Dalton Transactions

Supporting Information

Platinum Complexes Bearing Normal and Mesoionic N-Heterocyclic Carbene Based Pincer Ligands: Syntheses, Structures, and Photo-Functional Attributes

Abbas Raja Naziruddin, Chen-Shiang Lee, Wan-Jung Lin, Bing-Jian Sun, Kang-Heng Chao, Agnes Hsiu Hwa Chang*, and Wen-Shu Hwang*

Contents.

Figure S1. Molecular Structure of 1.PF₆.

Figure S2.

(a.) Emission spectra of 1–3 recorded from acetonitrile solutions.

(b.) Emission spectra of 4-6 recorded from acetonitrile solutions.

Table S1. Crystallographic data of $1 \cdot PF_6$, **2**, $3 \cdot PF_6$, $4 \cdot PF_6$ and $2 \cdot H_2O$.

Table S2.1. Orbital diagram of HOMO-14 to LUMO+14 levels of 1 for the optimized singlet states.

Table S2.2. Orbital diagram of HOMO-14 to LUMO+14 levels of 2 for the optimized singlet states.

Table S2.3. Orbital diagram of HOMO–14 to LUMO+14 levels of **3** for the optimized singlet states.

Table S2.4. Orbital diagram of HOMO–14 to LUMO+14 levels of 4 for the optimized singlet states.

 Table S2.5.
 Orbital diagram of HOMO-14 to LUMO+14 levels of 5 for the optimized singlet states.

Table S2.6. Orbital diagram of HOMO–14 to LUMO+14 levels of 6 for the optimized singlet states.

Table S3.1. TD-DFT assignment of electronic transitions along with oscillator strengths.

Table S3.2.

(a.) Percentage weightages of HOMO and LUMO in 1, 2, and 3.

(b.) Percentage weightages of HOMO and LUMO in 4, 5, and 6.

Other Relevant Files.

1. A combined crystallographic information file is available for all of the reported structures.

2. A combined molecular coordinates files of DFT optimized structures of complexes 1-6 for singlet (S₀).

Corresponding Author* Address: Department of Chemistry, National Dong Hwa University, Hualien 974, Taiwan, ROC. Fax: +886 3 8632000; Tel: +886 3 8632001; Corresponding Authors E-mail: hws@mail.ndhu.edu.tw.



Figure S2: (a.) Emission spectra of 2 and 3 in acetonitrile solution.



Figure S2: (b.) Emission spectra of 4, 5, and 6 in acetonitrile solution.



Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for Pt complexes

Complex	1	2	3	2·H ₂ O
Empirical formula	$C_{32}H_{35}ClF_6N_5OPPt$	$C_{33}H_{35}F_{12}N_7P_2Pt$	$C_{31}H_{31}Cl_2F_6N_6PPt \\$	$C_{31}H_{34}F_{12}N_6OP_2Pt$
Formula weight	881.16	1014.71	898.58	991.67
Temperature (K)	100(2)	296(2)	296(2)	296(2)
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073
Crystal system	Monoclinic	monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
space group	P2(1)/c	P2(1)/c	Cc	P2(1)/c
a (Å)	10.3785(8)	23.1671(10)	19.7610(5)	19.3773(19)
b (Å)	20.6873(17)	8.6136(3)	13.1217(3)	8.4921(9)
c (Å)	15.9606(13)	20.8355(9)	15.7217(6)	22.294(2)
α (°)	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
β (°)	100.8570(10)	113.4630(10)	122.84	92.745(2)
γ (°)	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
$V(Å^3)$	3365.5(5)	3813.99	3425.11(18)	3664.4(6)
Z	4	4	4	4
Calculated density (Mg·m ⁻³)	1.739	1.767	1.743	1.798
Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)	4.364	3.857	4.364	4.013
<i>F</i> (000)	1736	1992	1760	1944
h, k, l _{max}	13, 27, 21	28, 10, 25	23, 15, 18	-23<=h<=23, -9<=k<=10, - 27<=l<=27
Theta range for data collection (°)	1.63-28.52	0.96-25.50	1.98-25.07	1.83-25.50
				18080/6741
Reflections collected / unique	8511/7401	7101/ 5769	6028/ 5695	
Completeness (%)	99.6	100.0	99.8	98.8
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2			

Data / restraints / parameters	8511/0/432	7101/0/504	6028/2/447	6741/0/ 479
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.038	1.038	1.042	1.299
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0281$ $wR_2 = 0.0653$	$R_1 = 0.0325$ $wR_2 = 0.0883$	$R_1 = 0.0306$ $wR_2 = 0.0807$	$R_1 = 0.0993$ $wR_2 = 0.2048$
<i>R</i> indices (all data)	R = 0.348 $wR_2 = 0.0689$	R = 0.0453 $wR_2 = 0.1005$	R = 0.0333 $wR_2 = 0.0822$	$R_1 = 0.1228$ $wR_2 = 0.2148$
Largest diff. peak and hole($e \cdot \mathring{A}^{-3}$)	2.752 and -1.429	0.885 and -0.734	0.777 and -0.315	2.918 and -5.075

4
C ₂₉ H ₃₁ Cl F ₆ N ₇ P Pt
853.12
296(2) K
0.71073
Monoclinic,
P2(1)/n
9.1269(5)
25.3776(16)
15.1848(9)
90.00
106.5720°(10)
90.00
3371.0(3) Å ³
4
1.681
4.353
1672
10, 30, 15
1.60–25.11
20481 / 5959 [R(int) = 0.0617]
99.2
Full-matrix least-squares on F ²
5959 / 0 / 414
0.877
$R_1 = 0.0447, wR_2 = 0.1182$
$R_1 = 0.0813, wR_2 = 0.1410$
0.760 and -0.501

Table S2.1: Orbital diagram of HOMO–14 to LUMO+14 levels of **1** for the singlet states.









