Visible-Light Active Catechol-Metal Oxide Carbonaceous Polymeric Material for Enhanced Photocatalytic Activity
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**Figure S10** Superoxide anion (O$_2^-•$) radical determination experiment using Nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) assay.

**Figure S11** Effect of calcinations temperature on the formation of (a) catechol-TiO$_2$ surface complex and (b) photopolymerized catechol-TiO$_2$ surface complex.

**Figure S12** Effect of calcinations time on the formation of (a) catechol-TiO$_2$ surface complex and (b) photopolymerized catechol-TiO$_2$ surface complex.

Table S1: Rate constant values of photocatalytic Cr(VI) reduction.

![Figure S1](image.png) FE-SEM image of (a & b) TiO$_2$ and (c & d) Catechol-TiO$_2$ carbonaceous polymer.
Figure S2 EDX analysis of (a) TiO$_2$ (b) Catechol-TiO$_2$ carbonaceous polymer hybrid.

Figure S3 XRD Patterns of TiO$_2$ and Catechol-TiO$_2$ carbonaceous polymer.
The phase purity and crystal structure of asprepared TiO$_2$ and Catechol-TiO$_2$ carbonaceous polymer were determined by XRD patterns. The XRD patterns of TiO$_2$ and Catechol functionalized TiO$_2$ are illustrated in Figure S3. As shown in Figure S3, the characteristic diffraction peaks of ultrasonically prepared mesoporous TiO$_2$ are 25.1, 37.8, 47.9, 53.9, 55.3, 62.6, 68.8, 70.2, 75.2 and 82.8 correspond to (101), (004), (200), (105), (211), (204), (116), (220), (215) and (224) planes, respectively.$^{1,2}$ This clearly indicates the formation of well crystalline anatase phase TiO$_2$ structure (JCPDS card no.89-4921) and the similar XRD pattern is obtained for TiO$_2$-Catechol carboneous polymer surface complex. No shift or additional peaks are observed in the existing anatase phase TiO$_2$. Hence, it confirms that the surface functionalization TiO$_2$ with catechol linker could not affect or induce new crystalline phase of TiO$_2$.$^3$

**Figure S4** High resolution Ti 2p spectrum of Catechol-TiO$_2$ carbonaceous polymer.
Figure S5 (a) and (b) survey scan XPS spectra of before and after photocatalytic reaction.

The survey scan XPS spectra of the Catechol-TiO$_2$ carbonaceous polymer before and after the photocatalytic Cr (VI) reduction were also performed and are shown in Figure S5. As depicted in Figure S5, the major peaks, such as C1s, O1s, Ti2p and Cr2p are revealed that presence of C, O, Ti and Cr respectively. The binding energy of all the elements was observed in the same region after and before the photocatlytic reaction, further support the high photostability of photocatalyst. On the other hand, a new peak appeared at 577 eV after the photocatlytic reaction, which can be assigned to Cr (III) ions. The results emphasized that the Cr (VI) ions converted to Cr (III) during photocataytic reduction and reduced Cr (III) ions are adsorbed on the catalyst surface.$^4$
Figure S6 Nyquist plots of TiO2 and 1 wt % Catechol-TiO2 carbonaceous polymer.

The interfacial charge transport and separation of TiO2 and Catechol-TiO2 carbonaceous polymer was investigated through electrochemical impedance analysis (ESI). In general, smaller the arc radius can increase carrier separation at the interface\(^2\). As shown in Figure S5, the arc radius of Catechol-TiO2 carbonaceous polymer is comparatively smaller than TiO2, which indicates that introduction of catechol increase the charge separation efficiency at interface and thereby enhance photocatalytic activity.
To study the effect of initial concentration of Cr (VI) on photoctatalysis, the photocatalytic reaction was carried out with different initial concentrations (20, 30, 40, 50 ppm) of Cr (VI). The rate of the reaction change with respect to the initial concentration of Cr (VI) and irradiation time is shown in Figure S7. The rate of photocatalytic reduction is decreasing from 100 to 66 % by increasing the initial concentration of Cr (VI) from 20-50 ppm. The rate constant for the photoreduction reaction with different initial concentration of Cr (VI) were calculated from Figure S7, and the values are given in Table 1. As given from the table 1, the rate constant values are decreasing with increasing the initial concentration of Cr (VI). It is clearly indicated that the rate of the reaction is significantly affected by concentration of Cr (VI) and it follows the pseudo first order kinetics. Since, the main reason for decreasing the photocatalytic activity is that the photo reduced Cr (III) ions can inhabit on the catalytic active sites and it may decrease the rate of reaction.\textsuperscript{5,6} Moreover, the other possible explanation is that the increase in the initial concentration of Cr (VI) can prevent the penetration of light to the surface of photocatalysts.\textsuperscript{7}

