Guanosine oxidation explored by pulse radiolysis coupled with transient electrochemistry.
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Electronic Supplementary Information

Experimental Section

Guanosine, guanosinemonophosphate, KBr (Aldrich) and N₂O gas were used without further purification.

The electrochemical set-up was identical compared to our previous paper, ¹ excepted that we placed the reference electrode (AgCl/Ag, saturated KCl, Origalys) in a separate compartment. Both compartments were connected with a salt bridge containing 2M KCl in agar-agar. The working electrode was a melted gold ball cleaned by passing it in a butane flame prior the experiment. Its area was evaluated to 6.6 × 10⁻³ cm² by calibration in an aqueous 1 mM ferrocenemethanol (Aldrich) solution. The counter electrode was a Pt wire. Experiments were run at ELYSE electron accelerator at 22 °C. Transient spectra were recorded with a Hamamatsu C7700 streak camera that allows one to record simultaneously the transient absorption spectra from 250 to 850 nm and its time evolution in temporal windows from 500 ps to 1 ms with a time resolution of 4 ps. Initial concentration of radicals produced by the radiolytic pulse was calculated before each experiment by measuring the absorbance of hydrated electrons at 660 nm and 3 ns after the pulse in a water reference cell with a value of the extinction coefficient ε₆₆₀nm ≈ 18,000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹. We considered that all reducing species (e⁻ aq and H⁺) were all quenched by N₂O and converted to OH· thus doubling the initial concentration of OH·. They were then quantitatively converted to Br₂⁻ and finally to G(H⁻), allowing the initial starting concentration of G(H⁻) to be known.

In the electrochemical experiment, an intense parasitic spike is observed initially when the electron pulse exits the accelerator. This spike is observed also if the electrochemical cell is placed outside the electron beam. We believe that it is due to a transient destabilization of the potentiostat loop. This spike obtained outside the cell can be subtracted from the signal obtained when the electron beam is directed onto the electrode to obtain the faradaic signal only. At each potential and for each position of the electrode (outside or inside the electron beam), about 10 transients were acquired and then averaged for both signals in order to obtain the transients presented in figure 2 of the main text. Figure S1 presents an example of both signals at 501 mV vs AgCl/Ag.

Simulations of the reconstructed polarograms were implemented with Matlab®. The simulations of the transients were performed with Digielch® using the chronoamperometry method but deactivating the pre-equilibrium possibility.
Figure S1. Transients observed when the electrochemical cell was placed outside the electron beam (red) or when the electrode was placed on the beam trajectory (black). Faradaic signal obtained by subtraction (green). Potential 501 mV vs. AgCl/Ag. Solution composition: 1 mM guanosine, 0.1 M KBr, 0.1 M phosphate buffer under N₂O saturation. Dose: 38 Gy/pulse (28 μM initial concentration in G(-H)•). pH = 7.1.

Simulation of experimental transients

1. Diffusion limited current \( I_{\text{lim}} \)

In a first stage, for potentials more negative than 400 mV vs AgCl/Ag, only reduction of the radical G(-H)• can be considered since the reduction rate is much faster than the oxidation one. Without any chemical reaction, the current would then follow the Cottrell equation. However, since a bimolecular reaction decay occurs in solution in the case of guanosine, this is not any more the case here. The limiting current \( I_{\text{lim}} \) corresponds to the flux towards the electrode according to:

\[
\frac{I_{\text{lim}}(t)}{FA} = -D \frac{\partial [G(-H)^*]_{x=0}}{\partial x}
\]  
(S1)

with \( [G(-H)^*]_{x=0} = 0 \). However, additionally disparition of G(-H)• in solution should be taken into account by:

\[
\frac{\partial [G(-H)^*]}{\partial t} = -k_{\text{SO}} [G(-H)^*]^2
\]  
(S2)

There is to the best of our knowledge no analytical solution for this situation but knowing the initial concentration of G(-H)•, the diffusion coefficient of guanosine \( D = 7 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \) and the electrode area, we could rely on digital simulations to solve equations (S1) and (S2). Here, the only adjustable parameter was the kinetic rate constant \( k_{\text{SO}} \). Figure S2 presents the fits obtained with \( k_{\text{SO}} = 0 \); \( 2 \times 10^7 \); \( 3 \times 10^7 \); \( 4 \times 10^7 \) and \( 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \).
Figure S2. Experimental reduction current obtained at 401 mV vs AgCl/Ag (black) and corresponding simulations for $k_{SO} = 0$ (red); $2 \times 10^7$ (pink); $3 \times 10^7$ (green); $4 \times 10^7$ (orange) and $10^9$ (blue) M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$. Solution composition: 1 mM guanosine, 0.1 M KBr, 0.1 M phosphate buffer under N$_2$O saturation. Dose: 38 Gy/pulse (28 µM initial concentration in G(-H$^*$)). pH = 7.1.

