**Supporting Information**

Guigang Zhang, Zhi-An Lan, Lihua Lin, Sen Lin and Xinchen Wang*

State Key Laboratory of Photocatalysis on Energy and Environment, College of Chemistry, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, 350002, China.

E-mail: xcwang@fzu.edu.cn

**Methods**

**Catalysts preparation.** g-C$_3$N$_4$ was prepared according to the literature. Briefly, 10 g of urea was placed in a crucible with a cover. It was heated in muffle furnace with a heating rate of 5 °C/min to the target temperatures (500, 525, 550, 575 and 600 °C) and maintained for 2 hours in the air flow. Then the final products were collected after naturally cooled down to the room temperature. In comparison, some other precursors including dicyandiamide (DCDA) and ammonium thiocyanate (AT) were also prepared with the same methods. They were denoted as CND and CNA for simply. Mesoporous carbon nitride (mpg-C$_3$N$_4$) was prepared via a classical hard-template strategy. To prepare mpg-C$_3$N$_4$, cyanamide (3 g, 72 mmol; Aldrich) was dissolved in 1.5 g of a 40% dispersion of 12-nm SiO$_2$ particles (Ludox HS40, Aldrich) in water with stirring at 80 °C overnight. The resulting transparent mixtures were then heated at a rate of 2.3 °C min over 4 h to reach a temperature of 550 °C and then tempered at this temperature for another 4 h. The resulting brown-yellow powder was treated with a 4M NH$_4$HF$_2$ for 24 h to remove the silica template. The powders were then centrifuged and washed three times with distilled water and twice with ethanol. Finally the powders were dried at 80 °C under vacuum for overnight.

**Cocatalysts deposition.** Typically, 100 mL of deionized (DI) water, a certain amounts of catalysts and noble metal precursors (HAuCl$_4$, RuCl$_3$, Na$_3$RhCl$_6$, and H$_2$PtCl$_6$) were added into the solution. The system was evacuated several times to completely remove the dissolved air. Then, it was irradiated under UV-Vis (λ > 300 nm) for 4 hours to sufficiently reduce the noble metal cations. Then, the samples were filtered and cleaned with D.I. water. Last, the solids were obtained after dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C for 12 h. In comparison, Pt nanoparticles were also deposited by reduction with H$_2$ and NaBH$_4$. Typically, 0.2 g of g-C$_3$N$_4$ powders were immersed in 5 mL of DI water followed by ultrasonic wave bath for 5 minutes. Then, a certain amounts of H$_2$PtCl$_6$ (3 wt. %, based on Pt atoms) were added into the solution. The final resultant sample was obtained after evaporation and drying in an oven at 80 °C for 12 h. Thereafter, half of the sample was treated in H$_2$ flow at 200 °C for 2h. The other was treated in 0.5 M of NaBH$_4$ solution. Then the powders were finally collected after washed with DI water and dried in an oven at 60 °C for 12 h. PtO$_x$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ was synthesized by a typical immersion strategy followed by thermal treatment in the air. Typically, 0.2 g of g-C$_3$N$_4$ powders was immersed in 5 mL of DI water followed by ultrasonic wave bath for 5 minutes. Then, a certain amounts of H$_2$PtCl$_6$ (3 wt. %, based on Pt atoms) were added into the solution. The final resultant sample was obtained after evaporation and thermal treated in muffle furnace at 300 °C for 1 h. CoO$_x$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ was prepared by an in-situ photo-deposition. Typically, 0.2 g
of CN powders were dispersed in 100 mL water solution containing 1 wt. % Co\(^{2+}\). After evacuation for several times to remove the air, it was irradiated with UV light for 4 hours. The final products were collected after filtration and drying.

**Characterization methods.** Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were performed on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer with Cu-K\(_\alpha1\) radiation (\(\lambda = 1.5406\) Å). Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were recorded on a BioRad FTS 6000 spectrometer, and the samples were prepared as KBr pellets. Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms were collected at a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 surface area and porosity analyzer. The sample was degassed at 413 K for 5 h and then analyzed at 77 K. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images and high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images were obtained using a JEOL Model JEM 2010 EX instrument at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was recorded by a Veeco Nanoscope IVa Multimode system. UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) were performed on a Varian Cary 500 Scan UV-visible system. BaSO\(_4\) was used as a reflectance standard. The optical properties were evaluated by F(R), and they were applied with a Kubelka-Munk correction. Photoluminescence spectra were recorded on an Edinburgh FI/FSTCSPC 920 spectrophotometer under the excitation wavelength of 400 nm at room temperature. Electrochemical measurements were conducted with a BAS Epsilon Electrochemical System in a conventional three electrode cell, using a Pt plate as the counter electrode and an Ag/AgCl electrode (3 M KCl) as the reference electrode, the active area is confined to 0.25 cm\(^2\). The electrolyte was 0.2 M Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) aqueous solution without additive (pH 6.8).

