Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Nanoscale. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2015

Electronic supplementary information (ESI) for the paper:

Efficient red luminescence from organic-soluble Au₂₅ clusters by ligand structure modification

Ammu Mathew,^[a] Elizabeth Varghese,^[a] Susobhan Choudhury,^[b] Samir Kumar Pal,^[b] and T. Pradeep^{[a]*}

[a] DST Unit of Nanoscience (DST UNS) and Thematic Unit of Excellence (TUE), Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India.

[b] Department of Chemical Biological & Macromolecular Sciences, S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, Block JD, Sector III, Salt Lake, Kolkata 700098, India

*E-mail: <u>pradeep@iitm.ac.in</u>

Table of contents

No.	Description	Pg no.		
S1	Stability of UV-vis absorbtion spectral features of Au ₂₅ SBB ₁₈ clusters			
S2	Comparison of flourescence spectral chnges of cluster under various experimental conditions			
S3	UV-vis absorption spectra of parent Au ₂₅ QCs and resultant red luminescent cluster	4		
S4	NIR emission from parent Au ₂₅ SBB ₁₈ QCs and red luminescent cluster	5		
S5	Control experiments showing effect of BBSH thiol and Au(I)SBB thiolate with heating	6		
S6	PL profile showing evolution of red luminescent cluster with time	7		
S7	SEM and EDAX data from TLC plate of the red luminescent clusters	8		
S8	ESI MS of Au ₂₅ SBB ₁₈ and red luminescent cluster	9		
S9	Comparison of TGA data from the QCs	10		
S10	TEM images of Au ₂₅ SBB ₁₈ and red luminescent Au ₂₉ clusters	11		
S11	SEM and EDAX characterization of red luminescent Au ₂₉ cluster.	12		
S12	Effect of addition of sodium borohydride to red luminescent Au ₂₉ cluster	13		
S13	Picosecond life time decay of Au ₂₉ QCs upon excitation at different wavelengths.	14		
S14	Au ₂₅ SBB ₁₈ -loaded silica gel experiment	15		



Figure S1. Stability of UV-vis absorbtion spectral features of Au₂₅SBB₁₈ clusters (A) with time and (B) against etching using excess BBSH thiol. Various Au:BBSH ratios used for etching are indicated. (C) Emission spectra of parent Au₂₅SBB₁₈ in the visible (λ_{ex} 467 nm, green trace) and NIR (λ_{ex} 992 nm, blue trace) regimes. An expanded version (125k times) of the original spectra is also shown for visualization. Inset shows photographs of the Au₂₅SBB₁₈ cluster under visible light (a) and UV light (b). Note that no observable visible luminescence is present from the solution.



Figure S2. (A) Changes in the emission spectra (λ_{ex} 467 nm) of Au₂₅SBB₁₈ in the visible region upon addition of Au(I)SBB thiolate and heating for 2 h. (B) Evolution of excitation and emission spectra of the red luminescent cluster with increasing reaction time; 0 h (red trace), 12 h (green trace) and 24 h (blue trace). The time shown indicates the time for which sample was heated at 55 °C with stirring. The samples were cooled for 3 h before PL measurement. A 24 h thermal etching treatment was thus identified to obtain clusters with highest luminescence intensity. (C) Bar diagram comparing the changes in relative fluorescence intensity at 737 nm (ex 467 nm) upon addition of Au(I)SBB thiolate to pure Au₂₅ followed by incubation for 24 h (red bars), pure Au₂₅ with heating for 24 h (green bars) and to previously synthesized red luminescent clusters (blue bars).



Figure S3. Comparison of UV-vis absorption spectra of parent $Au_{25}SBB_{18}$ (black trace) and resultant red luminescent cluster (red trace). Inset shows an expanded view of the spectra.



Figure S4. Comparison of emission in the NIR regime (excited at 992 nm) for parent $Au_{25}SBB_{18}$ QCs (green trace) and red luminescent Au_{29} (nucearity explained later) clusters (blue trace). An expanded spectra (140 times) showing the NIR features of the red luminescent clusters (blue dotted line) clearly indicates the absence of the prominent emission feature at 1030 nm (seen in parent Au_{25}) in the red luminescent cluster. Spectral features shows a blue shift accompaned by a drastic quenching after the reaction. Identical concentrations of both clusters are compared n the spectra under similar instrumental conditions.



Figure S5. Control experiments showing the effect of emission spectra (ex 467 nm) of $Au_{25}SBB_{18}$ upon heating at 55 °C for 24 h in presence of similar concentrations of BBSH thiol (instead of Au(I)SBB thiolate used to synthesize red luminescent clusters) in comparison with parent clusters under identical conditions (A). (B) Effect of emission spectra of Au(I)SBB thiolate upon heating for 24 h at 55 °C. This validates that the visual luminescence enhancement observed upon heating Au_{25} QCs in presence of Au(I)SBB thiolate is neither a consequence of heating Au_{25} in presence of BBSH thiol nor an effect of heating Au(I)SBB thiolate for 24 h.