**Figure S7 (a)** different catalyst loading **(b)** Effect of different initial concentration of Cr (VI).
To examine the effect of different loading of photocatalyst on the rate of photocatalytic reduction reaction, the photocatalytic reaction was carried out with different amount of catalyst loading (50 to 150 mg) under similar experimental conditions. As can be seen from Figure S6, the photocatalytic chromium(VI) reduction rate is increasing with increasing the catalyst loading. However, loading of 125 and 150 mg of photocatalyst, 100% reduction of Cr (VI) was observed within 10 and 15 mins. Since, the increasing the rate of reaction can be justified that the higher amount of photocatalyst loading can absorbs more incident light and it will stimulate the ligand to metal charge transition (LMCT) between catechol to TiO$_2$, which facilitate high photocatalytic reduction activity.

**Figure S8** TEM image of Catechol-TiO$_2$ carbonaceous polymer after five cycles of Cr(VI) reduction.
Figure S9 TEM image of Catechol-TiO$_2$ carbonaceous polymer after three cycles of H$_2$ production.

Determination of superoxide anion ($O_2^{−•}$) formation experiment

Figure S10 Superoxide anion ($O_2^{−•}$) radical determination experiment using Nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) assay.
The superoxide anion (O$_2^{−}$) formation during visible light illumination was confirmed using Nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) as a selective O$_2^{−}$ radicals scavenger. The photopolymerization reaction was performed in 25 ppm aqueous NBT solution in the presence of visible light irradiation. The NBT can effectively scavenge the photogenerated O$_2^{−}$ radicals and it forms insoluble purple formazan as precipitate. The O$_2^{−}$ radicals formation was analyzed through decreasing the absorbance of NBT at 259 nm. As depicted in Fig. S10, the absorbance of NBT at 259 nm is considerably decreased with increasing irradiation time. This observation clearly reveals that the photogenerated O$_2^{−}$ radicals react with NBT and thereby decreases the absorbance of NBT. Hence, it supports the effective formation of O$_2^{−}$ radicals during photopolymerization reaction.

**Effect of calcination temperature:**

![Figure S11](image-url) Effect of calcinations temperature on the formation of (a) catechol-TiO$_2$ surface complex and (b) photopolymerized catechol-TiO$_2$ surface complex.

The effect of calcination temperature on the formation of surface complex and photopolymerization reaction were demonstrated through various control experiments. Initially, a set of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles were synthesized with different calcinations temperature varying from 500 to 800 °C. Then, the surface complex formation and photopolymerization reaction were performed with pre-calcinated TiO$_2$ nanoparticles under identical experimental conditions.
already described in the experimental section part of the main manuscript. Fig. S11 (a) shows the UV-Vis absorption spectra of catechol-TiO$_2$ surface complex. The absorbance of TiO$_2$-surface complex decreases with respect to the increasing calcination temperature. This result clearly confirmed that the surface hydroxyl groups present on TiO$_2$ play a vital role on the formation of TiO$_2$-catechol surface complex. On the other hand, the decrease in the absorbance indicates that the calcination temperature considerably reduces the hydroxyl groups and thereby greatly affects the surface complex formation between hydroxyl groups of TiO$_2$ and catechol$^{9-12}$ Similarly, photopolymerization reaction was carried out on the same catechol-TiO$_2$ surface complexes prepared with different temperature calcinated TiO$_2$. It is clearly seen from Fig. S11(b) that the photopolymerized catechol-TiO$_2$ surface complex not only exhibits enhanced optical absorption but also shows red shift in the absorption edge compare to that of pure catechol-TiO$_2$ (before photopolymerization) surface complexes and further confirms the photopolymerization process. Hence, it was found that low calcination temperature (400 °C) favor for the effective surface complexation formation due to the high hydroxyl group density.

