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A. Characterization of compounds by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy

Figure S1. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, 25 °C, CDCl$_3$) of proligand ($\pm$)-H(NNO$_3$SiPh$_3$).
Figure S2. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, 25 °C, CDCl$_3$) of proligand (±)-H(NNO$_{Ad}$).
Figure S3. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, 25 °C, CDCl$_3$) of proligand (±)-H(NNO$_{cm}$).
Figure S4. $^1$H NMR spectra (600 MHz, 25 °C, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) of complexes (a) (±)-1 and (b) (R,R)-1.

Figure S5. $^1$H NMR spectra (600 MHz, 25 °C, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) of complexes (a) (±)-2 and (b) (R,R)-2.
Figure S6. $^1$H NMR spectra (600 MHz, 25 °C, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) of complexes (a) (±)-3 and (b) (R,R)-3.
Figure S7. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, 25 °C, CDCl$_3$) of complex (±)-4.
Figure S8. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, 25°C, CDCl$_3$) of complex (+)-5.
Figure S9. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, 25 °C, CDCl$_3$) of complex (±)-6.
B. Characterization of compounds by PGSE NMR spectroscopy

Figure S10. Plots of $\ln(I/I_o)$ vs. $\gamma^2 \delta^2 G^2 [\Delta - (\delta/3)] \times 10^{10}$ (m$^{-2}$ s) from PGSE NMR experiments (400 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$, 25 °C) for complex (±)-4 (red), complex (±)-1 (orange), complex (R,R)-1 (yellow), complex (±)-2 (green), proligand (±)-H(NNO$_{SiPh3}$) (blue), proligand (±)-H(NNO$_{Ad}$) (purple) and internal standard tetrakis(trimethylsilyl)silane (TMSS) (black).

Procedure for calculating the diffusion coefficient and radii of a compound from PGSE NMR and X-ray data:

Equation 1 shows the relationship between the normalized intensity of the observed spin-echo signal ($I/I_o$) and the strength of the applied gradient ($G$) in a PGSE NMR experiment. The translational self-diffusion coefficient ($D_t$) of a species can therefore be calculated graphically from the slopes of the linear best-fit lines to the plots of $\ln(I/I_o)$ versus $\gamma^2 \delta^2 G^2 [\Delta - (\delta/3)] \times 10^{10}$ (m$^{-2}$ s) as $\gamma$, $\delta$ and $\Delta$ are all known constants.$^{1-3}$

$$I/I_o = \exp[-D_t \gamma^2 \delta^2 G^2 [\Delta - (\delta/3)]]$$

where:  
$I_o$ = intensity of the observed spin-echo in the absence of a gradient  
$I$ = intensity of the observed spin-echo  
$\gamma$ = gyromagnetic ratio (for proton $2.675 \times 10^8$ rad s$^{-1}$ T$^{-1}$)  
$\delta$ = length of the gradient pulse  
$G$ = varied gradient strength  
$\Delta$ = delay between the midpoints of the gradients

In order to plot these graphs, the integration of a number of distinct and separate peaks in the $^1$H NMR spectrum of the desired compound is first taken in the absence of a gradient ($I_o$) and then the integration is tracked as
a function of the gradient strength (I). The average I/Io values over this collection of peaks can be used to plot the graphs, as seen in Figure S10, for each compound. The average integration change for the internal standard (TMSS) can also be tracked as a function of the gradient strength, and the resulting D, values from each experiment can be compared to the literature value (13.8 x 10⁻¹⁰ m² s⁻¹) as a measure of the accuracy of the observed diffusion coefficients.3

The modified Stokes-Einstein equation (2) can be used to relate the D, value of a compound to its hydrodynamic radius (rH).³

\[ D_t = \frac{kT}{c f \pi \eta r_H} \]

where:  
- \( k \) = Boltzmann constant \((1.38 \times 10^{-23} \text{ m}^2 \text{kg s}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1})\)  
- \( T \) = temperature \((298.22 \text{ K})\)  
- \( c \) = correction factor for compound size (depends on sample; TMSS, \( c^s = 5.1 \))  
- \( f \) = correction factor for compound shape (depends on sample; TMSS, \( f^s = 1 \))  
- \( \pi \) = the number pi \((3.14159)\)  
- \( \eta \) = fluid viscosity (for CH2Cl2 \( \eta = 0.0004 \text{ kg s}^{-1} \text{m}^{-1} \))

Equation (3) can be derived from this equation and used to relate the hydrodynamic radius of the sample multiplied by its size correction factor \((c^s r_H^s)\) to the calculated diffusion coefficient of the sample \((D_t^s)\) and its shape correction factor \((f^s)\) and the diffusion coefficient, size and shape correction factors and hydrodynamic radius of the standard (TMSS), which are known from the literature.³ This procedure is used to eliminate the error associated with any experimental inconsistencies between different samples (such as concentration or temperature differences) as these would affect the internal standard in a similar manner (the concentration of the internal standard is kept constant between different experiments).