Figure S6. Comparison of excitation and emission spectra of the various reaction intermediates such as BBSH thiol (black and red trace), Au(I)SBB thiolate (green and blue trace), Au₂₅SBB₁₈ (cyan and pink trace) and red luminescent cluster (dark brown and tan trace) respectively. Solutions were diluted in THF so as to maintain identical concentrations (with respect to BBSH thiol). All solutions were measured at λ_{ex} 467 nm and λ_{em} of 737 nm. Slit width was kept at 3 nm for all measurements.



Figure S7. SEM (c), EDAX images (d-i) and EDAX spectrum (b) from the TLC plate with the separated red luminescent fraction (photograph shown as inset) under UV light (a') and visible light (a).



Figure S8. ESI MS of $Au_{25}SBB_{18}$ (black trace) and red luminescent cluster (red trace) in negative ion mode (A). Peak corresponding to Au_{25} is marked in the figure. (B) shows an expanded view of the area marked in (A). A difference of m/z 188, corresponding to (Au+SBB)/2, was observed between the three peaks marked i, ii and iii in the figure. Similar intensities of the peaks indicate fragmentation.



Figure S9. Comparison of TGA data from parent $Au_{25}SBB_{18}$ (red trace) and red luminescent Au_{29} QCs (green trace). Though both clusters show similar trend in thiolate desorption the organic content is more in the luminescent Au_{29} QC as shown. 2.51 mg of $Au_{25}SBB_{18}$ and 3.32 mg of Au_{29} was used for analysis under a N_2 atmosphere. Both experimental and calculated values (shown in the inset) are matching confirming the assignment.



Figure S10. TEM images of (A) $Au_{25}SBB_{18}$ and (B) red luminescent Au_{29} clusters.



Figure S11. SEM (A, B) and EDAX (C) characterization of red luminescent Au@SBB cluster. SEM images at 6 h (A) and 24 h (B) of reacton are shown. A shows the crude cluster containing mixture of $Au_{25}SBB_{18}$ (indicated by green circle) and red luminescent Au_{29} cluster (red circle) obtained after 6 h of heating. Pure red luminescent $Au_{29}SBB_{23}$ cluster obtained after 24 h heating is shown in B. EDAX mapping and spectra are shown in (C). Carbon and aluminium are from the substrate used for the measurement. Contrast of carbon is low due to the use of carbon tape as the substrate. The scale is same for all images.



Figure S12. (A) Effect of addition of sodium borohydride to red luminescent Au_{29} cluster. With increasing amounts of NaBH₄ a emission intensities underwent a drastic quenching along with red shift possibly due to reduction of the surface thiolate shell on the cluster and thus shifting the luminescence to NIR regime. (B) Comparison of change in relative emission intensities of luminescent Au_{29} and parent $Au_{25}SBB_{18}$ cluster to equal amounts of NaBH₄.



Figure S13. Life time decay of Au_{29} QCs (emission wavelength of 735 nm) upon excitation at different wavelengths.

Table S1. Picosecond time-resolved fluorescence transients of Au_{29} clusters in THF upon excitation in three different (409, 510 and 635 nm)

System in THF	$\tau_{1}(\%)$ ns	$\tau_{2}(\%)$ ns	$\tau_{2}(\%)$ ns	τ (ns)
	¢1(70) 113	t ₂ (70) IIS	13(70) 113	tavg (IIS)
Δu_{n} (Ev 100 nm)	0.05(84)	0 79(8 5)	35(7.5)	2 77
11u ₂₉ (LA +0) IIII)	0.05(04)	0.79(0.5)	55(7.5)	2.77
Au_{20} (Ex 510 nm)	0.08(70)	0.814(18)	32(12)	4 02
	0.00(70)	0.01 ((10)	52(12)	1.02
Au_{20} (Ex 635 nm)	0 19(60)	1 09(26)	32(14)	4 87
11429 (211 000 1111)	0.17(00)	1.07(=0)	5=(1.)	



Figure S14. Photographs of of silica gel (1, 1'), Au₂₅SBB₁₈-loaded silica gel (2, 2') and Au₂₅SBB₁₈-loaded silica gel after 24 h heat treatment (3, 3') under visible light (1, 2 and 3) and under UV light (1', 2' and 3'). Experimental set-up is shown in (4). Though the Au₂₅SBB₁₈-loaded silica gel powder was initially non-luminescent (see 2, 2'), upon heating it became bright red luminescent (see 3 and 3') with little or no change in the visible color.