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**Experimental Section**

**Materials.** All chemicals were purchased and used without further purification. All solution used in experiments were prepared with Millipore water (18.25 MΩ). The natural graphite powder was purchased from Aladdin. Potassium permanganate (KMnO₄, ≥99%), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂, 30%), hydrazine hydrate (HCl, 36%), concentrated sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, 98%) and phosphomolybdic acid (H₃PMO₁₂O₄₀·nH₂O, PMO₁₂) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. Phosphorus (V) oxide (P₂O₅, ≥98.0%), potassium persulfate (K₂S₂O₈, ≥99.5%) were purchased from Shanghai lingfeng Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. Cobalt(II) nitrate hexahydrate (Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, ≥99.0%) was purchased from Guangdong Guanghua Sci-Tech Co. Ltd. Pyrrole (C₄H₅N, ≥98.0%) was purchased from Shanghai kefeng Industry & Commerce Co. Ltd. Nafion solution (5 wt%) was purchased from Aldrich. Iridium chloride (IrO₂ 99.9% metal basis, Ir ≥84.5%) was purchased from Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co. Ltd.

**Experimental Methods.**

*Synthesis of Co-Mo-C/NRGO-I:* The graphene oxide was synthesized through chemical exfoliation of graphite powders using a modified Hummers’ method. Phosphomolybdic acid (H₃PMO₁₂O₄₀·nH₂O, PMO₁₂) is a kind of classical Keggin type polyoxometalate with strong redox abilities, which can be dissolved in water to produce the polyoxoanion PMO₁₂O₄₀⁻. In a typical synthesis, 25 mg of GO films were dissolved in 25 mL of water by ultrasonic treatment for 30 min to obtain a suspension (1 mg mL⁻¹). Next, 0.13 g Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and 0.2 g PMO₁₂ (Co : Mo molar ratio = 1:3) were added into the suspension under ultrasonication, followed by introducing the solution with 80 μL Py in 15 mL water. The solution was transferred into a 50 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave maintained at 180 ℃ for 12 h then cooled to room temperature naturally. The product was obtained by filtration and washing with water at least three times. After drying in vacuum at 60 ℃, the black powder (the mass is ca. 0.2 g) was obtained and heated at 800 ℃ for 3 h at a heating rate of 5 ℃
min \(^{-1}\) from the room temperature under N\(_2\) (99.99\%) atmosphere in a horizontal tube furnace to prepare the Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1. The mass of the obtained Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1 was ca. 0.15 g.

In control experiments, Co-Mo-C/NRGO-2, Co-Mo-C/NRGO-3 and Co-Mo-C/NRGO-4 were synthesized by identical experimental method except the different adding amounts of Co(NO\(_3\))\(_2\)·6H\(_2\)O, PMo\(_{12}\) and Py precursors. The sample denoted as Co-Mo-C/NRGO-2 was obtained using 0.13 g Co(NO\(_3\))\(_2\)·6H\(_2\)O, 0.2 g PMo\(_{12}\) and 40 μL Py as the precursor (Co : Mo molar ratio = 1:3) at 180 °C for 12 h and then carbonized at 800 °C. The sample denoted as Co-Mo-C/NRGO-3 was obtained using 0.13 g Co(NO\(_3\))\(_2\)·6H\(_2\)O, 0.2 g PMo\(_{12}\) and 160 μL PPy as the precursor (Co : Mo molar ratio = 1:3) at 180 °C for 12 h and then carbonized at 800 °C. The sample denoted as Co-Mo-C/NRGO-4 was obtained using 0.38 g Co(NO\(_3\))\(_2\)·6H\(_2\)O, 0.2 g PMo\(_{12}\) and 80 μL PPy as the precursor (Co : Mo molar ratio = 1:1) at 180 °C for 12 h and then carbonized at 800 °C.

**Synthesis of Mo\(_2\)C/Co\(_6\)Mo\(_6\)C\(_2\)/NRGO:** The as-synthesized Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1 was dispersed in 5 M HCl solution and stirred for 24 h to remove metallic cobalt to obtain Mo\(_2\)C/Co\(_6\)Mo\(_6\)C\(_2\)/NRGO composite.

**Synthesis of Mo\(_2\)C/NRGO:** The Mo\(_2\)C/NRGO was synthesized via the method which is similar to the Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1 without adding Co(NO\(_3\))\(_2\)·6H\(_2\)O.

**Synthesis of Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1 with different GO loadings and temperatures:** In this article, the amounts of GO were selected as 0 mg, 25 mg (1mg mL\(^{-1}\)) and 40 mg (1.6 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) to prepare Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1. The Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1 was also obtained by the different carbonization temperatures (700 °C, 800 °C and 900 °C).

**Characterization.** Powder X-Ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were recorded on a D/max 2500VL/PC diffractometer (Japan) equipped with graphite monochromatized Cu Kα radiation (λ= 1.54060 Å). Corresponding work voltage and current is 40kV
and 100mA, respectively. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was carried out on JEOL-2100F apparatus at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image was carried out on FEI Tecnai G2 F30 apparatus at an accelerating voltage of 300 kV. Surface morphologies of the carbon materials were examined by a SEM (JSM-7600F) at an acceleration voltage of 10kV. Elemental mapping and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) were performed with JSM-5160LV-Vantage typed energy spectrometer. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was collected on scanning X-ray microprobe (PHI 5000 Verasa, ULAC-PHI, Inc.) using Al $\text{K}\alpha$ radiation and the C1s peak at 284.8 eV as internal standard. The Raman spectra of dried samples were obtained on Lab-RAM HR800 with excitation by an argon ion laser (514.5nm). Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms were measured at 77K on a Quantachrome Instruments Autosorb AS-6B. The pore size distributions were measured by the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method.

