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1. Materials

Dipotassium hydrogen phosphate (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.), potassium dihydrogen phosphate (Kanto Chemical Co., Inc.), carbon dioxide gas (Taiyo Nippon Sanso), formic acid (88%, Kanto), acetonitrile (MeCN: Kanto), pyrrole (Kanto), iron(III) chloride hexahydrate (99.9%, Wako), ethanol (Wako), potassium hexachloroiridate(IV) (Wako), nitric acid (69 vol%, Wako), sodium hydroxide (Wako), iridium metal plate (99.9%, Kojundo Chemical Laboratory Co., Ltd.), and indium tin oxide (ITO) etching solution (ITO-07N, Kanto) were used as-received. Pure water (>18 MΩ cm resistivity) was obtained using a Direct-Q system (EMD Millipore).

Triple-junction amorphous silicon on stainless steel substrate (SiGe-jn, Fig. S1) and carbon cloth (CC; EC-CC1-060) were obtained from Xunlight Corp. and Toyo Corp., respectively.

2. Preparation of Ru-MeCN complex

The \([\text{Ru}\{4,4'\text{-di}(1\text{-H-1-pyrrolypropyl carbonate)-2,2'\text{-bipyridine}}\text{)(CO)}_2\text{Cl}_2]\) (Ru-CO) complex was synthesized according to a previously reported method.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\)

A MeCN solution (200 mL) containing 137.2 mg (0.2 mmol) Ru-CO was irradiated using a white fluorescent light for 15 h at room temperature. After the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, the residual red solid was recrystallized from MeCN/ether. Yield: 89%. \(^1\)H NMR (δ, 400 MHz, (acetone-d₆):

10.16 (d, 1H, J = 5.6 Hz, bpy-6’), 9.39 (d, 1H, J = 5.6 Hz, bpy-6), 9.06 (s, 1H, bpy-3’), 8.94 (s, 1H, bpy-3), 8.41 (d, 1H, J = 5.6 Hz, bpy-5’), 8.02 (d, 1H, J = 5.6 Hz, bpy-5), 6.78 (m, 2H, pyrrol), 6.02 (m, 2H, pyrrol),
4.45 (m, 2H, -CH$_2$), 4.21 (m, 2H, -CH$_2$), 2.32 (m, 2H, -CH$_2$), 2.25 (s, 3H, CH$_3$-CN). FT-IR (MeCN) $\nu_{CO}$/cm$^{-1}$ = 1976. m/z (ESI-MS): 722.05 [M+Na]$^+$; 713.05 [M – MeCN+MeOH+Na]$^+$.

3. Fabrication of CC/p-RuCP by chemical polymerization of ruthenium complex

Ru-MeCN (0.0179 mmol) dissolved in MeCN (5.0 mL) was polymerized using a chemical method. A 0.2 M FeCl$_3$ ethanol solution (0.1 mL) and 0.05 vol% pyrrole MeCN solution (0.02 mL) were used as chemical polymerization initiators. The polymer solution (0.4 mL) was dropped onto the CC surface (9 cm$^2$) and dried at 333 K for 5–10 min. The coating procedure was repeated 10 times and the resulting CC/p-RuCP was placed in the dark at room temperature overnight, after which the CC/p-RuCP was rinsed with pure water. The CC/p-RuCP were then cut into quarters. To fabricate the SiGe-jn/CC/p-RuCP photocathode, CC/p-RuCP was connected to the stainless steel side of SiGe-jn with silver paste. The edges of the SiGe-jn/CC/p-RuCP and connection points were covered with silicon rubber.