The best agreement between theory and experiment was found for $k_{SO} = 3 \times 10^7$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ and this value was then taken as input for the following experimental fits at intermediate potentials.

2. Simulation at intermediate potentials

In the general case, the competition between oxidation and reduction at the electrode surface needs to be taken into account according to equation (1) of the main text. In the present study, the potentials explored are sufficiently far from the standard potentials so that even with rate constants near 1 cm$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, irreversible and not Nernstian electron transfer need to be considered. But apart from usual electrochemical systems, since electron transfers occur far from the apparent standard potentials, the electron transfer rates for either oxidation or reduction are very fast. Therefore, for any potential, the concentration of G(-H$^*$) at the electrode surface is 0 and the flux of G(-H$^*$) towards the electrode remains unchanged and identical to the one on the limiting plateau. We then expect the concentration dependence of G(-H$^*$) to the electrode distance to be identical at all potentials. This justifies that the procedure described in ref 25 of the main text elaborated for systems where no reaction occurred in solution can be adapted to our study.

The oxidative contribution of the current $I_{ox}$ is then given by:

$$I_{ox} = \frac{-k_{ox}}{k_{ox} + k_{red}} \times \frac{I_{lim}}{FA} \tag{S3}$$

and

$$I_{red} = \frac{k_{red}}{k_{ox} + k_{red}} \times \frac{I_{lim}}{FA} \tag{S4}$$

is obtained for the reductive contribution.

Finally, the current $I = I_{ox} + I_{red}$ can then be expressed as:

$$I = I_{lim} \times \frac{k_{ox} - k_{red}}{k_{ox} + k_{red}} \tag{S5}$$

where $k_{ox}$ and $k_{red}$ can be expressed by equations (2-5) of the main text. Since the ratio of the rate constant appears in equation S5, the precise values of $k_{ox}^0$ and $k_{red}^0$ are not influencing $I$ as long as they are large enough to allow efficient electron transfers. For example, at 621 mV vs AgCl/Ag we observed absolutely no changes in the simulated decay as long as $k_{ox}^0$ and $k_{red}^0$
were equal and larger than $10^{-3}$ cm$^{-1}$ (keeping $\lambda_{\text{ox}} = \lambda_{\text{red}} = 0.9$ eV). However, the value $k_{\text{ox}}^{0}/k_{\text{red}}^{0}$ plays a major role in the switch between positive and negative current, as well as the value of $\lambda_{\text{ox}}/\lambda_{\text{red}}$.

**Complementary spectroscopic and electrochemical data**

The noise in the data acquired for guanosine was more important both for spectroscopic and electrochemistry experiments. In fact, experiments for guanosine monophosphate were performed after those for guanosine, which allowed an optimization of the settings of the electron accelerator and position of the current sensor of the potentiostat.

![Figure S3. Transient spectroscopy of a 1 mM guanosine solution upon oxidation by Br$_2^\cdot$. a) Transient spectrum observed 80 μs after the pulse. b) Transient absorption at 365 nm.](image)
Figure S4. Oxidation of guanosine by Br$\text{}_2$•. Transient experimental and simulated electrochemical currents recorded at different potentials vs AgCl/Ag: 401 mV (red), 582 mV (blue), 621 mV (green) and 641 mV (magenta). Solution composition: 1 mM guanosine, 0.1 M KBr, 0.1 M phosphate buffer under N$_2$O saturation. Dose: 38 Gy/pulse. Here the raw data were not filtered.

Figure S5. Oxidation of guanosine by Br$\text{}_2$•. Transient electrochemical currents recorded at different potentials vs AgCl/Ag: 501 mV (black), 627 mV (blue), 641 mV (red). Solution composition: 1 mM guanosine, 0.1 M KBr, 0.1 M phosphate buffer under N$_2$O saturation. Dose: 10 Gy/pulse, corresponding to an initial concentration of 7.3 µM of G(−H)•.