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YBCO coplanar resonator

Figure S1: (a) Zero-field transmission spectrum of the bare resonator measured at 2 K (P_in = -13 dBm). Blue line is a fitting based on eq. (2).
(b) 3D plot showing the evolution of the resonant peak as a function of the external magnetic field (T=2 K).

The resonator is fabricated on a YBCO (330 nm)/sapphire (430 μm) film (T_c = 87 K) by means of optical lithography and wet chemical etching [1]. The top view of the resonator geometry is shown in Figure 1 of the main text. The central strip has a width w = 200 μm and a length L = 8 mm, while the separation from the lateral ground planes is S = 73 μm (Figure 1 of the main paper). The resonance frequency of the half-wavelength fundamental mode is given by

$$\nu_0 = \frac{c}{2n_{eff} L}$$  \hspace{1cm} (S1)

where c is speed of light in vacuum and n_eff is the effective refractive index of the device [2]. The transmission spectrum measured at 2 K is plotted in Figure S1(a). The line shape can be fitted by a lorentzian function [3]

$$T(\nu) = \frac{T(\nu_0)}{1 + Q_0^2 (\frac{\nu - \nu_0}{\nu_0})^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (S2)

where \( \nu_0 \) is the resonant frequency, \( Q_0 \) is the quality factor, and \( T(\nu_0) \) is the maximum of the transmission. The best fit is obtained with \( \nu_0=7.7 \) GHz and \( Q_0=33000 \) (Figure S1a). The resonator decay rate, which is defined as \( k_0 = \nu_0/Q_0 \), thus results \( k_0 = 0.33 \) MHz. We carried out transmission spectroscopy measurements in presence of an external magnetic field, which is applied parallel to the superconducting film, along the longest side of the central strip (Figure 1 of the main paper). The transmission spectral map is acquired by measuring frequency sweeps at each progressive step of the applied magnetic field. Figure S1(b) shows the transmission spectral map measured on the bare resonator at 2 K. The evolution of the transmission spectrum in the field range 0-7 T shows a weak dependence of both \( \nu_0 \) and \( Q_0 \) from the applied magnetic field and it’s discussed in detail in [1].

To perform transmission spectroscopy on molecular spin systems, we positioned a single crystal of molecular spins in the centre of the resonator (Figure 1 of the main paper). This position corresponds to the magnetic antinode of the fundamental electromagnetic mode of the cavity, were the coupling between spins and microwave field is maximized.
**[ErPc₂]⁻ TBA⁺ Additional Structural Information**

The erbium ion occupies a central position in the complex and is eight-fold coordinated by the isoindole nitrogen atoms (N_{iso}) of the phthalocyanine ligands. The central erbium ion lies 1.381 Å from the N_{iso} mean plane of the Pc ligands. The distance between the nearest erbium ions is 14.776 Å. Both phthalocyanine ligands of [ErPc₂]⁻ TBA⁺ complex are found to be distorted from planarity and adopt a biconcave shape. CCDC-1477855 ([ErPc₂]⁻ TBA⁺) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge at [www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html](http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html) (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: (internat.) +44-1223/336-033).

**Additional Cu(mnt)₂ Results**

Transmission spectroscopy results for the pure Cu(mnt)₂ crystal (2K, -43dBm) are shown in Fig. S2. Four clear frequency shift in the dispersion and their corresponding absorptions are visible in the g=2 region. This is consistent with what is expected from Copper (S=1/2, I=3/2). The angle dependence for the pure sample at 2K is shown in Fig. S3. Since we used non indexed single crystals, we don’t have direct knowledge of the orientation of the molecules with respect to the static magnetic field. Basing on the structural information of [4], the crystal cell is monocline and the oblique a-axis can be easily recognized. As a consequence, we glue the crystals with the bc plane parallel to the plane of the resonator. From X-band CW EPR experiments at room temperature, the separation of the signals given by the two molecular orientations is $\delta B \approx 5\,mT$. Since the linewidth of Figure S2 are on the order of $\delta B \approx 100\,mT$, the signals from the two magnetic species cannot be resolved, giving only four lines. Nevertheless, to understand which one between the b and the c axis is parallel to the magnetic field for $\theta=0°$, we compare our measurements with the easy spin simulation (Figure S3 (right)). The calculations were repeated for different angles between the molecular z axis and the static magnetic field and, for simplicity, only one of the two molecular orientation was computed. A qualitative estimation (red line of Figure S3 (right)) shows that agreement between measurements and calculation is found for an angle between B₀ and the molecular z-axis of 27°. According to the structural information, we deduce that $\theta=0°$ corresponds to the situation in which the c axis parallel to the static magnetic field.