4. Preparation of IrO$_x$ nanocolloid solution

An IrO$_x$ nanocolloid solution was prepared according to the method reported by Zhao et al.$^4$ 50 mL of 2 mM potassium hexachloroiridate (IV) aqueous solution was adjusted to pH 13 with 10 wt% NaOH solution and heated at 383 K for 20 min. The solution was then kept in an ice bath and adjusted to pH 1 by the addition of 3M nitric acid. The solution was then adjusted to pH 12 by the addition of 1.5 wt% NaOH solution to yield a dark blue colored solution.
5. Fabrication of SiGe-jn electrode

The SiGe-jn was cut with scissors into rectangular plates (18 mm × 20 mm) and etched at the edges with ITO etching solution. For the ITO etching process, the center of the ITO surface was masked with tape and immersed in ITO etching solution for 3 h. The masking tape was then removed and the etched SiGe-jn plates were rinsed with pure water and dried at room temperature. To fabricate the SiGe-jn photocathode, copper tape was attached to the upper side of the ITO surface on SiGe-jn and covered with a glass substrate. The copper wire was fixed on the glass substrate using indium solder and connected with copper tape onto SiGe-jn. To fabricate the SiGe-jn photoanode, the stainless steel substrate of SiGe-jn was covered with a glass substrate. Copper wire was fixed to the glass substrate using indium solder and connected to the stainless steel using silver paste. The edges of the SiGe-jn plate and connection points were sealed with silicon rubber.

6. Fabrication of IrO$_x$/SiGe-jn photoanode

50 µL of IrO$_x$ nanocolloid solution was dropped onto the ITO surface of the SiGe-jn photoanode and dried at 333 K for 10 min in an oven. The ITO surface modified with IrO$_x$ nanoparticles was then rinsed with pure water to remove the salt precipitated on the surface.

7. Fabrication of monolithic IrO$_x$/SiGe-jn/CC/p-RuCP device

The IrO$_x$/SiGe-jn photoanode was broken down with a cutter knife to obtain IrO$_x$/SiGe-jn structure. The silicon rubber and silver paste were removed from IrO$_x$/SiGe-jn structure. CC/p-RuCP was connected to the stainless steel substrate of IrO$_x$/SiGe-jn with silver paste. The edges of the IrO$_x$/SiGe-jn/CC/p-RuCP
structure and connection point were sealed with silicon rubber.

8. Electrochemical/photoelectrochemical measurements

A bi-potentiostat (2325, ALS Co., Ltd.) was used for the electrochemical and photoelectrochemical measurements. For typical electrochemical experiments, the sample electrode, platinum wire, and a silver/silver chloride electrode (Ag/AgCl; ALS Co., Ltd.) or mercury/mercurous sulfate electrode (Hg/Hg$_2$SO$_4$; ALS Co., Ltd.) were used as the working, counter, and reference electrodes, respectively. A quartz cell was used as the reactor and 0.1M potassium phosphate buffer solution ($K_2$HPO$_4$:KH$_2$PO$_4$ = 1:1) was used as the electrolyte. CO$_2$ gas was bubbled into the electrolyte for 20 min prior to the measurement and allowed to flow at 20 mL min$^{-1}$ during the measurement. Simulated solar light was irradiated using a solar simulator (HAL-320, Asahi Spectra Co., Ltd.). The intensity was adjusted at 1 sun [Air Mass 1.5 (AM1.5)] using a light intensity checker (CS-20, Asahi Spectra Co., Ltd.).

9. Calculation of the potential values versus RHE

The potential values expressed in the versus RHE form were obtained using the following equations.

$$E \text{ (vs. RHE)} = E_a + 0.059 \text{ pH} + 0.199 \quad (\text{for Ag/AgCl})$$

$$E \text{ (vs. RHE)} = E_a + 0.059 \text{ pH} + 0.652 \quad (\text{for Hg/Hg}_2\text{SO}_4)$$

$E_a$: Applied potential

The pH of the phosphate buffer solution saturated with CO$_2$ was around pH 6.4.
The CO₂ reduction potential of the ITO electrode was calculated using the following equation according to the report by Z. M. Detweiler et al.⁵

\[ \text{RHE} = -1.4 + 0.059 \times 4.4 + 0.242 \] (for SCE) = -0.90 V (vs. RHE)

