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Optical parameters of the undoped and doped films

Fig. S1. The ellipsometric spectra of the active layer (P3HT:PCBM) without iodine doping. (a) The λ dependent Δ and Ψ spectra, (b) The λ dependent εr and εi spectra, (c) The λ dependent n and k spectra.
Fig. S2. The ellipsometric spectra of the doped active layer (P3HT:PCBM:I$_2$). (a) The $\lambda$ dependent $\Delta$ and $\Psi$ spectra, (b) The $\lambda$ dependent $\varepsilon_r$ and $\varepsilon_i$ spectra, (c) The $\lambda$ dependent $n$ and $k$ spectra.

Fig. S3. The absorption coefficient ($\alpha$) spectra for the doped and undoped films, $\alpha$ is calculated using the equation $\alpha = \frac{4k\pi}{\lambda}$.
Effects of iodine doping concentration on device performance

Fig. S4. The effect of iodine concentration on device performance. Devices A ((a),(d),(g) and (j)), B ((b),(e),(h) and (k)) and C ((c),(f),(i) and (l)) were doped with 0.6 wt.%, 2.7 wt.%, 5.3 wt.%, respectively.

The effect of iodine doping concentrations (0.6 wt.%, 2.7 wt.%, 5.3 wt.%) on device performance has been investigated, the responsivity (R) and detectivity (D*) of device A (0.6 wt.%) are larger than device C (5.3 wt.%), but smaller than device B (2.7 wt.%), indicating that only an optimized (a proper concentration) may get the best performance (device B).
Fig. S5. The EQE for the undoped (a) and doped (b) devices.

EQE is calculated using $EQE = \frac{1240}{\lambda} R$, where $\lambda$ is wavelength, $R$ is responsivity. For the undoped device, only ~0.3% EQE has been got (0.67 mW cm$^{-2}$, $\lambda$=850nm). After doping with 2.3 wt.% iodine, however, EQE is increased to 120%, meaning a proper iodine doping concentration may drastically increase the device performance because of the increased photo-generated carriers under illumination.