**First-principles calculations.** The periodic DFT\(^{[2-3]}\) calculations were implemented in the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP)\(^{[4-6]}\) with the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof generalized gradient approximation (GGA).\(^{[7]}\) The C\(_2s^2p^2\), N\(_2s^2sp^3\) and H\(_1s\) electrons were treated as valence electrons. The interactions between the cores and valence electrons were described by the projected augmented wave (PAW)\(^{[8]}\) method and the plane-wave cutoff energy was set as 550 eV. The single layer structures of melon were used in the calculations. The k-point was sampled with a Monkhorst-Pack grid of 5\(\times\)5\(\times\)1 mesh.\(^{[9]}\) The atomic coordinates and lattice parameters were fully relaxed until the total energies were converged to 10\(^{-5}\) eV and the Hellmann–Feynman forces on each atom were less than 0.01 eV Å.

**Photocatalytic Test.** Reactions were carried in a Pyrex top-irradiation reaction vessel connected to a glass closed gas system. Water splitting reaction was performed by dispersing 200 mg of catalyst powders in an aqueous solution (100 mL) without any sacrificial agent. 3 wt. % Pt was loaded on the surface of catalysts by in situ photo-deposition method using H\(_2\)PtCl\(_6\). The reaction solution was evacuated several times to remove air completely prior to irradiation with a 300 W Xeon lamp with a working current of 15 A (Shenzhen ShengKang Technology Co., Ltd, China, LX300F). The wavelength of the incident light was controlled by applying some appropriate long-pass cut-off filters. The temperature of the reaction solution was maintained at room temperature by a flow of cooling water during the reaction. The evolved gases were analyzed by gas chromatography equipped with a thermal conductive detector (TCD) and a 5Å molecular sieve column, using argon as the carrier gas.

The apparent quantum yield (AQY) for H\(_2\) evolution was similar to that for water splitting reaction. The irradiated light was controlled by a band-pass filter 405 nm. The irradiation area was controlled as 6 cm\(^2\). The total intensity of incident light irradiation was measured as 2.18 mW cm\(^{-2}\) for 405 nm
(the irradiation intensity was determined by ILT 950 spectroradiometer). The AQY was calculated as follow:

\[
\text{AQY} = \frac{N_e}{N_{\rho}} \times 100\% = \frac{2 \times M \times N_f \times h \times c}{S \times P \times t \times \lambda} \times 100\%
\]

Where, \(N_{\rho}\) is the total incident photons, \(N_e\) is the total reactive electrons, \(M\) is the amount of \(\text{H}_2\) molecules, \(N_A\) is Avogadro constant, \(h\) is the Planck constant, \(c\) is the speed of light, \(S\) is the irradiation area, \(P\) is the intensity of irradiation light, \(t\) is the photoreaction time, \(\lambda\) is the wavelength of the monochromatic light.

Reference:

Table S1. Physicochemical properties of different precursors derived g-C$_3$N$_4$ samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>$S_{BET}$/m$^2$g$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Band gap/eV</th>
<th>$\text{H}_2$ evolution rate/μmol h$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\text{O}_2$ evolution rate/μmol h$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Water splitting rate/μmol h$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CNU</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CND</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CNA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>mpg-CN</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Entry 1-3 is corresponded to urea, DCDA and ATC-derived g-C$_3$N$_4$ samples; entry 4 is mesoporous g-C$_3$N$_4$(mpg-C$_3$N$_4$) prepared via hard-template strategy. Surface area was determined by the nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms; band gap was calculated by the diffuse reflection spectra; $\text{H}_2$ and $\text{O}_2$ evolution rates were performed under $\lambda > 420$ nm and $\lambda > 300$ nm, respectively; water splitting rate was carried out under $\lambda > 300$ nm, 3 wt. % Pt was in-situ deposited as cocatalyst.

