

**ESI Table 1.** The initial reactant concentrations and source references.

Reactant	Initial concentration	Unit	Source references
<i>SCFAcoeff</i>	(1.00 → 3.60)	μM	<i>N/A</i>
<i>TR</i>	0.05	μM	<a href="#">1,2</a>
<i>TRac</i>	0	μM	
<i>HST</i>	0.3	μM	<a href="#">1,2</a>
<i>HSTac</i>	0	μM	
<i>beta</i>	1.1	μM	<a href="#">3</a>
<i>MT</i>	5.5	μM	<a href="#">4-7</a>
<i>tuGDP</i>	3	μM	
<i>tuGTP</i>	1.5	μM	

**ESI Table 2.** The kinetic reactions, rate constants, rate laws and ODEs used in the modeled system.

Equation; activator	Rate constant	Rate law	ODE
$TR \rightarrow TRac;$ $SCFAcoeff$	k1f	irr. mass action, activated	$d[TRac]/dt = - (k1b[TRac]) + (k1f [TR] [SCFAcoeff])$
$TRac \rightarrow TR$	k1b	irr. mass action	
$HST \rightarrow HStac;$ $TRac$	k2f	irr. mass action, activated	$d[HStac]/dt = - (k2b[HStac]) + (k2f [HST] [TRac])$
$HStac \rightarrow HST$	k2b	irr. mass action	
$\betaeta \rightarrow$ $HStac$	k3f	zero order, activated	$d[\betaeta]/dt = - (k3b[\betaeta]) + (k3f [HStac])$
$\betaeta \rightarrow$	k3b	irr. mass action	
$tuGTP \rightarrow MT$	k4; k4x	non-linear second order	$d[MT]/dt = - (k5[MT][\betaeta]) + ([tuGTP] (k4x + k4 [MT]^2))$
$MT \rightarrow tuGDP;$ $\betaeta$	k5	irr. mass action, activated	$d[tuGDP]/dt = - (k6 [tuGDP]) + (k5[MT][\betaeta])$
$tuGDP \rightarrow tuGTP$	k6	irr. mass action	$d[tuGTP]/dt = - ([tuGTP] (k4x + k4 [MT]^2)) + (k6 [tuGTP])$

irr: irreversible; f: denotes a forward reaction; b: denotes a backward reaction

**ESI Table 3.** The forward and reverse kinetic rate constants in the modelled pathway.

Reaction	Rate constant	Value	Units	Range	Source references
TR acetylation	k1f	0.30	$\mu\text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$	0.2 to 5 $\text{s}^{-1}$	<a href="#">1,8</a>
TR deacetylation	k1b	0.25	$\text{s}^{-1}$		
Histone acetylation	k2f	0.275	$\mu\text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$	0.35 $\text{s}^{-1}$ ; 0.3 $\text{s}^{-1}$	<a href="#">9</a>
Histone deacetylation	k2b	0.05	$\text{s}^{-1}$		
$\beta$ synthesis	k3f	0.23	$\text{s}^{-1}$	0.01–0.6 $\text{s}^{-1}$	<a href="#">10,11</a>
$\beta$ degradation	k3b	0.30	$\text{s}^{-1}$	0.06–2 $\text{s}^{-1}$	
MT rescue	k4	50			
	k4x	0.081	$\text{s}^{-1}$	upper & lower	<a href="#">12</a>
MT catastrophe	k5	1	$\mu\text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$	constraints	
<i>tuGDP</i>	k6	0.0065	$\text{s}^{-1}$		
phosphorylation					

f: denotes a forward reaction; b: denotes a backward reaction

**ESI Table 4.** Published experimental data for MT dynamicity parameters in **(A)** interphase cells and control cells for MT destabilising and stabilising treatments; **(B)** mitotic cells and cells undergoing MT-destabilising and MT-stabilising treatments.

**(A)**

MT dynamicity parameter	Interphase cells <sup>a</sup>	control cells (destabilising) <sup>b</sup>	control cells (stabilising) <sup>b</sup>
catastrophe frequency [s <sup>-1</sup> ]	0.023	0.004	0.012
rescue frequency [s <sup>-1</sup> ]	0.108	0.036	0.020
% time elongating	15.9	66.2	38.7
% time shortening	12.2	7.2	19.9
% time pausing	72.0	28.9	39.4
growth rate [ $\mu\text{m. min}^{-1}$ ]	9.875	3.6	4.8
shrinkage rate [ $\mu\text{m. min}^{-1}$ ]	14.50	9.5	6.4

**(B)**

MT dynamicity parameter	Mitotic cells <sup>a</sup>	MT-destabilised cells <sup>b</sup>	MT-stabilised cells <sup>b</sup>
catastrophe frequency [s <sup>-1</sup> ]	0.089	0.018	0.006
rescue frequency [s <sup>-1</sup> ]	0.033	0.021	0.038
% time elongating	50.5	34.1	63.8
% time shortening	38.1	29.0	7.6
% time pausing	11.4	38.7	29.0
growth rate [ $\mu\text{m. min}^{-1}$ ]	13.12	6.0	4.0
shrinkage rate [ $\mu\text{m. min}^{-1}$ ]	15.15	6.9	10.0