10. Calculation of current efficiency for formate production

The photoreduction of CO₂ to formate is described as:

\[ \text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{HCOOH} \]

The current efficiency for the production of formate was obtained using the following equation.

\[ \eta_C = \frac{Q_F \times 2}{(C/F)} \times 100 \]

- \( \eta_C \): Current efficiency for formate production
- \( Q_F \): Quantity of formate (mol)
- \( C \): Charge (C)
- \( F \): Faraday constant = 96485.3365 (C/mol)

Details of the experimental conditions are given in sections 11 and 12, Fig. S2 and in Table S1.

11. Current efficiency for formate production as a function of oxygen concentration in CO₂ flow

SiGe-jn/CC/p-RuCP or InP/RuCP photocathodes, Hg/Hg₂SO₄ and platinum wire were used as working, reference and counter electrodes, respectively. A Pyrex glass cell was used as the reactor and 0.1M
potassium phosphate buffer solution ($K_2HPO_4:KH_2PO_4 = 1:1$) was used as the electrolyte. CO$_2$ gas (or CO$_2$ containing oxygen gas) was bubbled into the electrolyte for 20 min prior to the measurement and allowed to flow continuously at 20 mL min$^{-1}$ during the measurement. A xenon light source (MAX-302, Asahi Spectra Co., Ltd., ca. 1 sun) equipped with an optical filter (LUX422, Asahi Spectra Co., Ltd., $\lambda > 400$ nm) and a cold mirror was used to irradiate visible light. CO$_2$ photoreduction reaction was conducted under light irradiation for 1 h at +0.21 V (vs. RHE) and +1.41 V (vs. RHE) for the InP/RuCP and SiGe-jn/CC/p-RuCP photocathodes, respectively. The charge, amount of formate and the current efficiency for formate production are shown in Table S2.

12. Current efficiency for formate production over RuCP coated onto the stainless steel surface of the SiGe-jn photocathode or onto the CC connected with the SiGe-jn photocathode

The SiGe-jn/RuCP photocathode, where RuCP was directly coated onto the stainless steel substrate of SiGe-jn, or the SiGe-jn/carbon cloth (CC)/p-RuCP photocathode, where RuCP was coated onto the CC and connected to the stainless steel substrate of SiGe-jn, were used as the working electrode of a three-electrode configuration. Pt wire and Hg/Hg$_2$SO$_4$ electrode were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. 0.1M phosphate buffer saturated with CO$_2$ was used as the electrolyte. Current-time measurements were conducted at +1.41 V (vs. RHE) for 3 h under light irradiation. The charge, amount of formate and current efficiency for the production of formate are shown in Table S3.

13. Photodegradation of formate over IrO$_x$/SiGe-jn photoanode
The IrO\textsubscript{x}/SiGe-jn photoanode was used as the working electrode in a three-electrode configuration. Pt wire and Ag/AgCl electrode were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. 0.1M potassium phosphate buffer solution (K\textsubscript{2}HPO\textsubscript{4}:KH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4} = 1:1) containing ca. 27 \( \mu \text{mol} \) of formate was used as the electrolyte. Simulated solar light was irradiated using a solar simulator (HAL-320, Asahi Spectra Co., Ltd.) at an intensity of 1 sun (AM1.5). The photodegradation test was conducted at -0.25 V (vs. RHE). The bias voltage was set at the operation point of the IrO\textsubscript{x}/SiGe-jn/CC/p-RuCP device. CO\textsubscript{2} gas (or CO\textsubscript{2} containing oxygen gas) was continuously flowed into the reactor during the experiment.

The photodegradation of formate is described as:

\[
\text{HCOOH} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}^+ + 2e^- 
\]

An anodic charge of 4 C was observed during the photooxidation reaction over the IrO\textsubscript{x}/SiGe-jn photoanode in phosphate buffer solution containing 27 \( \mu \text{mol} \) of formate.

