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1. Triplet excitation energies of thiophene and bithiophene
Table S1. Triplet excitation energies (in eV) of thiophene and bithiophene at the ADC(2)/def2-SVPD level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Thiophene</th>
<th>Bithiophene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$B_2(\pi_2\pi_4^*)$</td>
<td>$B(\pi_6\pi_7^*)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC(2)</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>3.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$A_1(\pi_2\pi_4^*)$</td>
<td>$A(\pi_6\pi_8^*)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$B_1(\pi_2\sigma^*)$</td>
<td>$A(\pi_5\pi_7^*)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$A_1(\pi_3\pi_4^*)$</td>
<td>$B(\pi_5\pi_7^*)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>4.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$A_2(\pi_2\sigma^*)$</td>
<td>$B(\pi_5\sigma^*)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>5.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Trajectory analysis with natural transition orbitals

The excited states were analyzed by means of natural transition orbitals to gain a better insight into the ring opening and ring puckering mechanisms of thiophene. Figure S1 depicts two of the trajectories shown in Figure 3a (ring opening) and 3c (ring puckering) of the main text, both exhibiting a deactivation by adiabatic change of character. The orbitals are plotted for each 10fs frame, and correspond to the running $S_1$ state.

In the first example (Figure S1a), the system is initially excited into $S_1$ ($\pi_2\pi_4^*$ character). By passing the avoided crossing between 30 and 40fs, initial orbital changes its shape, while the overall character looks like a mixture between $\pi_2\pi_4^*$ and $\pi_3\pi_4^*$. In terms of Hartee-Fock orbitals, the $S_1$ state, which is initially dominated by the excitation from the HOMO-1 (30fs) changes to an excitation from the HOMO (40fs). A transition from $\pi\pi^*$ to $\pi\sigma^*$ occurs only between 50 and 60fs, which is associated with a rather large avoided crossing with the $S_2$ state. This is followed by a rapid increase of ground state energy (> 60fs) until the crossing between $S_1$ and $S_0$ is
encountered (80fs). Weinkauf et al.\textsuperscript{1} also found that the system spends most of the time in the $\pi\pi^*$ states, which is followed by a fast ring opening due to the $\pi\sigma^*$ character. As shown in the Figure, the natural transition orbitals change their shape significantly as the geometry gets distorted, and the relation with the orbitals of the optimized geometry is not straightforward. Here we follow the notation as used earlier by Marian et al.\textsuperscript{2} and Stenrup,\textsuperscript{3} and assign the ring opening to the $\pi_3\sigma^*$ state (see Figure 3 in the main text).

In case of the ring puckering trajectory (Figure S1b), the molecule is excited into $S_1$ with a $\pi_2\pi_4^*$ character. The transition to $\pi_3\pi_4^*$ occurs between 30 and 40 fs, when the system proceeds to the ring-distorted intersection with the ground state.
Figure S1. Energy profiles of two trajectories shown in Figure 3a (ring opening) and 3c (ring puckering) of the main text. The time evolution of the ground and four lowest
excited adiabatic singlet states are given in color, while the black dots correspond to the running state. The energies are plotted with respect to the initial ground state energy (0fs). Natural transition orbitals with the largest contribution to the S₁ (running) state are displayed for each 10fs frame.

3. CS bond stretch

![Figure S2](image-url)  
Figure S2. Evolution of the potential energies of the ground and excited states with respect to the rigid stretch of the CS bond distance. The ground and nine excited states (singlets and triplets) computed with unrestricted MP2+ADC(2)/def2-SVPD are depicted in red, and compared to the results obtained with restricted formalism (the ground and four lowest singlet states are depicted in blue).

4. Additional trajectories

In addition to the 200 thiophene trajectories presented in the main text, we computed
additional ones, especially for the initial conditions of the \(S_1\) state occurring below the selected \(S_1\) window (< 5.70 eV; see Figure 2a in the main text) and for the initial \(S_2\) conditions falling within the 5.70 ± 0.15 eV range (\(S_1\) window). In both cases, the 10 test trajectories lead to an ultrafast relaxation via ring opening and ring puckering. In the first set of trajectories started at \(S_1\), seven of them terminated with a ring opening and three with a ring puckering. For those initiated at \(S_2\) with an excitation energy entering the 5.70 ± 0.15 eV window, five trajectories underwent a ring opening and five a ring puckering mechanism. Interestingly, in one of the trajectory the ring puckering proceeded through a pyramidalization at the \(\beta\)-carbon (with respect to sulphur, see Figure S3), a mechanism that was unidentified in the original computations.

![Energy profile of the trajectory undergoing the ring puckering mechanism.](image)

The time evolution of the ground and four lowest excited adiabatic singlet states are given in color, while the running state is indicated by the black dots. The energies are plotted with respect to the initial ground state energy (0fs). The displayed molecular geometry is taken at the final step of the dynamics.
5. Analysis of the $D_1$ parameter

The $D_1$ diagnostics of ADC(2) measures the quality of the ground state wavefunction. Values around 0.04 (and smaller) indicate that problems with the multireference character should not be expected. However, values as high as 0.10 and 0.15 may eventually be acceptable. In Figure S4, we show two thiophene trajectories with ring opening (upper left panel) and and ring puckering mechanism (upper right panel). As shown in the Figure, the $D_1$ values increase significantly only before the intersection with the ground state, a region where single reference method is not appropriate. However, most of the excited state dynamics (which will finally bring the system close to this crossing region) is considered as reliable. This is further illustrated by the histogram in Figure S5 which shows the $D_1$ values are in the acceptable range formost of the time. Similar conclusion holds for bithiophene dynamics (Figure S6).

Figure S4. Energy profiles for two thiophene trajectories corresponding to the ring opening and ring puckering mechanism.
opening (upper left panel) and ring puckering mechanism (upper right panel). The time evolution of the ground and the four lowest excited adiabatic singlet states are shown, while the running state is indicated in black. Energies are plotted relative to the initial ground state energy (0fs). The molecular geometry at the final step of the dynamic is shown. The lower panels display the time evolution of the $D_1$ parameter.

Figure S5. Histogram of the $D_1$ values based on 10 randomly chosen thiophene trajectories including both ring opening and ring puckering. All the nuclear time steps are considered in analysis.

Figure S6. Histograms of the $D_1$ values based on 10 randomly chosen bithiophene
trajectories from: a) lower and b) higher energy window. All the nuclear time steps are considered in analysis.
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