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Fig. S1 UV-vis spectra of 10, 50, 100 µM AgNP measured after 1 h dilution in 10 mM MOPS, pH 7.5.
Fig. S2 Photosynthetic yield of *E. gracilis* in 10 mM MOPS, pH 7.5 as a function of time.
Table S1 Recalculated AgNP EC50 values based on different percentages of measured dissolved silver

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dissolved silver</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>AgNP EC50, nM</th>
<th>95% CI, nM</th>
<th>p value(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8-11</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6-9</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>26-39</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14-19</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>53-80</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>45-60</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Data analyzed using F-test. \(p < 0.001\), AgNP significantly different from AgNO\(_3\).
Fig. S3 Preliminary experiments for establishing the wash protocol for the uptake studies. Algae were exposed to 50 nM AgNO₃ and 5 µM AgNP for 1 h. To remove surface adsorbed silver ions in AgNO₃ exposure, algae were centrifuged (1200 × g, 5 min), resuspended in fresh MOPS (10 mM, pH 7.5) containing 0.5 mM cysteine, and then gently stirred. Between 5-60 min, algae were filtrated for metal analysis. Algae without washing were also measured to determine total silver. The metal analysis showed that the cysteine wash after as little as 5 min resulted in a constant level of cell-associated silver \( \{\text{Ag}\}_{\text{cell}} \) and therefore was selected as optimal washing protocol for AgNO₃ (A). To remove the surface adsorbed AgNP, algae were centrifuged and resuspended in MOPS. After 1-4 wash cycles, algae were resuspended in cysteine-MOPS and stirred for 5 min to remove adsorbed silver ions. Algae washed for different cycle number as well as unwashed algae were filtrated for metal analysis. The \( \{\text{Ag}\}_{\text{cell}} \) showed to remain constant after two wash cycles followed by a 5 min cysteine-MOPS wash (B).
Fig. S4 Modelling of uptake results.

Fitting model for AgNP: \( Y = \text{Min}\{\text{Ag}\}_{\text{cell}} + (\text{Max}\{\text{Ag}\}_{\text{cell}} - \text{Min}\{\text{Ag}\}_{\text{cell}})/(1+10^{((\log X'-X))}) \) 

Slope: 2.97e-018 ± 1.87e-018

R square: 0.61

Fitting model for AgNO₃: \( Y = kX + b \)

Slope: 1.2e-018 ± 7.72e-023

R square: 0.99
Table S2 Calculation of bioconcentration factors (BCF) for AgNO₃, possible number of AgNP per cell, and dissolution of AgNP based on {Ag}ₙₑₑₙ measured in the uptake experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>{Ag}ₙₑₑₙ, mol cell⁻¹</th>
<th>{Ag}ₙₑₑₙ, mol L⁻¹.cell⁻¹</th>
<th>^{[Ag]}ₙₒᵤᵗ, mol L⁻¹</th>
<th>BCF, L L⁻¹.cell⁻¹</th>
<th>No. of NP</th>
<th>Dissol. %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25 nM AgNO₃</td>
<td>5.31E-17</td>
<td>3.49E-05</td>
<td>2.49E-08</td>
<td>1713</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 nM AgNO₃</td>
<td>7.32E-17</td>
<td>4.71E-05</td>
<td>4.99E-08</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 nM AgNO₃</td>
<td>1.17E-16</td>
<td>8.07E-05</td>
<td>9.98E-08</td>
<td>898</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 nM AgNO₃</td>
<td>1.95E-16</td>
<td>1.39E-04</td>
<td>2.00E-07</td>
<td>756</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 nM AgNO₃</td>
<td>6.17E-16</td>
<td>1.44E-04</td>
<td>4.99E-07</td>
<td>339</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 µM AgNP</td>
<td>3.57E-16</td>
<td>1.86E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 µM AgNP</td>
<td>1.14E-15</td>
<td>4.18E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>215</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 µM AgNP</td>
<td>1.53E-15</td>
<td>5.13E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>289</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 µM AgNP</td>
<td>8.76E-16</td>
<td>4.47E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>166</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 µM AgNP</td>
<td>1.08E-15</td>
<td>3.48E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>205</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* [Ag]ₒᵤᵗ is the silver concentration in the medium after subtracting the silver taken up by cells

BCF were calculated as the ratio between cell-associated silver and silver remaining in the exposure medium, based on Equation 1.

The {Ag}ₙₑₑₙ measured in AgNP exposures include silver from the AgNP ({Ag}ₐₙₙₚₚ) and the dissolved Ag ({Ag}₉ₙ) in AgNP suspensions. For each AgNP exposure concentration (1.7% dissolved Ag), {Ag}₉ₙ was calculated according to the linear uptake model established in the uptake experiments with AgNO₃ (Equation 2). Then the fraction derived from {Ag}₉ₙ in AgNP suspensions was subtracted. The {Ag}ₙₑₑₙ after subtraction (∆{Ag}ₙₑₑₙ) was calculated to correspond to 68–289 AgNP per cell, based on the mean nanoparticle size of 47 nm, silver density (ρₘₜₙ) of 10.49 g cm⁻³, and silver mass (Mₘₜₙ) of 107.8682 g mol⁻¹ (Equation 3).