The amount of formate \( Q_F \) that was estimated to remain after the photodegradation reaction was calculated as follows:

\[
Q_F = 27 \text{ (} \mu \text{mol}) - \frac{(4 \text{ (C)}) \times 96485.3365 \text{ (C/mol)} \times 10^6}{2} = \text{ca. 6 } \mu \text{mol}
\]

This value is shown in Fig. 1B as the calculated value. Therefore, this result indicates that formate was not photodecomposed over the IrO\textsubscript{x}/SiGe-jn photoanode, even though the observed anodic charge was sufficient to decompose formate from 27 to 6 \( \mu \text{mol} \).

The photodegradation test was also conducted under the same conditions using 0.1M potassium sulfate, potassium tetraborate and potassium bicarbonate electrolytes containing formate. However, the
photodegradation of formate was also negligible in the sulfate, borate and carbonate electrolytes. The results for the photodegradation of formate are shown in Figs. 1B and S5.

14. CO$_2$ photoreduction reaction using tablet-formed IrO$_x$/SiGe-jn/CC/p-RuCP device

A quartz cell was used as the reactor and 0.1M potassium phosphate buffer solution (K$_2$HPO$_4$:KH$_2$PO$_4$ = 1:1) was used as the electrolyte. The tablet-formed IrO$_x$/SiGe-jn/CC/p-RuCP device was immersed in the electrolyte solution and CO$_2$ gas was bubbled into the reactor for 20 min prior to the measurement and allowed to flow at 20 mL min$^{-1}$ during the measurement. A solar simulator (HAL-320, Asahi Spectra Co., Ltd.) was used as the light source for irradiation from the IrO$_x$ side through a 0.25 cm$^2$ square-shaped slit to regulate the irradiation area, with the intensity at 1 sun (AM 1.5) on the surface of the device, as measured using a light intensity checker (CS-20, Asahi Spectra Co., Ltd.).

15. Determination of products in the aqueous phase

The amount of HCOO$^-$ was determined using an ion chromatograph (ICS-2000, Dionex Corporation) with IonPacAS15 and IonPacAG15 columns and a conductometric detector. The column temperature was maintained at 308 K. A 3 mM KOH solution was used as the first eluent for 10 min. The eluent was then gradually changed to 10 mM KOH for the next 5 min, after which the eluent was gradually changed to 60 mM KOH for the next 10 min.

The calibration curve was obtained using HCOOH solutions prepared at different concentrations (0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, and 0.5 mM) by dilution with pure water. The calibration curve was measured prior to every
experiment. The calibration curve was checked using HCOOH solutions prepared by diluting HCOOH with the potassium phosphate buffer solution used as electrolyte. The calibration curve was identical for both cases and the accuracy of the measurement was ±5%.

To detect the formation of H$^{13}$COO$^-$, an ion chromatograph interfaced with a time-of-flight mass spectrometry system (IC-TOFMS, Jeol JMS-T100LP) was used with MeOH as the mobile phase.

16. Determination of products in the gas phase

The amount of oxygen and hydrogen in the gas phase were determined by in situ measurements using a flow reactor combined with a gas chromatograph. The flow reactor (Makuhari Rikagaku Garasu Inc.) was equipped with an auto sampler for in situ measurements and was directly connected to the gas chromatograph (GC-2014, Shimadzu Corporation) equipped with Porapak-N and MS-13X columns. The calibration was conducted using CO$_2$-based standard gas containing 100 ppm H$_2$, N$_2$, O$_2$, CO, and hydrocarbons.

