Supplementary document

Hypochlorite scavenging activity of cerium oxide nanoparticles

G. Pulido-Reyes^a, S. Das^b, F. Leganes^a, S. O. Silva^c, S. Wu^d, W. Self^e, F Fernandez-Pinas^a, R. Rosal^{f,†}, and S. Seal^{b,g,†}.

^aDepartamento de Biologia, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autonoma de Madrid, E-28049, Spain.

^bAdvanced Materials Processing and Analysis Center, NanoScience and Technology Center, Materials Science and Engineering, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL 32816, USA

^cDepartamento de Ciências Básicas da Saúde, Universidade Estadual de Maringá, 87020-900 Maringá, PR, Brazil.

^dDepartment of Applied Chemistry, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan 300, Republic of China.

^eBurnett School of Biomedical Science, College of Medicine, University of Central Florida, Orlando, Fl 32816, USA

^fDepartamento de Ingenieria Quimica, Universidad de Alcala, E-28871, Alcala de Henares, Madrid, Spain.

^gCollege of Medicine, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL 32816, USA

[†]Corresponding author: roberto.rosal@uah.es, Sudipta.Seal@ucf.edu.

Experimental Section

1. Nanoparticles preparation and characterization

Synthesis of the nanoparticles were described in our previous publications. Briefly, CNP1 was synthesized using precipitation method. Cerium nitrate hexahydrate was dissolved in distilled water and equimolar mixture NH₄OH was used as oxidizer¹. The solution was the stirred for 4 hours and particles were washed with distilled water for three times. CNP2 was prepared using hydrothermal method. Cerium nitrate hexahydrate was dissolve in water and then NaOH was added to adjust pH to 10. This solution were mixed properly in a Teflon bottle and subjected to hydrothermal treatment at 80° C for 6 h². Then particles were washed with distilled water for three times. CNP3 was also prepared using precipitation method. Cerium nitrate hexahydrate was dissolved in distilled water for three times. Pellet was resuspended in water and 1N HNO₃ was added to decrease the pH to 4 for better stability³. Lastly, CNP4 was prepared using wet chemical method, where equimolar H₂O₂ was used as oxidizer. 1N HNO₃ was used to decrease the pH to 4 for better stability¹.

These four nanoparticles were then thoroughly characterized. Size and morphology of the nanoparticles were analyzed using High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM). Surface charge and hydrodynamic radius of the nanoparticles were estimated using Zetasizer (Nano-ZS from Malvern Instruments, Houston, TX). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (5400 PHI ESCA; Mg KR X-ray irradiation (1253.6 eV) and 350 W) was used to determine the surface chemistry of the nanoparticles.

2. UV-Vis CIO- absorption study

The interaction of CNP3 with ClO⁻ was revealed by UV-vis absorption spectrometry. A 10 mM stock solution of hypochlorite (ClO⁻) was prepared immediately before the experiment. The reaction mixture contained, in a final volume of 1.5 ml, ClO⁻ (5 mM) and increasing concentrations (from 1 to 2.5 mM) of each CNPs (CNP3 and CNP2). After mixing both, the nanoparticles were centrifuged at 23000 g during 5 min and carefully washed three times with distilled water, in order to avoid the presence of free ClO⁻. Finally, the absorption spectra (220-750 nm) were recorded using a Perkin Elmer Lambda 750S instrument.

3. Oxygen evolution measurements

Oxygen evolution was measured at 25°C under stirring (0.1 g) with a Clark-type oxygen electrode (Hansatech, Kings Lynn, UK). Firstly, 2 ml of distilled water were added to the chamber and dissolved oxygen was displaced with argon gas. Then, the chamber cap was opened and CNP3 or CNP4 at final concentration of 1 mM was added. Finally, the reaction mixture was completed when ClO⁻ (5 mM) was added. Oxygen evolution was tracked during 30 min. There was no evidence of oxygen release when nanoparticle or ClO⁻ alone was added (see supplementary figure S3).

4. CIO⁻ measurement in RAW cells

 30×10^3 RAW cells/cover slips were seeded and then cultured overnight. Cells were then treated with two different concentrations of CNP3 (1 and 10 μ M) and incubated for 6 h. After the incubation, 10 μ M and 100 μ M NaOCI were added to the culture and incubated 30 min for at 37 °C. Following washing with sterile saline solution, cells were treated with 2 μ l of 10 mM HCS (HSC was provided by Dr. Shu-pao Wu) dissolved in DMSO and was incubated for 30 min at 37 °C. Finally, cells were washed with sterile saline and immediately, imaged under a confocal (Carl Zeiss confocal microscope with Volocity image processing software) microscope using 20x water immersion lenses and ten different regions were randomly imaged. Image J was used to get semi-quantitative data from the confocal images.

References

- 1. S. Das, S. Singh, J. M. Dowding, S. Oommen, A. Kumar, T. X. Sayle, S. Saraf, C. R. Patra, N. E. Vlahakis, D. C. Sayle, W. T. Self and S. Seal, *Biomaterials*, 2012, 33, 7746-7755.
- 2. T. Sakthivel, S. Das, A. Kumar, D. L. Reid, A. Gupta, D. C. Sayle and S. Seal, *ChemPlusChem*, 2013, **78**, 1446-1455. 3. J. M. Dowding, T. Dosani, A. Kumar, S. Seal and W. T. Self, *Chemical communications*, 2012, **48**, 4896-4898.



Figure S1. HRTEM images of CNPs show nanoparticles morphology (left panel) and the size distribution histogram using dynamic light scattering (DLS) (Left panel). The nominal sizes of the particles are as follows: CNP1 5-8 nm, CNP2 15-20 nm, CNP3 5-8 nm, CNP4 3-5 nm. Selected area electron diffraction patterns (SAED) of the particles are shown in the inset. SAED patterns confirm the crystalline nature and A(111), B(200), C(220) and D(311) are different lattice planes of CNPs fluorite crystal structure. Scale bars 20 nm. DLS data showed size of the different nanoparticles as follows: CNP1 – 50.8 ± 0.9 nm; CNP1 – 58.8 ± 0.8nm; CNP3 – 9.4 ± 2.1 nm; CNP4 – 30.8 ± 2.8 nm. The increase in the size of the nanoparticles is due to partial agglomeration of the nanoparticles.



Figure S2. UV-vis spectra showing the interaction of CNP2 and ClO⁻.



Figure S3. Oxygen evolution for CNP3, CNP4 and ClO⁻ alone, indicating that there was no evidence of oxygen release when nanoparticle or ClO⁻ alone was added.



Figure S4. Semi-quantitative fluorescence intensity data of CIO⁻. Data indicated CIO⁻ in CNP3 pretreated samples were comparable to control. CNP3 itself did not induce any CIO⁻ generation in RAW cells.