Supporting Information

Magnetic hyperthermia-induced drug release from ureasil-PEO-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposites

Bruno L. Caetano$^{a,b,*}$, Clément Guibert$^b$, Rodolfo Fini$^a$, Jérôme Fresnais$^b$, Sandra H. Pulcinelli$^a$, Christine Ménager$^b$ and Celso V. Santilli$^a$

$^a$ Instituto de Química, UNESP, Rua Professor Francisco Degni, 55, 14800-900 Araraquara, SP, Brazil
$^b$ Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS, Laboratoire PHENIX, Case 51, 4 place Jussieu, F-75005 Paris, France
EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Synthesis of monolithic ureasil-PEO hybrids (U-PEO)

In the first step, the ureasil cross-linking agent was covalently bonded to both ends of the macromer by reacting the terminal aminopropyl groups of the end-functionalized PEO (O,O′-bis(2-aminopropyl)-poly(ethylene oxide) (average molecular weight (Mw) 1900 g mol⁻¹) with (3-isocyanatopropyl)-triethoxysilane in a molar ratio of 1:2. These commercially available reagents (Fluka, Aldrich) were stirred together in anhydrous ethanol (99.8%) under reflux for 6 h at 78 ºC, resulting in a hybrid precursor solution with concentration of ~0.208 mol L⁻¹. The hybrid precursor solution obtained was stored at room temperature in a desiccator to prevent any further hydrolysis reaction. The protocol used to synthesize the precursor was a modification of the original method. In the second step, silanol moieties were generated, followed by condensation reactions to form ureasil cross-linking nodes. The hydrolysis of -(SiOCH₂CH₃)₃ was initialized by adding 0.075 mL of aqueous HNO₃ solution (0.5 mol L⁻¹) to 0.5 mL of precursor solution, followed by the addition of 0.295 mL of acid water (pH ~1.5) in the case of the sample without γ-Fe₂O₃. For the nanocomposite synthesis, the acid solution was replaced by an equal volume of γ-Fe₂O₃ acid colloidal suspension (pH ~1.5) at different concentrations (3.2, 0.32, 0.032, and 0.0032 wt%), resulting in samples with 5, 0.5, 0.05, and 0.005 wt% of γ-Fe₂O₃, respectively. In the case of the samples loaded with SDCF, addition was made of 3 wt% (0.005 g) sodium diclofenac (2-[(2,6-dichlorophenyl)amino] benzeneacetic acid sodium salt) (SDCF). In the final step, cylindrical monolithic xerogels approximately 20 mm in diameter, 0.5 mm in height, and weighing ~0.2 g were obtained after drying at room temperature for 48 h.

Synthesis of magnetic nanoparticles

The Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles were synthesized by the co-precipitation of iron ions in an alkaline medium. An ammonia solution (500 mL, 0.7 M) was added to an aqueous solution of ferric chloride (FeCl₃, 40 mL, 1 M) and ferrous chloride (FeCl₂, 10 mL, 2 M) in HCl (2 M). The precipitate was removed from the solution using a permanent magnet and the supernatant was discarded. The Fe₃O₄ was washed with distilled water, acidified with HNO₃ to pH ~1.5, and the resulting colloidal solution was oxidized to maghemite (γ-Fe₂O₃) by the addition of Fe(NO₃)₃ (20 mL, 1 M). The γ-Fe₂O₃ size polydispersity was reduced by size sorting based on destabilization of the dispersion by increasing the ionic strength. The γ-Fe₂O₃ NP obtained had an average diameter of around 11 nm.

Characterization

Small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS)

The nanostructure of the samples was analyzed by small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) at the SWING beamline of the SOLEIL Synchrotron. The measurements were performed at energy of 7.7 keV, with a two-dimensional CCD detector located at distances of 3.5 m or 1 m from the sample in order to achieve a wide q range.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

TEM images of the γ-Fe₂O₃ MNPs were acquired with a JEOL 100CX instrument. A droplet of diluted nanoparticles suspended in water was deposited on a carbon-coated copper grid, and the excess was removed using filter paper. Cryo-TEM images of the PEO:Fe₃O₄(5) nanocomposite were obtained at ICMPE-CMTR, UMR 7182 THIAIS, using a Tecnai F20 instrument (FEI) operated at 200 kV. The samples were first prepared using ultramicrotomy in order to obtain thin slices with a thickness of 100 nm. The microstructures of the nanocomposites were then observed by means of bright field images recorded at different magnifications. Size analysis of the TEM and Cryo-TEM images employed ImageJ software.
DSC measurements and heat capacity values

