dihydrochloride (1g, 3.858 mmol) in presence of NaHCO₃ (648 mg, 7.716 mmol) (Scheme 1). Stirring was continued for further 30 minutes followed by reflux for 8 h. Upon removal of NaHCO₃, a brown color solution was obtained which on slow evaporation of the solvent, yielded a brown residue. The residue was recrystallized from ethanol. Yield 89 %, M. P. 153°C (± 4°C);
$^1$HNMR (600MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) (Fig. S14, ESI): 3.535 (2H, q, J = 6.6 Hz); 3.861 (2H, t, J = 6.0 Hz); 6.2 (1H, t, J = 5.4 Hz); 6.65 (1H, d, J = 7.2 Hz); 7.11 (2H, m, J = 7.2 Hz); 7.18 (1H, t, J = 1.2 Hz); 7.307(2H, m, J = 7.8 Hz); 7.756 (2H, m, J = 7.2 Hz); 8.128 (2H, d, J = 10.2 Hz); 8.269 (1H, d, J = 7.8) ;8.285 (1H, t, J = 9.6); 8.522 (1H, s ); 11.510 (1H, s); $^{13}$CNMR (300MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) (Fig. S20, ESI): 40.85, 59.20, 103.39, 111.90, 114.57, 115.60, 120.44, 121.41, 121.76, 122.26, 123.13, 124.11, 125.14, 125.68, 126.99, 128.13, 131.17, 134.17, 137.23, 144.12, 157.06. QTOF – MS ES$^+$ (Fig. S10, ESI): [M + Na]$^+$ = 336.20 ; elemental analysis data as calculated for C$_{21}$H$_{19}$N$_3$ (%): C, 80.48; H, 6.11; N, 13.41. Found (%): C, 80.67; H, 6.18; N, 13.34. FTIR (cm$^{-1}$) (Fig. S11, ESI): $\nu$(NH) 3399.92, $\nu$(C=N) 1635.34.

**Synthesis of [L- N$_3$-H$_2$O] assembly**

10 mL ethanolic solution of NaN$_3$ (0.0415 g, 0.638 mmol) was added slowly to a magnetically stirred solution (10 mL) of L (0.2 g, 0.638 mmol) in ethanol. Stirring was continued for 7 h. On slow evaporation of the solvent, a brown color compound was obtained. The compound was collected from ethanol. QTOF –MS ES$^+$ (Fig.S12, ESI): [M +Na]$^+$ = 397.30. FTIR (cm$^{-1}$) (Fig.S13, ESI): $\nu$(NH) 3437.49, $\nu$(OH), 3437.49; $\nu$(C=N), 1638.23; $\nu$(-N$_3$), 2046.1, 2141.

**Cell imaging studies**

**Imaging System**

The imaging system was comprised of an inverted fluorescence microscope (Leica DM 1000 LED), digital compact camera (Leica DFC 420C), and an image processor (Leica Application Suite v3.3.0). The microscope was equipped with a mercury 50 watt lamp.

**Preparation and imaging of cells**

A fungal strain (ASF-11) was isolated from Arctic glacier sample and grown in potato dextrose broth medium supplemented with 0.1 % yeast extract at 10 °C for three days. After three days
incubation, 1 mL culture broth containing the mycelium of the test strain was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 minutes. The supernatant was discarded and the mycellial pellet was washed twice in normal saline and then incubated in a solution of NaN₃ (200 µM) for 1h at 10 °C. After incubation, they were again washed in normal saline and then photographed using UV filter under the fluorescence microscope in presence and absence of the probe L.

Pollen grains were obtained from freshly collected mature buds of *Allamanda puberula* (Apocynaceae), a common ornamental plant with bell shaped bright yellow flower by crashing stamens on a sterile petriplate and suspending them in normal saline. After crashing the stamina debrishes were removed by filtering through a thin layer of non absorbant cotton and then the suspended pollens were collected by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 5 minutes. The pollen pellet was then washed twice in normal saline and then incubated in a solution of NaN₃ (200 µM) for 1 h at ambient temperature. After incubation they were again washed in normal saline as mentioned above and then photographed under the fluorescence microscope using UV filter in presence and absence of L.

*Candida albicans* cells (IMTECH No. 3018) from exponentially growing culture in yeast extract glucose broth medium (pH 6.0, incubation temperature, 37°C) were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 minutes, washed twice with 0.1 M HEPES buffer (pH 7.4). The cells were then treated with L (10 µM) for 30 minutes in 0.1 M HEPES buffer (pH 7.4) containing 0.01 % Triton X100 as permeability enhancing agent. After incubation, the cells were washed with HEPES buffer and incubated with NaN₃ (200 µM) for 30 minutes. Cells thus obtained were mounted on grease free glass slide and observed under the fluorescence microscope having UV filter. Cells incubated with L but without N₃⁻ were used as control.
A Gram positive bacterial strain was isolated from a tea garden soil and later identified as 
*Bacillus sp.* on the basis of 16S rDNA sequence homology and physio-biochemical
characteristics. The bacteria isolate was grown in nutrient broth (pH 7.00 at 37 °C for 24 h. After
24 h incubation 1 mL culture broth containing the bacterial cells was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for
5 minutes, and the supernatant was discarded. The cell pellet was washed twice in normal saline
and then incubated in a solution of NaN₃ (200 µM) for 1 h at 37 °C. After incubation they were
again washed in normal saline as mentioned above and then photographed under a fluorescence
microscope using UV filter in presence and absence of L. Both N₃⁻ treated and untreated cells
were stained with L and observed under fluorescence microscope.

