SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Fabrication of Carbohydrate Microarrays on Poly(2-Hydroxy ethyl methacrylate)-Based Photoactive Substrate

Madanodaya Sundhoro,[†]*Hui Wang*,[†]*Scott T. Boiko*,[‡]*Xuan Chen*,[†]*H. Surangi N.*

Jayawardena,[†] JaeHyeung Park,[†] Mingdi Yan^{*†}

[†]Department of Chemistry, University of Massachusetts Lowell, Lowell, MA 01854

[‡]Department of Biology, University of Massachusetts Lowell, Lowell, MA 01854

Table of contents

Scheme S-1. Fabrication of polymer array followed by treating with FITC-Con A	.3
Scheme S-2. Fabrication of 2D carbohydrate array followed by treating with FITC-Con A	.3
Figure S-1. ¹ H NMR spectrum of poly(HEMA-co-HEMA-PFPA) in d ₆ -DMSO	.4
Figure S-2. AFM image of poly(HEMA-co-HEMA-PFPA) film, after irradiation	.4
Figure S-3. ¹ H NMR spectrum of PHEMA in d ₆ -DMSO	.5
Figure S-4 . Fluorescence image (left) and intensities (right) of the polymer array after treating with FITC-Con A	. 5
Figure S-5. IR spectra of poly(HEMA- <i>co</i> -HEMA-PFPA) (A) before, and (B) after UV treatment.	.6
Figure S-6. Fluorescence image of carbohydrate array printed (9 mg/mL) on PHEMA after treating with FITC-Con A.	.6
Figure S-7. IR spectrum of PHEMA	.6
Figure S-8. Signal-to-noise ratios of the microarray	.7
Figure S-9 . Fluorescence images of 2D carbohydrate array printed on silicon wafer after treating with FITC-Con A.	.7

Figure S-10.	Optical images of I	E. coli ORN 20	8 after treating	with: (A) PEO,	(B) PEOX, (C)
PHEMA, (D)	PS				8



Scheme S-1. Fabrication of polymer array followed by treating with FITC-Con A.



Scheme S-2. Fabrication of 2D carbohydrate array followed by treating with FITC-Con A.



Figure S-1. ¹H NMR spectrum of poly(HEMA-*co*-HEMA-PFPA) in d₆-DMSO $\overline{M}_n = 9960$, calculated as follow:

 $\overline{M}_n = 2 \times (I_{4.59} \times 347 + I_{3.90} \times 130) / I_{6.61} + 153$, where $I_{4.56}$, $I_{3.90}$, and $I_{6.60}$ are integrals of peaks at 4.59 (ethylene proton of HEMA-PFPA part), 3.90 (ethylene proton of HEMA part), and 6.61 ppm (proton in RAFT agent), respectively. 153 is the molecular weight of RAFT agent incorporated in the polymer chain.



Figure S-2. AFM image of poly(HEMA-co-HEMA-PFPA) film, after irradiation with a medium pressure Hg lamp



Figure S-3. ¹H NMR spectrum of PHEMA in d₆-DMSO. \overline{M}_n = 5360, which was calculated following the same formula in **Figure S-1**.



Figure S-4. Fluorescence image (left) and intensities (right) of the polymer array after treating with FITC-Con A. The array contains immobilized PEO 1,000,000 (A), PEOX 200,000 (B), PHEMA (C), and PS 280,000 (D). On the fluorescence intensity plot (right), each data was the average of the 5 spots on the array, and the error bars were omitted for clarity.



Figure S-5. IR spectra of poly(HEMA-*co*-HEMA-PFPA) (A) before, and (B) after UV treatment.



Figure S-6. Fluorescence image of carbohydrate array printed (9 mg/mL) on PHEMA after treating with FITC-Con A. The array contains water (A), D-fructose (Fru) (B), and D-mannose (Man) (C).



Figure S-7. IR spectrum of PHEMA



Figure S-8. Signal-to-noise ratios of the microarray shown in Figure 2.



Figure S-9. Fluorescence images of 2D carbohydrate array printed on silicon wafer after treating with FITC-Con A. The array contains Man3 (A), Man2 (B), Man (C) and Gal (D).



Figure S-10. Optical images of *E. coli* ORN 208 after treating with: (A) PEO, (B) PEOX, (C) PHEMA, (D) PS.