Mean values: <sup>a</sup> Rusan *et al.* (2001); <sup>b</sup> Kline-Smith & Walczak (2002)<sup>6,13</sup>

**ESI Table 5.** The sensitivities of the model outcomes to the initial parameters. The results show the summarised, scaled sensitivities: **(A)** the influences of the initial concentrations of the reactants on the reaction fluxes; **(B)** the influences of the kinetic rate constants on the temporal concentrations of the reactants.

<b>A</b>			
<b>Variable: initial concentration</b>	<b>Target function: fluxes of reactions</b>	<b>Variable: kinetic rate constant</b>	<b>Target function: temporal concentrations</b>
<i>TR</i>	46.73	k1f ( <i>TR</i> -acetylation)	20.40
<i>HST</i>	54.14	k1b ( <i>TR</i> -deacetylation)	19.13
$\beta$ <i>eta</i>	10.87	k2f ( <i>HST</i> -acetylation)	46.70
<i>MT</i>	75.86	k2b ( <i>HST</i> -deacetylation)	39.78
<i>tuGDP</i>	41.43	k3f ( $\beta$ <i>eta</i> -synthesis)	54.09
<i>tuGTP</i>	21.03	k3b ( $\beta$ <i>eta</i> -degradation)	48.44
		k4 (rescue)	68.51
		k4x (rescue-x)	30.62
		k5 (catastrophe)	67.14
		k6 (phosphorylation)	144.71

f: denotes a forward reaction; b: denotes a backward reaction

## References

1. Chan C, Stark J, George AJT. Feedback control of T-cell receptor activation. *Proc R Soc Lond Ser B: Biol Sci.* 2004;271(1542):931-9.
2. Smolen P, Hardin PE, Lo BS, Baxter DA, Byrne JH. Simulation of Drosophila circadian oscillations, mutations, and light responses by a model with VRI, PDP-1, and CLK. *Biophys J.* 2004;86(5):2786-802.
3. Kilner J, Waby JS, Chowdry J, Khan AQ, Noirel J, Wright PC, et al. A proteomic analysis of differential cellular responses to the short-chain fatty acids butyrate, valerate and propionate in colon epithelial cancer cells. *Mol BioSyst.* 2012;8(4):1146-56.
4. Caplow M, Shanks J. Induction of microtubule catastrophe by formation of tubulin - GDP and apotubulin subunits at microtubule ends. *Biochemistry.* 1995;34(48):15732-41.
5. Drechsel DN, Hyman AA, Cobb MH, Kirschner MW. Modulation of the dynamic instability of tubulin assembly by the microtubule-associated protein tau. *Mol Biol Cell.* 1992;3(10):1141-54.
6. Kline-Smith SL, Walczak CE. The microtubule-destabilizing kinesin XKCM1 regulates microtubule dynamic instability in cells. *Mol Biol Cell.* 2002;13(8):2718-31.
7. Walker RA, Obrien ET, Pryer NK, Soboeiro MF, Voter WA, Erickson HP, et al. Dynamic instability of individual microtubules analyzed by video light-microscopy - rate constants and transition frequencies. *J Cell Biol.* 1988;107(4):1437-48.
8. Stoops JK, Singh N, Wakil SJ. The yeast fatty-acid synthase - pathway for transfer of the acetyl group from coenzyme a to the cys-sh of the condensation site. *J Biol Chem.* 1990;265(28):16971-7.
9. Cheung P, Tanner KG, Cheung WL, Sassone-Corsi P, Denu JM, Allis CD. Synergistic coupling of histone H3 phosphorylation and acetylation in response to epidermal growth factor stimulation. *Mol Cell.* 2000;5(6):905-15.
10. Chen KC, Calzone L, Csikasz-Nagy A, Cross FR, Novak B, Tyson JJ. Integrative analysis of cell cycle control in budding yeast. *Mol Biol Cell.* 2004;15(8):3841-62.
11. Schuetz A, Min J, Allali-Hassani A, Schapira M, Shuen M, Loppnau P, et al. Human HDAC7 harbors a class IIa histone deacetylase-specific zinc binding motif and cryptic deacetylase activity. *J Biol Chem.* 2008;283(17):11355-63.
12. Tyson JJ. Modeling the cell-division cycle - cdc2 and cyclin interactions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1991;88(16):7328-32.
13. Rusan NM, Fagerstrom CJ, Yvon AMC, Wadsworth P. Cell cycle-dependent changes in microtubule dynamics in living cells expressing green fluorescent protein-alpha tubulin. *Mol Biol Cell.* 2001;12(4):971-80.