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements were performed using a TA Instruments Model Q100 calorimeter. Disk sections (~20 mg) were removed from the monolithic hybrid xerogels and placed into 40 μL aluminium cans. Each sample was heated from -90 °C to 180 °C, at 10 °C min⁻¹, under high purity N₂ gas supplied at a flow rate of 10 mL min⁻¹. The DSC curves obtained (Figure S4a) showed the endothermic peak corresponding to fusion of semi-crystalline PEO present in the hybrid matrix, enabling information to be obtained about the crystallinity degree ($D_c$) and melting temperature ($T_f$). The $T_f$ can be obtained from the minimum of the endothermic peak, while the $D_c$ (Equation 1) can be calculated using the ratio between the melting enthalpy of semi-crystalline PEO in the OIH sample and the melting enthalpy of 100% crystalline PEO1900 ($\Delta H_p = 188.9$ J g⁻¹).

$$D_c = \frac{\Delta H_f}{\Delta H_p} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

The heat capacity ($C_p$) values of the U-PEO samples (Figure S4b) were calculated from the DSC data using Equation 2:

$$C_p = \frac{K \times \Delta y_{sample} - BL}{dT/dt \times m_{sample}} \quad (2)$$

where $K$ is the calorimeter sensitivity, BL is the baseline, $dT/dt$ is the heating rate, and $m_s$ is the sample weight. The baseline (BL) was determined under similar DSC run conditions ($dT/dt = 10$ °C min⁻¹, N₂ atmosphere), using an empty aluminium can. The calorimeter sensitivity ($K$) was determined from the DSC of sapphire, used as a standard sample.

Magnetic hyperthermia measurements

Magnetic hyperthermia measurements were performed using a commercial system (DM100 Series, nanoScale Biomagnetics Corp.). The measurements of heating induced by an AC magnetic field were performed at frequencies of 420 kHz and 280 kHz, with maximum amplitude of the alternating magnetic field (AMF) of 14.9 kA m⁻¹. 1 mL of aqueous colloid was used, and 0.2 g of U-PEO:Fe₂O₃ (x) was used in the case of the solid samples. The samples were placed into holders suitable for liquid and solid materials. The sample holder was then positioned in the copper coil, and the temperature was measured with a fluoro-optic fibre thermometer. Heating of the coil was avoided by circulating water kept at a thermostatically controlled temperature of 4-5 °C. Equivalent masses of liquid and solid samples without γ-Fe₂O₃ were measured in order to confirm that no heating induced by the coil occurred in the sample holder in the absence of nanoparticles.

In vitro drug release

The release of SDCF was evaluated using ~0.2 g of the nanocomposite and 50 mL of phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.2). Five experiments were performed, using buffer temperatures of 0, 25, 37, and 50 °C, and one experiment started at 37 °C and with application of an AMF (14.9 kA m⁻¹, 420 kHz). The amount of SDCF released was determined by UV-Vis absorbance at 276 nm, using an Agilent Technologies Cary 60 dual beam spectrophotometer. Aliquots were collected from the different systems at predetermined time intervals.
RESULTS

Fig. S1 Temperature increases of samples containing the indicated amounts of γ-Fe₂O₃ under applied external magnetic fields (14.9 kA m⁻¹; 420 or 280 kHz frequency): a) and c) γ-Fe₂O₃ aqueous colloids; b) and d) U-PEO:Fe₂O₃:SDCF nanocomposites.

Fig. S2 Linear temperature increases during the first 30 s of application of an external AMF of 14.9 kA m⁻¹ and frequency of 420 kHz, used for calculation of the SLP values (see Table S1) of a) the γ-Fe₂O₃ aqueous colloids, and b) the U-PEO:Fe₂O₃:SDCF nanocomposites.
**Fig. S3** Experimental conditions used for the assays of drug release induced by application of an AMF. Cumulative drug release (black), AMF magnetic field amplitude (blue), buffer solution temperature (red).

**Fig. S4** a) DSC curves for the U-PEO samples, showing the melting fusion temperatures ($T_f$) and the crystallinity degrees ($D_c$). b) Temperature dependence of the heat capacity ($C_p$) of U-PEO:Fe$_2$O$_3$(5):SDCF.
Table S1: Experimental data determined from hyperthermia measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>wt% γ-Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>(dT/dt)\text{max} (°C s⁻¹)</th>
<th>SLP (W g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>γ-Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.00845</td>
<td>110.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.0809</td>
<td>105.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.746</td>
<td>97.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-PEO:Fe₂O₃(0.5):SDCF</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0298</td>
<td>13.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>12.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cₚ (water, 25 °C): 4.18 J g⁻¹°C⁻¹; Cₚ (U-PEO, 25 °C): 2.12 J g⁻¹°C⁻¹.
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