![Figure S2: Frequency shift (top) and transmission (bottom) for the pure Cu(mnt)₂ (P_in = -43 dBm) at different temperatures.](image-url)
Additional ErPc$_2$TBA$^+$ results

Results for the 10% ErPc$_2$TBA$^+$ crystals for $\theta$=0,90° at 2 K are shown in Fig. S4. The signal position changes, reflecting the magnetic anisotropy of the sample. Also the number of the structures and the widths drastically change with the orientation. Comparison between the pure and the 10% crystals at 2K ($\theta$=90°) is reported in Fig. S5. Broadening effects of the line are visible for the pure sample and can be ascribed to the higher dipolar interaction between different ErPc$_2$ molecules. Additional fitting parameters based on Eqn (1) of the main paper are reported in Table S1.
Additional fitting results for the ErPc₂ samples at 2K. The input powers is -43 dBm for the pure sample and -63 dBm for the 10% concentration.

Table S1: Additional fitting results for the ErPc₂ samples at 2K. The input powers is -43 dBm for the pure sample and -63 dBm for the 10% concentration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>θ</th>
<th>g-factor</th>
<th>γ (MHz)</th>
<th>N_{eff} (T=2K)</th>
<th>g_c (MHz)</th>
<th>g_S (Hz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.21 ± 0.22</td>
<td>897 ± 7</td>
<td>7.6 \cdot 10^{14}</td>
<td>60 ± 3</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>9.21 ± 0.01</td>
<td>410 ± 10</td>
<td>6.5 \cdot 10^{14}</td>
<td>27.1 ± 3.0</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>9.251 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1462 ± 50</td>
<td>5.1 \cdot 10^{14}</td>
<td>120 ± 4</td>
<td>5.30±0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.30 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1232 ± 180</td>
<td>6.0 \cdot 10^{14}</td>
<td>130 ± 7</td>
<td>5.3±1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional Dy(trenal) results

Results for the 0.6% Dy(trenal) for θ=0°,90° at 2K are shown in Fig. S6. The position of the signal move to lower g-factors, the structure and the linewidths change. In particular, the measure taken at θ=0° shows a main signal at B_0≈0.29T and additional signals at B_0≈0.15T, B_0≈0.21T, B_0≈0.23T and at B_0≈0.32T. The peak at B_0≈0.29T corresponds to an effective g//=1.83, which agrees well with the effective g// value obtained by standard EPR in ref. [5]. On the other hand, the presence of weak additional transitions can be attributed to the hyperfine contributions of the odd Dy isotopes. Comparison between the 3% and 0.6% crystals at 2 K for θ=90° (Figure S7) shows that that is no more convenient to further increase the dilution, since the limit given by the inhomogeneous broadening of the sample is reached. Table S2 shows additional fitting parameters based on Eqn 1 of the main paper.

Figure S6: Transmission for the 0.6% Dy(trenal) (P_{in} = -55 dBm) at 2K for two different angles between the static magnetic field and the crystal c-axis. The additional peak at ≈0.18T is due to the contamination of the solvent during synthesis processes.

Figure S7: Comparison of the transmission of 0.6% and 0.3% Dy(trenal) (2K, P_{in} = -55 dBm) for θ=90°.
Table S2: Additional fitting results for the Dy(trensal) samples at 2K. The input powers is -55 dBm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>θ</th>
<th>Landé g-factor</th>
<th>y (MHz)</th>
<th>$N_{\text{eff}}$ (T=2K)</th>
<th>$g_C$ (MHz)</th>
<th>$g_S$ (Hz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3%</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>9.93 ± 0.02</td>
<td>471 ± 38</td>
<td>8 · 10^{13}</td>
<td>16.2 ± 2.0</td>
<td>1.82 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>9.87 ± 0.09</td>
<td>386 ± 88</td>
<td>6.4 · 10^{14}</td>
<td>11.5 ± 6.6</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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