**Effect of calcination time:**

![Figure S12](image-url) Effect of calcinations time on the formation of (a) catechol-TiO$_2$ surface complex and (b) photopolymerized catechol-TiO$_2$ surface complex.
Similarly, the effect of calcination time (2, 4, 6 and 8 h) on the formation of surface complex and photopolymerization reaction were also studied. As shown in Fig. S12 (a&b), the decrease in the optical absorption with respect to increasing calcination time indicates the reduction of surface hydroxyl groups of TiO$_2$. After the photopolymerization reaction, the decreased absorption with increase of calcination time suggests the effect of calcination time on the formation of photopolymerized catechol-TiO$_2$ surface complex.

**Table. S1** Rate constant values of photocatalytic Cr(VI) reduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Initial concentration of Cr(VI) in ppm</th>
<th>K (min$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.3070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.1654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.1002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.0812</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Apparent Quantum Yield (AQY):**

The AQY was calculated under identical experimental conditions using 420 nm band-pass filters. The light intensity was measured using a lux meter. The irradiation area was calculated as 0.00144 m$^2$. The calculated AQY values of TiO$_2$, different wt% catechol polymerized TiO$_2$ photocatalysts are displayed in Table S2. The optimized 1.0 wt % catechol-TiO$_2$ carbonaceous polymer photocatalyst shows a highest AQY (59.3 %) compared to that of other photocatalysts. Thus, it clearly demonstrates that the 1.0 wt % catechol-TiO$_2$ carbonaceous polymer photocatalyst effectively utilize the photons and thereby enhanced the photocatalytic H$_2$ production rate.
Table S2. Apparent quantum yield (AQY) of TiO$_2$ and different wt% catechol polymerized TiO$_2$ photocatalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Photocatalyst</th>
<th>AQY (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5 wt % Catechol-TiO$_2$ carbonaceous polymer</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.75 wt % Catechol-TiO$_2$ carbonaceous polymer</td>
<td>44.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0 wt % Catechol-TiO$_2$ carbonaceous polymer</td>
<td>59.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.0 wt % Catechol-TiO$_2$ carbonaceous polymer</td>
<td>31.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.0 wt % Catechol-TiO$_2$ carbonaceous polymer</td>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AQY calculation details

The energy of one photon ($E_{\text{photon}}$) with wavelength of $\lambda_{\text{inc}}$ (nm) is calculated using the following equation $^{13}$

$$E_{\text{photon}} = \frac{hc}{\lambda_{\text{inc}}}$$

Where,

- $h$ - Planck’s constant (J·s)
- $c$ - Speed of light (m s$^{-1}$)
- $\lambda_{\text{inc}}$ - wavelength of the incident monochromatic light (m)

Total energy of the incident monochromatic light ($E_{\text{total}}$) $^{13}$ is calculated using the following equation,

$$E_{\text{total}} = PS$$

Where,

- $P$ - Power density of the incident monochromatic light (W/m$^2$)
- $S$ - Irradiation area (m$^2$)
t- Duration of the incident light exposure (s)

The number of incident photons can be obtained through the following equation,

\[
\text{Number of incident photons} = \frac{E_{\text{total}}}{E_{\text{photon}}} = \frac{PS \lambda_{\text{inc}} t}{hc}
\]

Quantum yield (Q.Y.) which is widely used to evaluate the performance of photocatalysts for water splitting, is defined by the following equation

\[
\text{Q.Y.} (\%) = \frac{\text{Number of reacted electrons}}{\text{Number of incident photons}} \times 100
\]

However, it is difficult to directly determine the number of reacted electrons via experimental methods. As a result, the apparent quantum yield (A.Q.Y.) is defined as follow by substituting twice the number of H₂ molecules evolved for the number of reacted electrons

\[
\text{A.Q.Y.} (\%) = \frac{2 (\text{Number of } H_2 \text{ molecules evolved})}{\text{Number of incident photons}} \times 100
\]

The light intensity was measured using lux meter and converted into power with following equation.\[^{14}\]

\[
P (W) = \frac{(E_v \times A)}{\eta}
\]

Where,

E_v- Illuminance (lux)
A - Area (m²)
H- Luminous efficacy (lm/W) for 420 nm (2.732)
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