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Table S1. The EA and TG results in this work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>EA</th>
<th>TG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zn(L)(bpe)-(H₂O)₃(DMF)₁.₅</td>
<td>calc. C/49.41, N/10.38, H/4.42</td>
<td>At 35-320°C, loss all solvents exp. 21.8%, calc. 22.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>exp. C/49.23, N/10.31, H/4.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(L)(bpe)-(DMF)₁.₂</td>
<td>calc. C/53.42, N/10.94, H/3.69</td>
<td>At 35-275°C, loss all solvents exp. 13.2%, calc. 13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>exp. C/53.49, N/10.89, H/3.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(L)(bpa)₀.₅(bpe)₀.₅-(DMF)₁.₂</td>
<td>calc. C/53.78, N/10.48, H/3.97</td>
<td>At 35-230°C, loss all solvents exp. 13.2%, calc. 13.2%; At 230-285°C, cleavage of cyclobutane rings and release of allyl alcohol, exp. 4.3%, calc. 4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>exp. C/53.72, N/10.41, H/3.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure S1. The TG plots in this work.
Figure S2. The PXRD patterns in this work.

Figure S3. The N\textsubscript{2} adsorption isotherm at 77K for ECIT-20@1.2DMF.
**Figure S4.** The CO$_2$ adsorption isotherms at 293 K for ECIT-20@1.2DMF, ECIT-21@1.2DMF, and ECIT-21@(1.2DMF+0.5CH$_2$=CHCH$_2$OH), respectively. ECIT-21@(1.2DMF+0.5CH$_2$=CHCH$_2$OH) was obtained in this way that ECIT-20@1.2DMF underwent CH$_2$=CHCH$_2$OH adsorption under UV but without desorption. Note: adsorption (solid symbols) and desorption (open symbols).

**Figure S5.** C$_2$H$_5$OH adsorption isotherms on ECIT-20@1.2DMF at 298K with UV or without UV, respectively.

**Solid-State NMR.** It was performed at room temperature on a Bruker DRX400-WB instrument. Chemical shifts were referred to external standard adamantane. The broadness would due to chemical shift anisotropy, dipolar and quadrupolar interactions, etc., which was averaged by faster MAS spinning. Due to overlapping and broadening of the signals, complete assignment of chemical
shifts was not attempted.

**Figure S6.** $^1$H MAS solid-state NMR spectrum of ECIT-20@1.2DMF and ECIT-21@1.2DMF. The overlapping and broadening of the signals at 2.3-11.5 ppm in ECIT-20@1.2DMF is due to DMF, bpe and L ligand. The signals at 3.1 ppm, 3.9 ppm, 4.6 ppm is due to DMF and cyclobutane segment. The signals at 6.4 ppm resulted from partly bpa pyridyl hydrogen atoms close to the cyclobutane segment. The signals at 7.4 ppm resulted from partly bpa pyridyl hydrogen atoms close to the pyridyl nitrogen atom plus L hydrogen atoms.

**Figure S7.** $^{13}$C CPMAS solid-state NMR spectrum of ECIT-20@1.2DMF and ECIT-21@1.2DMF. The signals at 171.2 ppm and 176.2 ppm is derived from DMF C=O fragment and L carboxylate. The peaks at 147.2 ppm, 134.0 ppm, 124.3 ppm 121.3 ppm is due to the bpe, L carbon
atoms in ECIT-20@1.2DMF and bpe, bpa, L carbon atoms in ECIT-21@1.2DMF. The peak at 129.4 ppm is assigned to C=C of bpe. Peak at 62.8 ppm should be CH₂OH carbon atoms of cyclobutane segment in ECIT-21@1.2DMF. And the small humps at 24-59 ppm is due to DMF and cyclobutane.

**Figure S8.** The capture/release cycle obtain in this way, photochemical [2+2] cycloaddition for capture allyl alcohol and thermo-dependent reversible cleavage of cycloaddition for release of allyl alcohol. The samples were prepared in detail as follows: ECIT-20@1.2DMF is used to capture allyl alcohol under UV, then the release is by heating the samples after loading allyl alcohol at 285°C for 24 h.

**Hg²⁺ adsorption.** A stock solution of Hg(II) (1000 mg L⁻¹) was prepared from Hg(NO₃)₂. All the working Hg(II) solutions were prepared using appropriate subsequent dilutions of the stock solution. The adsorption of Hg(II) was carried out under static conditions. The pH of Hg(II) solution was adjusted to the required value by HCl and NH₃·H₂O solutions. The residual concentration of the Hg²⁺ in the solution was determined by the atomic fluorescence spectrometer (AFS). The amount of adsorption at equilibrium, qₑ (mg g⁻¹), was calculated according to eqn (1):

$$ qₑ = \frac{(c₀ - cₑ)v}{m} $$  

(1)

Where $c₀$ (mg L⁻¹) and $cₑ$ (mg L⁻¹) are the initial and equilibrated concentrations of Hg²⁺, respectively, $v$ (L) is the volume of solution, and $m$ (g) is the mass of dry adsorbent. The removal efficiency (%) of the mercury was calculated by Eq. (2):
Removal efficiency \( (\%) = \frac{c_0 - c_e}{c_0} \times 100\% \) 

Figure S9. Effect of contact time on Hg\(^{2+}\) removal \((c_0(Hg^{2+})=100\) ppb, \(v=10\) mL, m(adsorbent)=2 mg, \(T=25^\circ\)C, \(pH=7)\).

Figure S10. The fitting of pseudo-second-order kinetic plot for the adsorption of Hg\(^{2+}\) onto ECIT-20@1.2DMF/left and ECIT-21@1.2DMF/right, respectively. Note: The pseudo-second-order model is expressed as

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}
\]

where \(k_2\) (g (mg min\(^{-1}\))) is the pseudo-second-order rate constant, \(q_e\) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) and \(q_t\) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) are the
adsorption amounts at equilibrium and at time t, respectively. The fitting results were listed as follows: for ECIT-20@1.2DMF, $k_2 = 0.04 (g/(mg\cdot min))$, $q_e = 0.4709 mg/g$, $q_{exp.} = 0.3568 mg/g$; for ECIT-21@1.2DMF, $k_2 = 0.0878 (g/(mg\cdot min))$, $q_e = 0.3557 mg/g$, $q_{exp.} = 0.2967 mg/g$.

**Figure S11.** Sorption isotherm of Hg$^{2+}$ for ECIT-20@1.2DMF and ECIT-21@1.2DMF ($v=10\ mL$, $m(adsorbent)=2\ mg$, $T=25^\circ C$, $t=150\ min$, $pH=7$).

**Figure S12.** PXRD patterns for the samples of ECIT-20@1.2DMF and ECIT-21@1.2DMF after loading Hg(II). It is clear that their PXRD patterns match well with the as-synthesized samples, indicating that the skeleton integrity can be maintained even after loading Hg(II). The enhanced and sharp peak at $2\theta=22.4$ indicates the formation of a more dense phase after loading Hg(II).