**Calculation of Quantum Yield**

Fluorescence quantum yields (Φ) were estimated by integrating the area under the fluorescence
curves using the equation,

\[
\Phi_{\text{sample}} = \frac{\text{OD}_{\text{standard}} \times A_{\text{sample}}}{\text{OD}_{\text{sample}} \times A_{\text{standard}}} \times \Phi_{\text{standard}}
\]

where A was the area under the fluorescence spectral curve and OD was optical density of the
compound at the excitation wavelength. Anthracene was used as quantum yield standard
(quantum yield is 0.27 in ethanol)¹ for measuring the quantum yields of ligand(L) and its L-N₃-
H₂O complex.
**Fig. S1.** Effect of pH on the fluorescence intensity of $\text{L}$ (10 $\mu$M) and $[\text{L} - \text{N}_3^-]$ system in ethanol – H$_2$O (4/1, v/v) solution. $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 330$ nm and $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 428$ nm.

**Fig. S2.** Fluorescence spectra of $\text{L}$ (10 $\mu$M) in ethanol/water (4/1, v/v, HEPES buffer, 0.1 M, pH 7.4) in presence of 7000 $\mu$M $\text{N}_3^-$, 700 equivalent $\text{NO}_3^-$, $\text{NO}_2^-$, $\text{SCN}^-$, $\text{NCO}^-$, $\text{CN}^-$, $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^{2-}$, $\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^-$, $\text{F}^-$, $\text{Cl}^-$, $\text{Br}^-$, $\Gamma$. $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 330$ nm and $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 428$ nm.
**Fig. S3.** UV-vis. spectra of L (10 μM) in ethanol/water (4/1, v/v, HEPES buffer, 0.1 M, pH 7.4) in presence of 7000 μM N\textsubscript{3}⁻, 700 equivalent NO\textsubscript{3}⁻, NO\textsubscript{2}⁻, SCN⁻, NCO⁻, CN⁻, H\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}²⁻, CH\textsubscript{3}COO⁻, F⁻, Cl⁻, Br⁻, I⁻.

**Fig. S4.** Relative fluorescence intensity of [L - N\textsubscript{3}⁻] system in ethanol/water (4/1, v/v, HEPES buffer, 0.1 M, pH 7.4) in presence of various anions. Purple bar: L (10.0 μM) with 700 equivalent of N\textsubscript{3}⁻. Gray bar: 10.0 μM of L with 700 equivalent of N\textsubscript{3}⁻ and 700 equivalent of foreign anion. **All** is mixture of SCN⁻, NCO⁻, H\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}²⁻, NO\textsubscript{2}⁻, CN⁻, CH\textsubscript{3}COO⁻ with mixture of L (10.0 μM) and N\textsubscript{3}⁻ (700 equivalent).
**Fig. S5.** Relative emission intensity of $L$ in presence of different anions (in HEPES buffer, 0.1 M). [L] = 10.0 μM), [Anion] = 7000 μM.

**Fig. S6.** Emission intensities of $L$ (10.0 μM) as a function of externally added [N$_3^-$] in ethanol/water (4/1, v/v, HEPES buffer, 0.1 M, pH 7.4).
**Fig. S7.** Absorption spectra of L (10 μM) as a function of [N$_3^-$] in ethanol/water (4/1, v/v, HEPES buffer, 0.1 M, pH 7.4)

**Fig. S8.** Job’s plot for determination of stoichiometry of [L- N$_3^-$] system in ethanol/water (4/1, v/v, HEPES buffer, 0.1 M, pH 7.4)
Fig. S9. Determination of association constant of L for N₃⁻ in ethanol/water (4/1, v/v, HEPES buffer, 0.1 M, pH 7.4)
Fig. S10. QTOF-MS spectrum of L
Fig. S11. FTIR spectrum of L
Fig.S12. QTOF-MS spectrum of L-N$_3^-$-H$_2$O-Na$^+$-H$^+$ system
Fig.S13. FTIR spectrum of L-N$_3$·H$_2$O system
Fig. S14. $^1$H NMR spectra of L in DMSO-d$_6$. 
Fig. S15. $^1$H NMR spectra of L (1); L with 2.5 equivalent of $\text{N}_3^-$ (2); L with 5 equivalent of $\text{N}_3^-$ (3) Solvent: DMSO-$_d$$^6$
**Fig. S16.** Energy optimized geometry of L (a) and [L-N$_3$-H$_2$O] system (b)

**Fig. S17.** Theoretical IR spectrum of L derived from DFT calculation
Fig. S18. Theoretical IR spectrum of [L-N$_3^{-}$-H$_2$O] system derived from DFT calculation
Fig. S19. (A) Fluorescence microscopy images of *Candida albicans* cells (IMTECH No. 3018) and (B) gram positive bacterial strain cells without L (a), cells loaded with probe L (10 µM) for 30 minutes under 100× objective lens (b), fluorescence image of L-stained cells pre-exposed to 200 µM N$_3^-$ for 30 min under 100× objective lens (c), and expanded image of (c) (d). Incubation was performed at 37 ºC.
Fig. S20. $^{13}$CNMR spectra of L.
**Fig. S21.** Emission intensity of L (10μM) in presence of 7000 μM of azide ion as a function of time (minute)