## **Supplementary Information**

## Structural Influence of Proteins Upon Adsorption to MoS<sub>2</sub> Nanomaterials:

## **Comparison of MoS<sub>2</sub> Force Field Parameters**

Zonglin Gu<sup>1</sup>, Phil De Luna<sup>2</sup>, Zaixing Yang<sup>1</sup>, Ruhong Zhou<sup>\*1,2,3</sup>

1. School for Radiological and Interdisciplinary Sciences (RAD-X) and Collaborative Innovation Center of Radiation Medicine of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions, Soochow University, Suzhou, China, 215123

2. Computational Biological Center, IBM Thomas J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598, USA

3. Department of Chemistry, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

\*Corresponding author: <u>ruhongz@us.ibm.com</u>

T 11 C1	D / 1 1		· c	· ·
Table S1.	Detailed	systems	inform	iation.

	α-helix/MoS <sub>2</sub>		YAP65/MoS <sub>2</sub>	HP35/MoS <sub>2</sub>		
	PA <sub>10</sub>	PA20	PA30	PA <sub>40</sub>		
box size	10.3	9 × 6.74	$4 \times 4.00$	nm <sup>3</sup>	$5.00\times6.00\times8.50$	$6.92 \times 6.74 \times 5.00$
					nm <sup>3</sup>	nm <sup>3</sup>
material size	10.3	89 × 6.7	4 nm <sup>2</sup> (a	area)	7.90 nm (length)	$6.92 \times 6.74 \text{ nm}^2$
						(area)
water molecule	7890	7845	7748	7720	7549	6568
number						
counterion number	0	0	0	0	1 chloridion	2 chloridions

Table S2. Systems' information for  $MoS_2$  model in water solvent using two force fields.

	original	refitted
box size	$3.70 \times 3.70 \times 3.70 \text{ nm}^3$	$3.75 \times 3.75 \times 3.75 \text{ nm}^3$
water molecule number	1626	1626
counterion number	0	0



Figure S1. Snapshots of the last frame in three parallel MD trajectories of  $PA_n$  binding to  $MoS_2$  using original and refitted  $MoS_2$ 's force field parameters.



Figure S2. Snapshots of the last frame in two other parallel MD trajectories of YAP65 binding to MoS<sub>2</sub> using original and refitted MoS<sub>2</sub>'s force field parameters.



Figure S3. Snapshots of the last frame in two other parallel MD trajectories of HP35 binding to MoS<sub>2</sub> using original and refitted MoS<sub>2</sub>'s force field parameters.



Figure S4. Comparison of YAP65 binding to  $MoS_2$  nanotube using different force field parameters. Root mean square deviation (RMSD, a) of C- $\alpha$ , hydrogen bond (H-bond) ratio (b) and Q value (c) with respect to crystal structure. Time evolution of residue-specific vdW interaction energies (left) of YAP65 on MoS<sub>2</sub> nanotube and secondary structure alteration (right) for original (d) and refitted (e) force fields.



Figure S5. Comparison of  $PA_{30}$  binding to  $MoS_2$  nanosheet using different force field parameters. Root mean square deviation (RMSD, a) of C- $\alpha$ , hydrogen bond (H-bond) ratio (b) and Q value (c) with respect to crystal structure. Time evolution of residue-specific vdW interaction energies (left) of  $PA_{30}$  on  $MoS_2$  nanosheet and secondary structure alteration (right) for original (d) and refitted (e) force fields.



Figure S6. Top and side views of the last snapshots of three parallel  $PA_n/MoS_2$  nanosheet simulations (showing binding events with both protein and nanomaterial) using original and refitted

MoS<sub>2</sub> force field parameters.



Figure S7. Top and side views of the last snapshots of three parallel  $YAP65/MoS_2$  nanotube simulations (showing binding events with both protein and nanomaterial) using original and refitted  $MoS_2$  force field parameters.



Figure S8. Top and side views of the last snapshots of three parallel HP35/MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheet simulations (showing binding events with both protein and nanomaterial) using original and refitted  $MoS_2$  force field parameters.



Figure S9. RMSD evolutions of C- $\alpha$  in other four HP35/MoS<sub>2</sub> simulations. Black and gray curves shows the RMSDs using original force field, while the other two represent RMSDs using refitted force field.



Figure S10. RMSD evolutions of C- $\alpha$  in other four YAP65/MoS<sub>2</sub> simulations. Black and gray curves shows the RMSDs using original force field, while the other two represent RMSDs using refitted force field.



Figure S11. RMSD evolutions of C- $\alpha$  in other polyalanine/MoS<sub>2</sub> simulations. Black, gray and silver curves shows the RMSDs using original force field, while the other ones represent RMSDs using refitted force field.



Figure S12. Structures (top and side views) of a representative  $MoS_2$  model performing 10 ns simulations in water solvent using two force fields.