HOMO-5(131) -0.33392



HOMO-6(130) -0.34865



HOMO-7(129) -0.35932



HOMO-8(128) -0.38282



HOMO-9(127) -0.39089







LUMO+4(143) -0.21184



LUMO+3(142) -0.23678



LUMO+2(141) -0.24321



LUMO+1(140) -0.27529



LUMO(139) -0.31124



HOMO-10(128) -0.51704



HOMO-11(127) -0.51980



HOMO-12(126) -0.52045



HOMO-13(125) -0.52059



HOMO-14(124) -0.52397



HOMO-5(133) -0.48140



HOMO-6(132) -0.48242



HOMO-7(131) -0.48782



HOMO-8(130) -0.49027



HOMO-9(129) -0.50092













HOMO-13(121) -0.41876



HOMO-14(120) -0.41935



HOMO-5(129) -0.35187



HOMO-6(128) -0.35248



HOMO-7(127) -0.36269



HOMO-8(126) -0.38607



HOMO-9(125) -0.38977





















HOMO-8 -0.449753



HOMO-9 -0.49922





S0 state, B3LYP/SDD











	λ (nm)	Excitation	Ocillatorstrength	Assignment
1	273	$HOMO-9 \rightarrow LUMO$	0.1428	mixed ¹ IL
	309-324	HOMO-6 \rightarrow LUMO+1	0.0851	mixed ¹ MLCT
	366-385	HOMO-4 →LUMO	0.0542	mixed ¹ MLCT
2	263	HOMO-8 \rightarrow LUMO	0.1751	mixed ¹ IL
		HOMO-4 \rightarrow LUMO+1	0.1905	mixed ¹ IL
		HOMO-7 \rightarrow LUMO (26%),		
	315	HOMO-5 \rightarrow LUMO (57%),	0.0223	¹ mixed MLCT
		HOMO-4 \rightarrow LUMO+1 (13%)		
	365-410	HOMO-4 \rightarrow LUMO	0.0103	¹ mixed MLCT
3	264	$HOMO-10 \rightarrow LUMO$	0.1548	mixed ¹ IL
		HOMO-6 \rightarrow LUMO+1	0.1177	mixed ¹ MLCT
	362-414	HOMO-4 →LUMO	0.055	mixed ¹ MLCT
	245	HOMO-11, HOMO-8 \rightarrow LUMO+1 and	0 2984	MP ¹ II CT
4	215	HOMO-9 →LUMO	0.2901	
	290-350	$HOMO-11 \rightarrow LUMO+1$	0.0876	mixed ¹ MLCT
		$HOMO-6 \rightarrow LUMO+2$		
	365-401	HOMO-6 \rightarrow LUMO+1	0.0806	mixed ¹ MLCT
		HOMO- $1 \rightarrow LUMO+2$		
5	243	H-7 to L +4; H-8 to L+1	0.2263	MP ¹ IL CT
1				
		HOMO $-9 \rightarrow LUMO$		
	286	HOMO-6 \rightarrow LUMO +1	0.0780	mixed ¹ MLCT
		HOMO-4 \rightarrow LUMP+2		
	317	HOMO $-6 \rightarrow LUMO$	0.1796	mixed ¹ MLCT
6	227	HOMO -9 \rightarrow L + 1	0.4080	MP ¹ IL CT
		HOMO $-4 \rightarrow L + 3$		
		HOMO -11 \rightarrow LUMO; HOMO -9 \rightarrow LUMO;	0.0351	
	260-300	$HOMO - 0 \rightarrow L + 3.$	0.1036	mixed ¹ MLCT
		HOMO -9 \rightarrow L + 1; HOMO - 6 \rightarrow LUMO + 2		
			0.0223	
	225	HOMO $-4 \rightarrow LUMO +2$		minut MI CT
	325	325 HOMO -9 \rightarrow LUMO +1; HOMO -6 \rightarrow LUMO +2	0.1036	mixea MLCT

Table S3.1. TD-DFT assignment of electronic transitions along with oscillator strengths.

Table S3.2. Percentage weightages of HOMO and LUMO in 1–6.

(a) For S₀ states of complexes bearing pyridine *bis*-imidazol-2-ylidene donors.

S ₀	HOMO	LUMO
1		
Pincer ligand	58.27%	90.53%
Cl	32.01%	1.79%
Pt	9.72%	7.68%
2		
Pincer ligand	99.83%	90.58%
CH ₃ CN	0.12%	3.20%
Pt	0.05%	6.22%
3		
Pincer ligand	99.17%	91.91%
CN	0.57%	1.71%
Pt	0.26%	6.38%

(b) For S_0 states of complexes bearing triazol-5-ylidene donors.

S ₀	HOMO	LUMO
4		
Pincer ligand	41.73%	93.08%
Cl	42.70%	1.28%
Pt	15.57%	5.64%
5		
Pincer ligand	99.86%	93.62%
CH ₃ CN	0.11%	2.25%
Pt	0.04%	4.13%
6		
Pincer ligand	98.08%	97.61%
CN	0.38%	0.52%
Pt	1.53%	1.87%