Table S2. The binding energies and relative intensities of different platinum species observed from the Pt$_{4f}$ X-ray photoelectron spectra of Pt/g-C$_3$N$_4$ samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Pt contents (%)</th>
<th>Chemical valence of Pt</th>
<th>Binding energies of 4f$7/2$ (eV)</th>
<th>FWHM fit param (eV)</th>
<th>Relative intensity (%)</th>
<th>Pt(Pt$^{2+}$+Pt$^{4+}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2 wt.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>4.54:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 wt.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.61:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3 wt.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.45:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5 wt.</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.15:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S1. (a) Powder XRD patterns, (b) FT-IR variations, (c) Raman spectra and (d) UV-vis DRS spectra of g-C₃N₄ at different temperatures (500, 525, 550, 575, 600 °C).
Figure S2. Band decomposed charge density of (a) valence band maximum and (b) conduction band minimum.
Figure S3. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imagines of different precursors derived g-C$_3$N$_4$ samples. (CNU: urea, CND: Dicyandiamide, CNA: ammonium thiocyanate; mpg-CN: mesoporous g-C$_3$N$_4$) Scale bar is 200 nm.
**Figure S4.** Photoluminescence spectra (PL) of different precursors (CNU: urea, CND: Dicyandiamide) derived g-C$_3$N$_4$ samples.

**Figure S5.** Water splitting rate of 3 wt. % Pt loaded g-C$_3$N$_4$ prepared at different temperatures (525, 550, 575, 600 °C) samples (0.2 g) under λ>300 nm.
Figure S6. SEM pictures of urea-derived g-C₃N₄ prepared at different temperatures (500, 525, 550, 575, 600 °C).
Figure S7. Water splitting rate of different reduction methods for 3 wt. % Pt loaded g-C$_3$N$_4$ sample under $\lambda$>300 nm. a: reduced by H$_2$ flow at 200 °C, b: reduced in 0.5 M NaBH$_4$ solution, c: in-situ photodeposited.

Figure S8. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imagines of 3 wt. % Pt loaded g-C$_3$N$_4$ samples. Prepared by reduction in 0.5 M NaBH$_4$ (a) and H$_2$ flow (b), Scale bar is 100 nm.
Figure S9. High-resolution X-ray photoelectron spectra of 3 wt % Pt loaded g-C$_3$N$_4$ sample reduced in 0.5 M NaBH$_4$ solution.

Figure S10. Water oxidation rate of pure and 3 wt. % PtO$_x$ loaded g-C$_3$N$_4$ samples under $\lambda>$300 nm. Conditions: 50 mg of catalyst, 0.1 M of AgNO$_3$ as electron accepter, 0.2 g of La$_2$O$_3$ as pH buffer.
Figure S11. Water splitting rate of different amounts (0.2, 0.5, 1, 3, 4, 5 wt. %) of Pt loaded g-C$_3$N$_4$ (0.2 g) samples under $\lambda>300$ nm.
Figure S12. High-resolution XPS analysis of 0.2 wt., 1 wt., 3 wt., and 5 wt. % Pt loaded g-C$_3$N$_4$ samples.
Figure S13. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imagines of (a) 0.2, (b) 1, (c) 3 and (d) 5 wt. % Pt loaded g-C$_3$N$_4$ samples. Scale bar is 50 nm.
Figure S14. Water splitting rates of different noble metals (3 wt. % Au, Ru, Rh, Pt) *in-situ* photo-deposited g-C$_3$N$_4$ samples under $\lambda > 300$ nm.

Figure S15. Water splitting rate of 3 wt. % Pt loaded with different amounts of g-C$_3$N$_4$ samples (0.1, 0.2, 0.5 g) under $\lambda > 300$ nm.
Figure S16. pH value dependence of water splitting rate of 3 wt. % Pt loaded g-C$_3$N$_4$ samples under $\lambda$>300 nm. The pH value of the solution was controlled by 1 M HCl or 1 M NaOH.

Figure S17. Time course water splitting activities of 3 wt. % Pt modified g-C$_3$N$_4$ nanosheet under (a) UV-Vis ($\lambda$ > 300 nm) and visible light irradiation ($\lambda$ > 420 nm).
**Figure S18.** Overall water splitting of 3 wt. % Pt and PtOₓ modified g-C₃N₄ photocatalysts under UV light irradiation (λ > 300 nm) and in dark.
**Figure S19.** High-resolution XPS analysis of C$_{1s}$, N$_{1s}$, O$_{1s}$, Pt$_{4f}$, and Co$_{2p}$ of 3 wt. % Pt, PtO$_x$ and 1 wt. % CoO$_x$ modified g-C$_3$N$_4$ polymers.

**Figure S20.** Digital photograph of samples were stacked onto the inner side of the glass reaction vessel.
Figure S21. UV-Vis diffuse reflection spectra (DRS) of 0, 0.2, 1, 3 and 5 wt. % Pt loaded g-C3N4 samples.

Figure S22. The light irradiation spectra of the xenon lamp (300 W) with a 405 nm band-pass filter. Irradiance intensity was determined as 5.2 mW cm−2.
Figure S23. An original water splitting chromatogram of the modified g-C$_3$N$_4$ samples, which is monitored by the on-line gas chromatogram to quantify the H$_2$, O$_2$ and N$_2$ gases produced.