Supplementary Information

Graphitic Carbon Nitride Nanoribbon for Enhanced Visible-light Photocatalytic H2 Production

Xiuming Bu a b †, Siwei Yang b †, Yu Bu a †, Feng Sun a, Lingfan Tian b, Zheng Peng b, Peng He b, Jing Sun b, Tao Huang b, Xianying Wang a *, Guqiao Ding b *, Junhe Yang a, Xiaoming Xie b

a School of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai, 200093, China. E-mail: xianyingwang@usst.edu.cn

b State Key Laboratory of Functional Materials for Informatics, Shanghai Institute of Microsystem and Information Technology, Chinese Academy of Science, Shanghai, 200500, China. E-mail: gqding@mail.sim.ac.cn
Experimental Section

Materials

Melamine (99.5 %), HNO\textsubscript{3} (99.5 %) and H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} (98 wt %) were purchased from Aladdin (Shanghai, China) and used as received without further purification. The water used throughout all experiments was purified through a Millipore system.

Synthesis of GCRN nanoribbon

Pristine g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} was prepared as described elsewhere. Melamine (5 g) in an open crucible was heated in the air with the heating rate of 3\textdegree C min\textsuperscript{-1} to 550 \textdegree C and kept for 4 h. The product was collected and ground to powder for characterization and performance measurements.

GCRN was obtained by controllable tailoring of as prepared g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} in H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} and HNO\textsubscript{3} aqueous solution. Typically, g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} (0.5 g) was dispersed in 100 mL HNO\textsubscript{3} aqueous and then add 50 mL H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, following ultrasonically dispersed for 1 hour and the resultant sample was obtained by washing with vast distilled water for several times and centrifugation before drying at 60\textdegree C. In addition, the H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}/HNO\textsubscript{3} ration is one of the keys to get GCRN.

Photocatalytic measurement

Photocatalytic tests were carried out using reaction vessel connected to a closed glass gas system. Hydrogen production was performed by dispersing 0.1 g catalyst powders in an aqueous solution (80 mL) containing triethanolamine (15 vol%) as a sacrificial electron donor. 3 wt% Pt nanoparticles (H\textsubscript{2}PtCl\textsubscript{6}·6H\textsubscript{2}O) were introduced by an in situ photodeposition method. Before irradiation the solution was evacuated several times to remove air completely and then the solution was irradiated under visible light by using a 500 W Mercury lamp with a cutoff light filter (\textlambda\geq420 nm). During the photocatalytic reaction, the evolved gased were analysed by using a gas chromatograph to determine the amount of evolved hydrogen accoring the standard curves.
Quantum efficiency calculation

The apparent quantum yield (AQY) for H\textsubscript{2} evolution was measured using a 420 nm band-pass filter. The average intensity of irradiation was measured as 5.2 mW\cdot cm\textsuperscript{-2} (ILT 950 spectroadiometer) and irradiation area was controlled as 8 cm\textsuperscript{2}. The produced H\textsubscript{2} molecules reached to 39.7 \mu mol in 3 h, and the AQY was estimated as:

\[
\text{AQY (\%)} = \frac{\text{number of reacted electrons}}{\text{number of incident photos}} \times 100\% \\
= \frac{\text{number of evolved H}_2 \text{ molecules}}{\text{number of incident photos}} \times 100\%
\]

Number of incident photos = \( \frac{5.2 \times 10^{-3} \times 8 \times 3600 \times 6 \times 420 \times 10^{-9}}{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \times 3 \times 10^{-8}} = 1.9 \times 10^{21} \)

\[
\text{AQY (\%)} = \frac{2 \times 6.02 \times 10^{23} \times 39.7 \times 10^{-6}}{1.9 \times 10^{21}} \times 100\% = 2.4\%
\]

Sample characterization instrument

The morphologies of the samples were characterized by scanning electron microscope (SEM, FEI Quanta FEG), transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Hitachi H-8100) and atomic force microscopy (AFM, Bruker Dimension Icon). Specific surface area was recorded by nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherm measurements at 77 K (BET, NOVA 2200e). X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD) was carried out on a D/Max 2500 V/PC X-ray diffractometer using Cu (40 kV, 30mA) radiation in the range of 10\(^{\circ}\)-90\(^{\circ}\). The compositions was recorded with X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS, PHI Quantera II system). Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) was employed using FT-IR NICOLET-4700 in the range 500-4000 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. The ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis, UV5800) spectra was used to monitor the dispersion performance of the samples. The photoluminescence (PL) spectra were obtained using PerkinElmer LS55 fluorescence spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer Instruments, U.K.) at an excitation wavelength of 325 nm at room
temperature. The PL lifetime was carried out on a combined steady state spectrometer (Hydra Harp 400, Pico Quant) using a time-corrected single photo counting system at room temperature. The electronic property was characterized by Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR, Bruker model A300 spectrometer) in dark at room temperature.

**Figures:**

Figure S1: The SEM image of GCNR.
Figure S2: N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms at 77 K.

Figure S3: XRD patterns of pristine g-C₃N₄ and GCRN.
Figure S4: XPS survey spectra.

Figure S5: O 1s XPS spectra of PCN and GCNR.
Figure S6: FTIR spectra of pristine g-C$_3$N$_4$ and GCRN.

Figure S7: Digital photo of prepared g-C$_3$N$_4$ solution by treatment of different HNO$_3$:H$_2$SO$_4$ volum ratios. From left to right: (1) HNO$_3$:H$_2$SO$_4=3:1$; (2) HNO$_3$:H$_2$SO$_4=2:1$; (3) HNO$_3$:H$_2$SO$_4=1:1$; (4) HNO$_3$:H$_2$SO$_4=1:2$; (5) HNO$_3$:H$_2$SO$_4=1:3$. 