Electronic Supplementary Information

A FRET fluorescent nanosensor based on carbon dot for ratiometric detection of Fe$^{3+}$ in aqueous solution

Mengyu Deng, Sha Wang, Chunshuang Liang, Hongxing Shang and Shimei Jiang*

State Key Laboratory of Supramolecular Structure and Materials, Jilin University, 2699 Qianjin Avenue, Changchun 130012, P. R. China.

*Corresponding author: Shimei Jiang

E-mail: smjiang@jlu.edu.cn

Tel: +86-431-85168474

Fax: +86-431-85193421
Experimental Section

Materials
Rhodamine 6G and diethylenetriamine were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. Citric acid, ethylenediamine, 1-(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC-HCl), N-hydroxysulfosuccinimide (NHS) were purchased from Aladdin Industrial Inc. Chloride salts (K⁺, Ca²⁺, Ag⁺, Na⁺, Ba²⁺, Cd²⁺, Co²⁺, Cu²⁺, Fe²⁺, Mn²⁺, Ni²⁺, Pb²⁺, Zn²⁺, Hg²⁺, Al³⁺, Cr³⁺, Fe³⁺) were used for experiments. Double distilled water from a Millipore Milli-Q purification system was used throughout the work.

Synthesis of the rhodamine 6G probe
Rhodamine 6G (1.0 g) and diethylenetriamine (2.0 g) were dissolved in methanol (10 mL) and refluxed for 12 h under nitrogen. After cooling to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. Then, CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) and water (200 mL) were added and the organic layer separated. The CH₂Cl₂ layer was washed twice with water and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. After filtration of Na₂SO₄, removal of the solvent in vacuo gave 0.8 g yellow solid (The ¹H NMR spectrum of 1 was shown in Fig. S1). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.94 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 7.50 – 7.44 (m, 2H), 7.10 – 7.05 (m, 1H), 6.37 (s, 2H), 6.25 (s, 2H), 3.53 (t, J = 4.9 Hz, 2H), 3.31 – 3.19 (m, 6H), 2.63 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 3H), 2.43 (dt, J = 12.9, 6.2 Hz, 4H), 1.93 (s, 6H), 1.35 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H) ppm.

Preparation of carbon dots (CDs)
CDs were prepared according to a reported method (Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 7955–7957). In summary, citric acid (1.0 g) and ethylenediamine (0.384 mL) were dissolved in double distilled water under vigorous stirring to form a clear solution. Then, the clear transparent solution was put into a domestic microwave oven (700 W) and heated for 2 min to obtain red-brown viscous CD solution. Finally, the CDs were precipitated by centrifugation and rinsed with anhydrous ethanol twice. The carboxyl-coated CDs solution was dried to obtain a powder for further use.

Preparation of the R6G-CD nanosensor
The R6G-CD nanosensor was prepared as follows: the carboxylcoated CDs (20 mg) were dissolved in water (2 mL). EDC-HCl (10 mg) and NHS (3 mg) were added to the solution under a N₂ atmosphere. After the mixture being stirred for 1 h at room temperature, the probe (10 mg) in the solution of DMSO (2 mL) was added dropwise to the mixture and stirred for 48 h. Finally, the reaction solution was dialyzed against pure water through a dialysis membrane (MWCO of 500) for 3 days and then the
R6G-CD nanosensor was dried under vacuum.

**Determination of fluorescence quantum yield for the CDs**
The quantum yield of the CDs was measured referenced to quinine sulfate in sulfuric acid aqueous solution ($\Phi_{fr} = 0.546$) and calculated according to the following equation:

$$\Phi_{fs} = \Phi_{fr} \times \frac{1 - 10^{-A_r L_r}}{1 - 10^{-A_s L_s}} \times \frac{N_s^2}{N_r^2} \times \frac{D_s}{D_r}$$

where $\Phi_{fs}$ is the radiative quantum yield of the CDs; $\Phi_{fr}$ is the radiative quantum yield of the standard; $A_s$ and $A_r$ are the absorbance of the CDs and standard at the excitation wavelength, respectively; $D_s$ and $D_r$ are the integrated areas of the emission for the CDs and standard, respectively; $L_s$ and $L_r$ are the lengths of the absorption cells for the CDs and standard test; and $N_s$ and $N_r$ are the indexes of refraction of the CDs and standard solutions respectively.

**Determination of detection limit**
The limit of detection (DL) of the R6G-CD nanosensor for Fe$^{3+}$ was calculated based on the fluorescence titration and determined from the following equation:

$$DL = 3\sigma/K$$

where $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the blank solution; $K$ is the slope of the calibration curve.

**Preparation of the test paper of the R6G-CD nanosensor and its sensing test**
The filter paper was cutting into a strip and immersed in the aqueous solution of the R6G-CD nanosensor (1 mg/mL). A few minutes later, the filter paper was removed from the solution and dried. Then, the test paper was dropped with various metal cations aqueous solution (2 mM). The changes in the color and fluorescence of the test paper were investigated with a digital camera. The test paper was was written by a brush pen with the Fe$^{3+}$ aqueous solution (2 mM). The fluorescence photographs were taken under 365 nm lamp.

**Measurements**
Absorption spectra were taken on a Shimadzu 3100 UV-VIS-NIR recording spectrophotometer. Fluorescence spectra were measured on a Shimadzu RF-5301PC spectrofluorophotometer. $^1$H NMR (TMS) were recorded on a Bruker UltraShield 500 MHz spectrometer. FTIR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Optics VERTEX 80v Fourier transform infrared spectrometer, equipped with a DTGS detector in pressed
KBr pellets. Atomic-force microscopy (AFM) was performed on a DI Veeco Multimode V atomic force microscope operated in the contact mode.
Figure captions:

Scheme S1 Synthesis route for the R6G-CD nanosensor.

