Supporting Information

Superhydrophobic SERS chip based on Ag coated natural taro-leaf

Jian-An Huang, Yong-Lai Zhang*, Yingqi Zhao, Xu-Lin Zhang, Ming-Liang Sun, and Wenjun Zhang*

Chlorophyll photobleaching by sunlight irradiation

Figure S1 Sunlight irradiation turn the fresh green taro leaf (left) to yellow (middle) and brown (right); the color change indicates the decomposition of chlorophyll in the leaf which suppresses the chlorophyll fluorescence.

The light-induced chlorophyll (Chl) degradation reaction by photosynthesis-produced singlet oxygen on leaves is generally accepted as:

\[ ^3\text{Chl}^+ + ^3\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Chl} + ^1\text{O}_2 \]
\[ ^1\text{O}_2 + \text{Chl} \rightarrow \text{degradation product.} \]

This chlorophyll photobleaching will occur when energy transfer from excited triplet chlorophyll to carotenoid molecules in thylakoid membranes is suppressed. The energy transfer efficiency depends significantly upon the distance and the
mutual orientation between chlorophyll and carotenoid molecules. The heat of strong sunlight will lead to temperature rise on thylakoid membranes that will enlarge the distance and orientation between the chlorophyll and the carotenoid molecules as well as lead to structure change of the thylakoid membrane, thus resulting to low energy transfer efficiency.\textsuperscript{1} Therefore, the chlorophyll photobleaching is enhanced when the taro leaf is irradiated by the strong sunlight that generates much heat.

**The silver deposition on the Taro leaf**

![Figure S2. EDX spectrum of Taro leaf@Ag.](image-url)
Figure S3. XPS spectra of Taro leaf@Ag. (a) AgMNN scan; (b) Ag3d scan indicates the binding energy of Ag 3d$_{3/2}$ and Ag 3d$_{5/2}$ at 368.2 eV and 374.2 eV, respectively. The 6 eV splitting between them indicates the Ag is at Ag$^0$ state, which implies that the Ag has experienced negligible oxidization.$^2$; (c)O 1s scan indicates C-OH peak at 532.1 eV; (d) C 1s scan.

 Enhancement Factor calculation

Assuming the R6G molecules uniformly distribute on the contact area when a droplet of R6G solution is dried on the Taro leaf@Ag, we use following formula to calculate the enhancement factor (EF):

$$EF = \frac{I_{SERS}}{I_{RS}} = \frac{N_{SERS} \times I_{RS}}{N_{RS} \times I_{SERS}} = \frac{I_{SERS}}{I_{RS}} \frac{D \times H_{diff} \times \rho_v}{D \times \rho_s} = \frac{I_{SERS}}{I_{RS}} \frac{H_{diff} \times \rho_v}{\rho_s}$$

where

- $I_{RS}$ is the signal-to-base Raman intensity of the analyte molecules
under non-SERS conditions which in our case is R6G powder on a glass slide and $I_{\text{SERS}}$ the intensity under SERS conditions.

- $D$ is the diameter of the excitation spot of the objective;
- $H_{\text{eff}}$ [μm] is the diameter of the excitation spot of the objective, which is measured as ~100 μm for the 20× objective;³
- $\rho_v$ [mol/μm$^3$] is the volume density of the pure R6G powder. As the mass density of R6G powder is 1.26 g/cm$^3$ and the molecular weight of R6G is 479 g/mol, the $\rho_v$ [mol/μm$^3$] = $(1.26/479) \times 10^{-12} = 2.63 \times 10^{-15}$ mol/μm$^3$;
- $\rho_s$ [mol/μm$^2$] is the surface density of the R6G molecules adsorbed on the SERS substrate. The 4 μL droplet of $10^{-5}$ M R6G has $10^{-5} \times 4 \times 10^{-6} = 4 \times 10^{-11}$ mol molecules. The droplet finally reduces to a contact area with a diameter ~600 μm. Thus, $\rho_s$ [mol/μm$^2$] = $4 \times 10^{-11}/ (\pi \times 600^2) = 3.54 \times 10^{-17}$ mol/μm$^2$
Therefore, for the 1363 cm\(^{-1}\) Raman peak, \(I_{RS}\) is \(\sim 2024\) counts from Figure S4 above and \(I_{SERS}\) is \(\sim 3100\) counts from Figure 4a in the manuscript. While for the 1643 cm\(^{-1}\) Raman peak, \(I_{RS}\) is \(\sim 414\) counts and \(I_{SERS}\) is \(\sim 5000\) counts. The EFs can be calculated as:

\[
\text{EF (1363 cm}^{-1}\text{)} = \left(\frac{3100}{2024}\right) \times \left(\frac{100}{10^{-5}}\right) \times \left(\frac{3.54}{3.54}\right) = 1.14 \times 10^4
\]
\[
\text{EF (1643 cm}^{-1}\text{)} = \left(\frac{5000}{414}\right) \times \left(\frac{100}{10^{-5}}\right) \times \left(\frac{3.54}{3.54}\right) = 0.90 \times 10^5
\]

**Reproducibility evaluation**

The reproducibility is evaluated by calculating the Relative Standard Deviation (RSD), defined as the Standard Deviation divided by the mean of intensities of Raman peaks over the spectra collected. The RSD of signal-to-base intensity of
the 1363 cm\(^{-1}\) peak of the R6G is about 14.5% for all 1672 spectra in Figure S2 but reduces to 9.7% when the data of the dark region area are removed.

**Figure S5.** The 1672 R6G Raman spectra of measured by the mapping on a 120×100 μm\(^2\) area of the Taro leaf@Ag sample.
Figure S6. Signal intensity of the 1363 cm\(^{-1}\) peak of (top) the 1672 R6G measured by the mapping and (bottom) the 1274 spectra in the mapping area outside the dark regions.

Reference
