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GC Column Selection procedure for analysis:

Scouting experiments were performed for the selection of column with several test conditions. GC packed columns tried were SE 30-10%-Dimethylpolysiloxane on Chromosorb-WHP-60/80, 25% Carbowax-20M on Chromosorb-WHP-70/80, 10% UCW-98 (Methylvinylpolysiloxane)-Chromosorb-WHP - 80/100, 30 % Theed on Chromosorb-WHP - 30/60, 25% PEG 400 on Anakrom AB -70/80. SE-30 column is found to be suitable for present study as it is superior in quality with good thermal stability. Isothermal and temperature programming methods were tried to resolve the peaks. Temperature programming was found to be better option for good resolution and quantification. Experimental conditions thus optimized and standardized are given in manuscript. System suitability check (reproducibility check) has been performed using SE30 column and it is found that relative standard deviation (RSD) for five injections of CF$_3$ enone is less than 1 %. Areas obtained from main peaks of derivatives of hydrazine, MMH and UH25 were also taken for reproducibility check and RSD for five determinations of each individual derivative peaks was found to be less than 1 %.
Fig. S1. Effect of hydrazine concentration over area of CF$_3$ enone (A) and its derivative (B)
**Fig. S2** Effect of MMH concentration over peak area of CF₃ enone (A) and CF₃ enone derivative-I –Pyrazoline-I (B) CF₃ enone derivative-II –Pyrazoline-II (C)
Fig. S3 Effect of UH25 concentration over peak area of CF$_3$ enone (A) and UH25 derivative of CF$_3$ enone (B)
Fig. S4 Chromatogram for CF$_3$ Enone

Fig. S5 Mass Spectra for the peak eluted at 14.06 min. (Pyrazolidine)
Fig. S6 Mass Spectra for the peak eluted at 10.41 min. (Pyrazoline I – MMH Derivative-I)

Fig. S7 Mass Spectra for the peak eluted at 13.91 min. (Pyrazole- I – MMH Derivative-III)
**Fig. S8** Mass Spectra for the peak eluted at 15.80 min. (Pyrazole- II - MMH Derivative-IV)

**Fig. S9.** Calibration graphs for the determination of hydrazine based on Pyrazolidine (hydrazine derivative) in the concentration range of 0.4 mM-0.02M (A) and 0.04 M – 0.2 M (B).
**Fig.S10.** Calibration graphs for the determination of MMH based on CF₃ enone in the concentration range of 0.4 mM-0.02M (A) and 0.04 M – 0.2 M (B).

**Fig.S11.** Calibration graphs for the determination of MMH based on Pyrazoline-II (MMH derivative II) in the concentration range of 0.4 mM-0.02M (A) and 0.04 M – 0.2 M (B).
Fig. S12. Calibration graphs for the determination of hydrazine (in UH25) based on CF$_3$ enone (A) & UH25 derivative of CF$_3$ enone (B) in the concentration range of 0.4 mM-0.04 M.
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Fragmentation pattern for CF₃ enone peak (R.T. - 8.6 min.)
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FP-02
Fragmentation pattern for the peak of hydrazine derivative I

(Pyrazoline - Retention time -11.87 min.)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CF}_3^{++} & \quad 68.99 \\
\text{C}_4\text{H}_3\text{S}^{++} & \quad 82.99 \\
\text{C}_5\text{H}_5\text{S}^{++} & \quad 97.01 \\
\text{C}_3\text{H}_3\text{F}_3\text{N}^{++} & \quad 110.02 \\
\text{C}_4\text{H}_4\text{F}_3\text{N}_2^{++} & \quad 125.03 \\
\text{C}_7\text{H}_7\text{N}_2\text{S}^{++} & \quad 151.03 \\
\text{C}_7\text{H}_6\text{F}_3\text{N}_2\text{S}^{++} & \quad 207.02 \\
\text{C}_8\text{H}_7\text{F}_3\text{N}_2\text{S}^{++} & \quad \text{m/e: 220.03}
\end{align*}
\]
FP-03

Fragmentation pattern for the peak of hydrazine derivative II

Pyrazolidine - (Retention time -14.06 min.)
FP-04

Fragmentation pattern for the peak of derivative I of MMH

Pyrazoline-1 Retention time : 10.41 min.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CF}_3^{+*} & \quad 68.99 \\
\text{C}_4\text{H}_3\text{S}^{+*} & \quad 82.99 \\
\text{C}_5\text{H}_5\text{S}^{+*} & \quad 97.01 \\
\text{C}_6\text{H}_7\text{S}^{+*} & \quad 111.03 \\
\text{C}_3\text{H}_6\text{F}_3\text{N}_2^{+*} & \quad 127.05 \\
\text{C}_5\text{H}_6\text{F}_3\text{N}_2^{+*} & \quad 151.05 \\
\text{C}_8\text{H}_9\text{N}_2\text{S}^{+*} & \quad 165.05 \\
\text{C}_8\text{H}_8\text{F}_3\text{S}^{+*} & \quad 193.03 \\
\text{C}_8\text{H}_7\text{F}_3\text{N}_2\text{S}^{+*} & \quad 206.03 \\
\text{C}_9\text{H}_9\text{F}_3\text{N}_2\text{S}^{+*} & \quad 234.04
\end{align*}
\]
FP-05
Fragmentation pattern for the peak of derivative II of MMH

Pyrazoline-II Retention time : 12.74 min.
Fragmentation pattern for the peak of MMH derivative III

Pyrazole-I-Retention time: 13.91 min

\[ \text{CF}_3^{*+} \quad 68.99 \]

\[ \text{C}_4\text{H}_3\text{S}^{*+} \quad 82.99 \]

\[ \text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{NS}^{*+} \quad 110.01 \]

\[ \text{C}_6\text{H}_6\text{NS}^{*+} \quad 124.02 \]

\[ \text{C}_8\text{H}_7\text{N}_2\text{S}^{*+} \quad 163.03 \]

\[ \text{C}_9\text{H}_7\text{F}_3\text{N}_2\text{S}^{*+} \quad 232.03 \]
FP-07
Fragmentation pattern for the peak of MMH derivative IV
(Pyrazole-II- Retention time - 15.80 min)