At the start of the experiment, the CO$_2$ gas flow was 20 mL min$^{-1}$ for 2 h to remove air in the reactor. CO$_2$ photoreduction was then conducted with a continuous CO$_2$ flow of 20 mL min$^{-1}$. A solar simulator (HAL-320, Asahi Spectra Co., Ltd.) equipped with an optical fiber and rod lens was used as a light source. The light irradiation area was increased to ca. 1 cm$^2$ to obtain a large amount of gaseous products for more accurate determination. However, it was difficult to maintain sufficient distance to obtain a uniform light irradiation area due to the experimental space and the shape of the irradiation area was obtained as some spots which caused by the lens array structure of collimator lens in the rod lens. Therefore, the light intensity
could not be correctly adjusted to 1 sun (AM1.5, 0.25 cm²). More than 100 ppm of gaseous product is preferable for accurate determination (accuracy ±3%) in this system and 250–300 ppm of gaseous oxygen was detected under the experimental conditions.

17. Calculation of solar-to-chemical conversion efficiency for formate production

The efficiency for formate production was calculated using the following equation:

\[ \text{SCE} = \left( \frac{R_F \times \Delta G}{I \times A} \right) \times 100 \]

- **SCE**: Solar-to-chemical conversion efficiency (%)
- **R_F**: Mean rate of formate produced (15.335 μmol/h)
- **ΔG**: Change in Gibbs free energy per mole of formic acid produced from CO₂ and water (ΔG = 270 kJ/mol at 298 K)
- **I**: Light intensity (100 mW/cm²)
- **A**: Irradiation area (0.25 cm²)

The mean rate of formate produced was determined by the slope of the fitted line for the formate production plots over a period of 6 hours, as shown in Fig. 2B.

Numerator:

\[ R_F \times \Delta G = 15.335 \, \mu\text{mol/h} \times 270 \, \text{kJ/mol} \]

\[ = \frac{15.335}{3600} \, \mu\text{mol/s} \times 270 \, \text{kJ/mol} \]

\[ = 1.150 \, \text{mJ/s} \]

\[ = 1.150 \, \text{mW} \]
Denominator:

\[ I \times A = 100 \text{ mW/cm}^2 \times 0.25 \text{ cm}^2 \]

\[ = 25 \text{ mW} \]

\[ \text{SCE} = \frac{1.150}{25 \times 100} = 4.6\% \]

