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Polymer versus phosphine stabilized Rh nanoparticles as components of supported catalysts: implication in the hydrogenation of cyclohexene model molecule
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Figure S1. TON versus Time curves obtained for Rhdpbb, RhPPh₃ and RhPVP colloidal systems
Figure S2. TON versus Time curves obtained for RhPVP@FFSiNH$_2$ nanocatalyst up to 5 recycles

Figure S3. TON versus Time curves obtained for RhPPh$_3$@FFSiNH$_2$ nanocatalyst up to 5 recycles
Figure S4. TON versus Time curves obtained for Rhdpdb@FFSiNH$_2$ nanocatalyst up to 5 recycles