Supplementary Material

for the manuscript

Pd and Pd-Au nanocatalysts supported on exfoliated graphite for

high throughput dehalogenation by nanocomposite membranes

Revised version submitted for consideration as a publication in the *Environmental Science: Nano* journal

by

Christopher A. Crock and Volodymyr V. Tarabara*

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

January 10, 2016

(original version submitted on November 8, 2015)

* Corresponding author

S1. TCE dechlorination experiments: analytical procedure for measuring TCE

Using a preheated (80°C) 2 mL sample from either the batch or flow-through reactor, a 50 μ L sample was injected into the gas chromatograph (Perkin-Elmer) using a gastight syringe. The TCE peak was observed at 3.0 min, and the area under the curve was extracted for each sample. A 4-point standard calibration curve (10, 100, 1000, and 10,000 ppb) was created to convert areas to mass concentration. The GC was equipped with an electron capture detector, and N₂ was used as the carrier gas. The temperature of the oven was set to 80°C while the detector temperature was 350°C. Control experiments were conducted without H₂ and it was shown that there was no observable adsorption of TCE on xGnP (Figure S1).



Figure S1. Results from control experiment conducted with TCE and Pd-Au/xGnP without the reducing agent H_2 present.

S2. Catalyst characterization by TEM-EDS and AA.



Figure S2. TEM EDS results of Pd-Au/xGnP and a TEM micrograph of Pd-Au nanoparticles supported on xGnP.

The Pd and Au nanoparticles were dissolved from the xGnP support, and the solution was filtered and analyzed using AA. AA characterization included the following four steps:

- 1. A sample of Pd/xGnP or Pd-Au/xGnP was weighed and heated in aqua regia at the boiling point for 1 h.
- After heating, the suspension was sonicated (Aquasonic 50T, VWR Scientific) for 3 h.
- The sonicated suspension was filtered through a 0.45 μm mixed cellulose ester filter (Millipore).
- 4. The filtrate was diluted with DI water and analyzed for Pd and Au content using AA. Because not all Au was leached from Au-xGnP, the cake on the surface of the filter was analyzed for gold content. To do that the filter was dried in a fume hood for 12 h, then was weighed, suspended in fresh aqua regia and subjected to the sequence of treatment steps 2 to 4 three times. At the end, the gold concentration in the filtrate was less than 2% of the total leached Au concentration.

Table S1. Atomic adsorption spectroscopy results of Pd and Au concentration on graphene normalized by the mass of xGnP.

Catalyst	Metal concentration normalized by xGnP mass				
Pd/xGnP	Sample A1	Sample B1	Sample C1	AVE	CI, 90%
Pd	6.54%	7.62%	4.91%	6.36%	± 1.30%
Pd-Au/xGnP	Sample A2	Sample B2	Sample C2	AVE	CI, 90%
Pd	6.54%	7.62%	7.62%	7.26%	± 0.59%
Au	7.59%	7.30%	6.20%	7.03%	± 0.70%

S3. Comparison of 1st order and 2nd order reaction kinetics.

The reactivity of newly synthesized nanoparticles fit a 2nd order model (eq. (7) in the main manuscript) better than 1st order model (e.g.) because of the disappearance of

 H_2 with time. The 2nd order fits of batch (Figure S3) and flow-through (Figure S4) reaction data yielded R² values of 0.92 and 0.96, which was significantly higher than corresponding R² values (0.73 and 0.91) obtained for to 1st order models.



Figure S3. Example fits of batch reaction kinetics data to the 2nd order reaction model.



Figure S4. Example fits of flow-through reaction kinetics data to the 2nd order reaction model.



Figure S5. Example fits of H_2 decomposition kinetics data to the 1st order reaction model for TCE dehalogenation experiments with Pd-Au/xGnP catalyst at regular (1.25 mg) and low (0.25 mg) loadings.

S4. TCE dechlorination experiments using low concentrations of Pd-Au/xGnP

For dechlorination experiments with low Pd-Au/xGnP loadings, the protocol was identical to the procedure described in section 2.4.1 except that 5 times lower (0.25 mg) loading of the catalyst (Pd-Au/xGnP) was used. We decreased the loading in order to explore the reaction kinetics when TCE conversion was low. Based on these experiments, it was shown that TCE conversion batch reactions fit a 1st order model better than a 2nd order although the improvement was not statistically significant: the R² statistics were 0.971 ± 0.015 and 0.925 ± 0.059 (p = 0.05 and n = 3), respectively.



Figure S6. Fits of batch reaction kinetics data to the 1st order reaction model.



Figure S7. Fits of batch reaction kinetics data to the 2nd order reaction model.

S4. Particle size distribution of Pd and Pd-Au nanoparticles on xGnP supports

From TEM images, we could estimate the particle size distribution of Pd and Pd-Au nanoparticles using imageJ software (version java $1.6.0_{-65}$) (Figure S8). The distributions were calculated by randomly measuring the diameters of 200 nanoparticles on xGnP supports. Pd nanoparticles ranged in size from 2 to 20 nm, while ~ 87 % of these particles ranged in size from 5 to 0 nm. Pd-Au nanoparticles ranged in size from 6 to 40 nm, and 85% of these particles ranged in size from 10 to 30 nm.



Figure S8. Size distribution of Pd and Pd-Au nanoparticles on xGnP supports. Each distribution was calculated based on 200 randomly selected nanoparticles.