18. References


Figure S1. Schematic illustration of the SiGe-jn structure. Amorphous Si (a-Si) is irradiated with light from the ITO side. The direction of flow of photoexcited electrons is from the ITO side to the stainless steel side. Therefore, CO$_2$ reduction occurs at the stainless steel side and H$_2$O oxidation occurs at the ITO side.
**Figure S2.** Relation between applied potential and amount of formate generated over the CC/p-RuCP photocathode. The CC/p-RuCP photocathode was used as the working electrode of a three-electrode configuration. Pt wire and a Hg/Hg$_2$SO$_4$ electrode were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. 0.1M phosphate buffer saturated with CO$_2$ was used as the electrolyte. CO$_2$ reduction reaction was conducted at various applied potential from -0.07 to -0.30 V (vs. RHE). The amount of formate generated during reaction for 1 h is plotted. The CO$_2$ reduction potential over CC/p-RuCP was evaluated to be approximately -0.18 V (vs. RHE) from the intercept with the x-axis and the steeper slope observed from -0.21 to -0.30 V (vs. RHE). The charge, amount of formate and current efficiency observed for CC/p-RuCP cathode at various applied potentials are shown in Table S1.
Table S1. Current efficiency for formate production over CC/p-RuCP cathode at various applied potentials. The current efficiency for formate production on the SiGe-jn/CC/p-RuCP photocathode was better than that for CC/p-RuCP. However, it is difficult to determine the CO$_2$ reduction potential over p-RuCP using the SiGe-jn/CC/p-RuCP photocathode; therefore, a CC/p-RuCP cathode was used as a working electrode for the three-electrode configuration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applied potential (V vs. RHE)</th>
<th>Charge (C)</th>
<th>Formate (μmol)</th>
<th>Current efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S2. Current efficiency for formate production with respect to the oxygen concentration in the CO$_2$ flow over SiGe-jn/CC/p-RuCP and InP/RuCP photocathodes under light irradiation for 1 h in 0.1M phosphate buffer electrolyte. SiGe-jn/CC/p-RuCP or InP/RuCP photocathodes, Hg/Hg$_2$SO$_4$ and platinum wire were used as working, reference and counter electrodes, respectively. A Pyrex glass cell was used as the reactor and 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer solution (K$_2$HPO$_4$:KH$_2$PO$_4$ = 1:1) was used as the electrolyte. CO$_2$ gas (or CO$_2$ containing oxygen gas) was bubbled into the electrolyte for 20 min prior to the measurement and allowed to flow continuously at 20 mL min$^{-1}$ during the measurement. A xenon light source (MAX-302, Asahi Spectra Co., Ltd., ca. 1 sun) equipped with an optical filter (LUX422, Asahi Spectra Co., Ltd., $\lambda > 400$ nm) and a cold mirror was used to irradiate visible light. CO$_2$ photoreduction reaction was conducted under light irradiation for 1 h at +0.21 V (vs. RHE) and +1.41 V (vs. RHE) for the InP/RuCP and SiGe-jn/CC/p-RuCP photocathodes, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cathode</th>
<th>Applied potential (V vs. RHE)</th>
<th>Oxygen Concentration (%)</th>
<th>Charge (C)</th>
<th>Formate (µmol)</th>
<th>Current efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>InP/RuCP</td>
<td>+0.21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiGe-jn/CC/p-RuCP</td>
<td>+1.41</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S3. Current-potential characteristics of CC and stainless steel substrates for SiGe-jn. The CC electrode and stainless steel substrate of SiGe-jn were used as the working electrode for a three-electrode configuration. Pt wire and Ag/AgCl electrode were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. 0.1M phosphate buffer saturated with CO$_2$ was used as the electrolyte. The scan rate was 50 mV/s and the scan direction was negative. The current axis is displayed as anodic positive. Stainless steel showed a high cathodic current compared with CC. Many bubbles of hydrogen were observed over the stainless steel substrate, while a negligible amount of bubbles was generated over CC, which suggests preferential hydrogen generation over stainless steel.
Figure S4. Current-potential characteristics of CC under Ar or CO$_2$ atmospheres. The CC electrode was used as the working electrode of a three-electrode configuration. Pt wire and Hg/Hg$_2$SO$_4$ electrode were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. 0.1M phosphate buffer was used as the electrolyte. The scan rate was 100 mV/s and the scan direction was negative. CC under a CO$_2$ atmosphere showed a low cathodic current compared with that under an Ar atmosphere. This result indicates that CO$_2$ adsorbed on the surface of CC and the accessibility of protons to CC was prevented by the presence of CO$_2$. 
Table S3  Current efficiency for formate production over RuCP coated onto the stainless steel surface of the SiGe-jn photocathode or onto the CC connected with the SiGe-jn photocathode. The SiGe-jn/RuCP or SiGe-jn/CC/p-RuCP photocathodes were used as the working electrode of the three-electrode configuration. Pt wire and Hg/Hg$_2$SO$_4$ electrodes were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. 0.1M phosphate buffer saturated with CO$_2$ was used as the electrolyte. Current-time measurements were conducted at +1.41 V (vs. RHE) for 3 h under light irradiation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Charge (C)</th>
<th>Formate (µmol)</th>
<th>Current efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stainless steel</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon cloth</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S5. Amount of formate observed before and after the photodegradation test over IrO$_x$/SiGe-jn photoanode in various electrolytes. Photodegradation over the IrO$_x$/SiGe-jn photoanode was conducted at -0.25 V (vs. RHE) in 0.1M of (A) potassium sulfate, (B) potassium tetraborate and (C) potassium bicarbonate electrolytes. The photodegradation of formate in all electrolytes was negligible, similar to that in the phosphate electrolyte.
Figure S6. Current-potential characteristics for the IrOₓ/SiGe-jn photoanode used as the working electrode of a three-electrode configuration. Pt wire and Ag/AgCl electrode were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. 0.1M phosphate buffer saturated with CO₂ was used as the electrolyte. Simulated solar light (1 sun, AM1.5) was irradiated from the IrOₓ side. The scan rate was 100 mV/s and the scan direction was negative. The current axis is displayed as anodic positive. The onset potential was estimated to be -0.52 V (vs. RHE).
Figure S7. Current-potential characteristics for the IrO$_x$/ITO anode used as the working electrode of a three-electrode configuration. Pt wire and Ag/AgCl electrode were used as counter and reference electrodes, respectively. 0.1M phosphate buffer was used as the electrolyte. The scan rate was 100 mV/s and the scan direction was negative. The current axis is displayed as anodic positive. The onset potential of water oxidation reaction over the IrO$_x$/ITO electrode was estimated to be +1.5 V (vs. RHE).
Figure S8. Spectrum of simulated solar light provided by Asahi Spectra Co., Ltd. Japanese industrial standards (JIS C8904-3 (2011)) is equivalent to International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC).
Figure S9. Current-potential characteristics for the IrOₓ/SiGe-jn photoanode and CC/p-RuCP cathode.