\[ c^s r_H^s = \frac{D_t^s c^s f^s r_H^s}{D_t^s f^s} \]

The shape correction factor of the sample \((f^s)\), assuming a prolate ellipsoid shape for the molecule, can be calculated according to the literature based on the structural data from the x-ray crystal structure of the desired compound according to equation (4).³ The semi-major axis \((a')\) is taken as half of the largest of the unit cell’s measurements (a, b or c) and the semi-minor axis \((b')\) is taken as the second largest unit cell measurement (a, b or c) divided by 2n (where n equals the number of molecules of interest occupying the unit cell).

\[ f^s = \frac{[1 - (b'/a')^2]^{1/2}}{(b'/a')^{2/3} \ln[1 - (b'/a')^2]^{1/2}} \]

Equation (5), reported by Chen and co-workers,⁴ can be used to plot a theoretical graph of crH versus rH using the radius of the particular solvent being used in the experiment (for CH2Cl2 \( r_{sol} = 2.49 \text{ Å} \)). The equation of this graph is then used to calculate the rH value of the sample, using the value of \( c^s r_H^s \) calculated using the diffusion coefficient data.

\[ c r_H = \frac{6r_H}{1 + 0.695(r_{sol}/r_H)^{1.238}} \]

The radius of a sample can also be calculated from the x-ray structural data assuming a spherical shape via equation (6). Note that for ease of calculation the volume occupied by any solvent molecules in the x-ray structures is not included in equation 6 but could be considered in order to give a more accurate volume for the molecule of interest \( V_{molecule} = [V - V_{solvents}] / n \).

\[ r_{x-ray} = \left(\frac{3V}{4\pi n}\right)^{1/3} \quad \text{where: } V = \text{volume of the unit cell} \]
\[ n = \text{number of molecules in the unit cell} \]
C. Characterization of compounds in the solid-state

Table S1. Crystallographic parameters of complexes 1, 2, 5 and 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(RR/SS)-1</th>
<th>(RR/SS)-2</th>
<th>(+)-5</th>
<th>(+)-6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>empirical formula</td>
<td>C_{34}H_{39}ClInN_{2}OSi</td>
<td>C_{24}H_{33}ClIn_{0.5}NO_{0.5}</td>
<td>C_{61}H_{97}ClIn_{2}N_{5}O_{3}</td>
<td>C_{79}H_{103}Cl_{3}In_{2}N_{4}O_{3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fw</td>
<td>705.48</td>
<td>426.86</td>
<td>1276.92</td>
<td>1486.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (K)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>9.4897(10)</td>
<td>10.0632(12)</td>
<td>12.8751(10)</td>
<td>18.854(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>12.2095(12)</td>
<td>14.7651(17)</td>
<td>15.9412(12)</td>
<td>11.886(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>15.9328(16)</td>
<td>15.5923(19)</td>
<td>16.5097(12)</td>
<td>32.910(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α (deg)</td>
<td>105.831(2)</td>
<td>89.620(3)</td>
<td>64.991(2)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (deg)</td>
<td>91.856(2)</td>
<td>73.121(3)</td>
<td>87.640(2)</td>
<td>100.603(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ (deg)</td>
<td>111.387(2)</td>
<td>73.901(3)</td>
<td>87.658(2)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volume (Å³)</td>
<td>1635.7(3)</td>
<td>2123.1(4)</td>
<td>3067.2(4)</td>
<td>7249(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crystal system</td>
<td>triclinic</td>
<td>triclinic</td>
<td>triclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>space group</td>
<td>P-1</td>
<td>P-1</td>
<td>P-1</td>
<td>P2₁/n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d_{calc} (g/cm³)</td>
<td>1.432</td>
<td>1.335</td>
<td>1.383</td>
<td>1.362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ (MoKα) (cm⁻¹)</td>
<td>9.52</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>7.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2θ max (deg)</td>
<td>60.16</td>
<td>61.324</td>
<td>60.52</td>
<td>61.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>absorption correction</td>
<td>0.8177, 0.8752</td>
<td>0.677, 0.806</td>
<td>0.7956, 0.8539</td>
<td>0.6469, 0.7461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total no. of reflections</td>
<td>108779</td>
<td>76944</td>
<td>173951</td>
<td>110250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no. of indep reflections (R_{int})</td>
<td>9600 (0.0378)</td>
<td>13104 (0.0662)</td>
<td>18161 (0.0559)</td>
<td>22143 (0.0708)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>residuals (refined on F², all data): R₁; wR₂</td>
<td>0.0292, 0.0572</td>
<td>0.0381, 0.0505</td>
<td>0.0417, 0.0766</td>
<td>0.0554, 0.0750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOF</td>
<td>1.066</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>1.021</td>
<td>1.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no. observations [I &gt; 2s(I)]</td>
<td>9600</td>
<td>13104</td>
<td>18161</td>
<td>22143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>residuals (refined on F²): R₁; wR₂</td>
<td>0.0228, 0.0537</td>
<td>0.0282, 0.0486</td>
<td>0.0294, 0.0719</td>
<td>0.0353, 0.0679</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* R₁ = ∑ ||F_o| - |F_c|| / ∑ |F_o|; wR₂ = [Σ(w(F_o² - F_c²)^2)]/Σw(F_o²)^2]^{1/2}