Fig. S1 AFM topography image of CDs with height profile along line AB in the image.

Fig. S2 Relative fluorescence intensity at 455 nm (λ<sub>ex</sub> = 360 nm) of the CDs (0.02 mg/mL) in the presence of 50 μM various metal cations in aqueous solution.

Fig. S3 ¹H NMR spectra in CDCl₃ of the rhodamine 6G probe.

Fig. S4 (a) The fluorescence spectra of and (b) relative fluorescence intensity at 550 nm (λ<sub>ex</sub> = 500 nm) of the rhodamine 6G probe (10 μM) in the presence of 50 μM various metal cations in aqueous solution containing 0.1% ethanol.

Fig. S5 ¹H NMR spectra (in D₂O) of (a) the plain CDs and (b) the R6G-CD nanosensor.

Fig. S6 FTIR spectra of the plain CDs (black) and the R6G-CD nanosensor (red).

Fig. S7 The fluorescence spectra of (a) the CDs and (b) the R6G-CD nanosensor in pH 3-10 Britton–Robinson buffer solution (40 mM).

Fig. S8 Absorption spectra of R6G-CD nanosensor (0.02 mg/mL) in aqueous solution before and after addition of Fe<sup>3+</sup>.

Fig. S9 The photographs of the R6G-CD nanosensor in the absence of Fe<sup>3+</sup> and upon addition of Fe<sup>3+</sup> in aqueous solution.

Fig. S10 Fluorescent intensity ratio (I<sub>550</sub>/I<sub>455</sub>) of the R6G-CD nanosensor (0.02 mg/mL) in the presence of different amounts of Fe<sup>3+</sup> in aqueous solution (λ<sub>ex</sub> = 360 nm).

Fig. S11 Fluorescence intensity (I<sub>550</sub>) of the R6G-CD nanosensor (0.02 mg/mL) upon addition of 200 μM Fe<sup>3+</sup> in water.

Fig. S12 The fluorescence spectra of the R6G-CD nanosensor (0.02 mg/mL) (a) in the absence or in the presence of 200 μM (b) Fe<sup>3+</sup>, (c) Hg<sup>2+</sup> and (d) Fe<sup>3+</sup> + Hg<sup>2+</sup> in aqueous solution (λ<sub>ex</sub> = 360 nm).

Fig. S13 Fluorescence intensity ratio (I<sub>550</sub>/I<sub>455</sub>) of the R6G-CD nanosensor (0.02 mg/mL) in the presence of 200 μM various Fe<sup>3+</sup> salts (Cl⁻, ClO₃⁻, SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻, CH₃COO⁻), respectively.
Scheme S1 Synthesis route for the R6G-CD nanosensor.
Fig. S1 AFM topography image of CDs with height profile along line AB in the image.
Fig. S2 Relative fluorescence intensity at 455 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 360$ nm) of the CDs (0.02 mg/mL) in the presence of 50 μM various metal ions in aqueous solution.
Fig. S3 $^1$H NMR spectra in CDCl$_3$ of the rhodamine 6G probe.
Fig. S4  (a) The fluorescence spectra of and (b) relative fluorescence intensity at 550 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 500$ nm) of the rhodamine 6G probe (10 $\mu$M) in the presence of 50 $\mu$M various metal ions in aqueous solution containing 0.1% ethanol.
Fig. S5 $^1$H NMR spectra (in D$_2$O) of (a) the plain CDs and (b) the R6G-CD nanosensor.
Fig. S6 FTIR spectra of the plain CDs (black) and the R6G-CD nanosensor (red).
Fig. S7 The fluorescence spectra of (a) the CDs (0.01 mg/mL) and (b) the R6G-CD nanosensor (0.02 mg/mL) in pH 3-10 Britton–Robinson buffer solution (40 mM) ($\lambda_{ex}$ = 360 nm).
Fig. S8 Absorption spectra of R6G-CD nanosensor (0.02 mg/mL) in aqueous solution before and after addition of Fe$^{3+}$. 
Fig. S9 The photographs of the R6G-CD nanosensor in the absence of Fe$^{3+}$ and upon addition of Fe$^{3+}$ in aqueous solution.
Fig. S10 Fluorescent intensity ratio ($I_{550}/I_{455}$) of the R6G-CD nanosensor (0.02 mg/mL) in the presence of different amounts of Fe$^{3+}$ in aqueous solution ($\lambda_{ex} = 360$ nm).
**Fig. S11** Fluorescence intensity ($I_{550}$) of the R6G-CD nanosensor (0.02 mg/mL) upon addition of 200 μM Fe$^{3+}$ in water.
**Fig. S12** The fluorescence spectra of the R6G-CD nanosensor (0.02 mg/mL) (a) in the absence or in the presence of 200 μM (b) Fe$^{3+}$, (c) Hg$^{2+}$ and (d) Fe$^{3+}$ + Hg$^{2+}$ in aqueous solution ($\lambda_{ex} = 360$ nm).
Fig. S13 Fluorescence intensity ratio ($I_{550}/I_{455}$) of the R6G-CD nanosensor (0.02 mg/mL) in the presence of 200 μM various Fe$^{3+}$ salts (Cl$^-$, ClO$_3^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$, CH$_3$COO$^-$), respectively.