The IrOₓ/SiGe-jn photoanode and CC/p-RuCP cathode were used as working electrodes in a three-electrode configuration, where Pt wire and Ag/AgCl electrodes were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. 0.1M phosphate buffer saturated with CO₂ was used as the electrolyte. The scan rate was 100 mV/s and the scan direction was negative. The photocurrent of 3.3 mA/cm² was observed at the operation point, which corresponds to a solar-to-chemical energy conversion efficiency of 4.3%, considering the current efficiency for formate production of 94%. The current axis is displayed as anodic positive for the IrOₓ/SiGe-jn photoanode and cathodic positive for the CC/p-RuCP cathode. The operation point was estimated to be -0.24 V (vs. RHE), which approximately corresponds to that observed in the two-electrode configuration (Fig. S9).
Figure S10. Current-potential characteristics for the IrO$_x$/SiGe-jn photoanode and CC/p-RuCP cathode in a two-electrode configuration under simulated solar light irradiation (1 sun, AM1.5, 0.25 cm$^2$). 0.1M phosphate buffer saturated with CO$_2$ was used as the electrolyte. The scan rate was 100 mV/s and the scan direction was positive. The photocurrent of 3.4 mA/cm$^2$ was observed at 0 V (vs. counter electrode (CE)), which corresponds to a solar-to-chemical energy conversion efficiency of 4.5%, considering the current efficiency for formate production of 94%. The efficiency approximately corresponds to that observed in the IrO$_x$/SiGe-jn/CC/p-RuCP device. The operation point was determined to be -0.25 V (vs. RHE) by voltage measurement between the Ag/AgCl electrode and the IrO$_x$/SiGe-jn photoanode in the two-electrode configuration with the CC/p-RuCP cathode.
Figure S11. Mass spectra for the products observed in the CO$_2$ photoreduction reaction under $^{12}$CO$_2$ (A) and $^{13}$CO$_2$ (B) atmospheres. The spectra were measured at the peak observed at 8 minutes in ion chromatograph measurement (The IC-MS spectrum for m/z = 46 is shown in Fig. 3B). The spectrum observed under $^{12}$CO$_2$ (A) corresponded to the calculated isotopic distribution of HCOO$^-$. The main peak in the spectrum under $^{12}$CO$_2$ (m/z = 45) was shifted to m/z = 46 under the $^{13}$CO$_2$ atmosphere, which indicates that formate was produced by the photoreduction of CO$_2$. 