* w = 1/σ²
D. Kinetic studies of the polymerization of lactide by catalysts 4-6

**Figure S11.** Plots of the ln([LA]) vs. time for the polymerization of rac-, L- and D-LA (0.5 M) by complex (±)-4 (2.4 mM) monitored to >97% conversion by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy in CDCl$_3$ and CD$_2$Cl$_2$ with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene used as an internal standard (0.03 M).

**Figure S12.** Plots of the ln([LA]) vs. time for the polymerization of rac-, L- and D-LA (0.5 M) by complex (R,R)-4 (2.4 mM) monitored to >86% conversion by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy in CDCl$_3$ and CD$_2$Cl$_2$ with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene used as an internal standard (0.03 M).
Figure S13. Plots of the ln([LA]) vs. time for the polymerization of rac-, L- and D-LA (0.5 M) by complex (±)-5 (2.4 mM) monitored to >98% conversion by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy in CDCl$_3$ with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene used as an internal standard (0.03 M).

Figure S14. Plots of the ln([LA]) vs. time for the polymerization of rac-, L- and D-LA (0.5 M) by complex (R,R)-5 (2.4 mM) monitored to >80% conversion by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy in CDCl$_3$ with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene used as an internal standard (0.03 M).
Figure S15. Plots of the ln([LA]) vs. time for the polymerization of rac-, L- and D-LA (0.5 M) by complex (±)-6 (2.4 mM) monitored to >98% conversion by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy in CDCl$_3$ with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene used as an internal standard (0.03 M).
E. In situ $^1$H NMR spectroscopy of the polymerization of lactide by catalysts 4-6

**Figure S16.** $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 25 °C) of (a) complex (±)-1, (b) complex (±)-4, (c) the polymerization of rac-LA (0.48 M) by complex (±)-4 (2.4 mM) in CDCl$_3$ monitored in situ in an NMR tube to 97% conversion with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (TMB) used as an internal standard and (d) the polymerization of rac-LA (~0.5 M) by complex (±)-4 (~2 mM) which was stirred at room temperature in CDCl$_3$ for 2 hours before being analyzed by NMR spectroscopy (98% conversion). The blue dots denote peaks coincident with (±)-4, the red dots denote peaks coincident with (±)-1 and the green dots denote the indium polymeryl species.

**Figure S17.** $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$, 25 °C) of (a) complex (±)-1, (b) complex (±)-4 and the polymerization of rac-LA (0.48 M) by complex (±)-4 (2.4 mM) in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ monitored in situ in an NMR tube with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (TMB) used as an internal standard at 1% (c) and 97% (d) conversion. The blue dots denote peaks coincident with (±)-4, the red dots denote peaks coincident with (±)-1 and the green dots denote the indium polymeryl species.
Figure S18. $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 25 °C) of (a) complex (±)-2, (b) complex (±)-5 and the polymerization of rac-LA (0.48 M) by complex (±)-5 (2.4 mM) in CDCl$_3$ monitored in situ in an NMR tube with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (TMB) used as an internal standard at 29% (c) and 97% (d) conversion. The blue dots denote peaks coincident with (±)-5 and the green dots denote the indium polymeryl species.

Figure S19. $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 25 °C) of (a) complex (±)-3, (b) complex (±)-6 and the polymerization of rac-LA (0.48 M) by complex (±)-6 (2.4 mM) in CDCl$_3$ monitored in situ in an NMR tube with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (TMB) used as an internal standard at 29% (c) and 97% (d) conversion. The blue dots denote peaks coincident with (±)-6 and the green dots denote the indium polymeryl species.
Figure S20. $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 25 °C) of (a) complex ($\pm$)-(NNO$_{thb}$)InCl$_2$, (b) complex ($\pm$)-[(NNO$_{thb}$)InCl]$_2$(µ-Cl)(µ-OEt) and the polymerization of rac-LA (0.48 M) by complex ($\pm$)-[(NNO$_{thb}$)InCl]$_2$(µ-Cl)(µ-OEt) (2.4 mM) in CDCl$_3$ monitored in situ in an NMR tube with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (TMB) used as an internal standard at 29% (c) and 97% (d) conversion. The blue dots denote peaks coincident with ($\pm$)-[(NNO$_{thb}$)InCl]$_2$(µ-Cl)(µ-OEt) and the green dots denote the indium polymeryl species.

F. Fully-labelled ORTEP diagrams of solid state structures of complexes 1, 2, 5, and 6
G. References