On the other hand, assuming that the ∆{Ag}ₙₑₑₙ corresponded to the uptake of dissolved Ag only, the amount of Ag needed to be present as dissolved Ag ([Ag]ₑₑₑₙ) was estimated according to Equation 2. The dissolution of AgNP was then calculated as the percentage of dissolved Ag to AgNP exposure concentration, based on Equation 4. As a result, 11-44% of AgNP should have dissolved in the exposure medium.

Equation 1. Calculation of BCF. [Ag]ₑₑₑₙ is the exposure concentration of AgNO₃.
Equation 2. Linear uptake model of dissolved Ag ($\{Ag\}_d$) upon exposure to different concentrations of AgNO$_3$.

$$\{Ag\}_d\frac{\text{mol}}{\text{cell}} = [Ag]_\text{exp}(\text{nM}) \times 10^{-18} + 3 \times 10^{-18}$$

Equation 3. Calculation of nanoparticle number per cell (a). $\Delta\{Ag\}_\text{cell}$ representing the $\{Ag\}_\text{cell}$ after subtraction the $\{Ag\}_d$ in AgNP suspensions. (b) Calculation of $M_{AgNP}$ based on $d_{AgNP} = 47$ nm, $V_{AgNP}$, and $M_{AgNP}$, representing diameter, volume and mass of single AgNP, $\rho_{Ag}$ (silver density) = 10.49 g cm$^{-3}$, and $M_{Ag}$ (silver mass) = 107.8682 g mol$^{-1}$.

(a)

$$\frac{\text{No. of AgNP cell}}{M_{AgNP}\left(\frac{g}{AgNP}\right)} = \frac{\Delta\{Ag\}_\text{cell}( \text{mol} ) \times M_{Ag}(\text{g mol})}{M_{AgNP}\left(\frac{g}{AgNP}\right)}$$

(b)

$$M_{AgNP}\left(\frac{g}{AgNP}\right) = V_{AgNP}\left(\frac{m^3}{AgNP}\right) \times \rho_{Ag}\left(\frac{g}{m^3}\right) = \frac{4}{3} \times \pi \times \frac{4}{3} \times \rho_{Ag}\left(\frac{g}{m^3}\right)$$

Equation 4. Calculation of nanoparticle dissolution. $[Ag]_\text{exp}$ is calculated based on Equation 2. $[AgNP]_\text{exp}$ is the exposure concentration of AgNP.

$$\% \text{ of AgNP dissolution} = \frac{[Ag]_\text{exp}(\text{nM}) \times 10^{-3}}{[AgNP]_\text{exp}(\mu M)} \times 100$$
Fig. S5 Photosynthetic yield plotted as a function of $\{\text{Ag}\}_{\text{cell}}$. The photosynthetic yield was measured at a cell density of $1.0 \times 10^5$ cell/mL upon 1 h exposure to AgNO$_3$ and AgNP (B). The estimated EC$_{50}$s were 312 nM for AgNO$_3$ and 77 µM for AgNP. $\{\text{Ag}\}_{\text{cell}}$ were obtained from uptake experiments. For 100 nM AgNO$_3$, the $\{\text{Ag}\}_{\text{cell}}$ was $8.1 \times 10^{-5}$ mol L$^{-1}$cell$^{-1}$ and the photosynthetic yield of algae was close to control (105%). At a higher AgNO$_3$ exposure concentration, 500 nM, the photosynthetic yield decreased to 8.7% of control cells while the $\{\text{Ag}\}_{\text{cell}}$ reached $1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ mol L$^{-1}$cell$^{-1}$. In case of 1 µM AgNP, the measured $\{\text{Ag}\}_{\text{cell}}$ was $1.9 \times 10^{-4}$ mol L$^{-1}$cell$^{-1}$, yet the photosynthetic yield remained uninhibited (102%). The decrease of photosynthetic yield to 12% was observed when $\{\text{Ag}\}_{\text{cell}}$ reached $5.1 \times 10^{-4}$ mol L$^{-1}$cell$^{-1}$ upon exposure to 10 µM AgNP.
Fig. S6 ToF-SIMS in-depth sputtering on cells after 1 h of exposure to 5 µM AgNP. Silver maps ($^{107}\text{Ag}^+ + ^{109}\text{Ag}^+$) of the topmost surface, after 5.2, 10.4, 15.6 and 20.8 sec of sputtering (A). Surface area: 150 × 150 µm². Silver intensity as a function of the sputtering time, with the full area (black line) and cell area (red line) analyzed. The carbon map shows the position of the cells and the areas taken into account for the intensity plot (insert).
Fig. S7 ToF-SIMS chemical analysis of silver before and after sputtering of the control cell (A), cell exposed to 250 nM AgNO₃ (B), 1 µM (C) and 5 µM AgNP (D). Surface area: 150 × 150 µm².