**Electrochemical Measurement.** For OER test, electrochemical measurements were performed in a standard three-electrode glass cell on a CHI Instruments 660e electrochemical workstation (Shanghai Chenhua Co. Ltd., China). A glassy carbon electrode (GCE, 3 mm in diameter) was used as the working electrode, Ag/AgCl (in 3 M KCl) as the reference electrode, Pt wire as the counter electrode. The sample was prepared by dispersing 4 mg of catalyst into 2 mL of 9:1 v/v water/Nafion by ultrasonication to form a homogeneous ink. Then 5 $\mu$L of the catalyst ink was loaded onto a GCE (loading amount of ~ 0.14 mg cm$^{-2}$). Commercial IrO$_2$ catalyst was also used as a reference sample. 1 M KOH solution was used as the electrolyte and purged with O$_2$ for 30 min prior to OER test. LSV measurements were conducted with a scan rate of 5 mV s$^{-1}$. EIS measurements were carried out from 1 000 kHz to 100 mHz with an amplitude of 10mV at the open-circuit voltage. To estimate the electrochemical active surface areas of the catalysts, CV was tested by measuring EDLC under the potential window of 0.2-0.3 V vs. Ag/AgCl with various scan rates (10, 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 mV s$^{-1}$). All potentials reported in this paper were converted from vs.
Ag/AgCl to vs. RHE by adding a value of \(0.197 + 0.059 \times \text{pH}\). All LSV curves are presented with iR compensation.

For ORR test, a glassy carbon electrode (5 mm in diameter) was used as the working electrode, Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl) as the reference electrode, Pt wire as the counter electrode. Typically, 10\(\mu\)L well-dispersed catalysts suspensions were pipetted onto the glassy carbon electrode surface and allowed to dry at room temperature. For comparison, a commercially available Pt/C (20 wt\%) catalyst was prepared in the same condition. Cyclic voltammetry experiments were performed from -0.8 to 0.2 V vs. Ag/AgCl at a scan rate of 100 mV s\(^{-1}\) in 0.1 M KOH solutions purged with \(N_2\) or \(O_2\) for at least 30 minutes before testing.

In the rotating disk electrode (RDE) tests, the LSV curves were measured in \(O_2\) saturated 0.1 M KOH solution and the potential was varied from -0.8 to 0.2 V vs. Ag/AgCl with a scan rate of 5 mV s\(^{-1}\) at various rotating speeds from 400 to 1600 rpm.

To examine the ORR performance, the electron transfer number (\(n\)) was conducted according to Koutecky-Levich (K-L) equation.

\[
(1) \quad j^{-1} = j_L^{-1} + j_k^{-1} = (B\omega^{1/2})^{-1} + j_k^{-1}
\]

\[
(2) \quad B = 0.62nFC_{O2}(D_{O2})^{2/3}\nu^{-1/6}
\]

\[
(3) \quad j_k = nFkC_{O2}
\]

Where \(j\) is the measured current density, \(j_k\) and \(j_L\) are the kinetic and diffusion-limiting current density, respectively. B is Levich slope which is given by (2). \(n\) is the number of electrons transferred for ORR. \(\omega\) is the rotation rate (\(\omega = 2\pi N\), \(N\) is the linear rotation speed), \(F\) is the Faraday constant (\(F = 96485\) C mol\(^{-1}\)), \(\nu\) is the kinetic viscosity, and \(C_{O2}\) is the concentration of \(O_2\) (\(1.2*10^{-3}\) mol L\(^{-1}\)), and \(D_{O2}\) is the diffusion coefficient of \(O_2\) in 0.1 M KOH (\(1.9*10^{-5}\) cm s\(^{-1}\)).
Supporting Figures

Figure S1. PXRD patterns of Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1 composites carbonized at different temperatures (700 ~ 900°C).
Figure S2. PXRD patterns of Co-Mo-C/NRGO-n (n=1~4) composites with different precursors of PMo$_{12}$, PPy and Co(NO$_3$)$_2$.

Figure S3. TEM image of Co-PCG composite.
Figure S4. TEM images of (a) Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1, (b) Co-Mo-C/NRGO-2, (c) Co-Mo-C/NRGO-3 and (d) Co-Mo-C/NRGO-4.

Figure S5. SEM images of (a) Co-Mo-C/NRGO-2, (b) Co-Mo-C/NRGO-3 and (c) Co-Mo-C/NRGO-4.
**Figure S6.** TEM images (a) Mo$_2$C/Co$_6$Mo$_6$C$_2$/NRGO, (b) Mo$_2$C/NRGO. SEM images of (c) Mo$_2$C/Co$_6$Mo$_6$C$_2$/NRGO, (d) Mo$_2$C/NRGO.
Figure S7. (a) Magnified TEM image of Mo$_2$C/Co$_6$Mo$_6$C$_2$/NRGO composite. (b) HRTEM image of Mo$_2$C/Co$_6$Mo$_6$C$_2$/NRGO composite. (c) HAADF-STEM image of Mo$_2$C/Co$_6$Mo$_6$C$_2$/NRGO and the corresponding EDS mapping of Co, Mo, C and N elements, respectively.
Figure S8. (a) HRTEM image of Mo$_2$C/NRGO composite. (b) Magnified TEM image of Mo$_2$C/NRGO composite. (c) HAADF-STEM image of Mo$_2$C/NRGO and the corresponding EDS mapping of Mo, C and N elements, respectively.

Figure S9. EDX spectrum of Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1 composite.
Figure S10. XPS spectrum of Mo$_2$C/Co$_x$Mo$_y$C$_z$/NRGO composite. (b) Co2p, (c) Mo3d, (d) C1s, (e) N1s and (f) O1s high-resolution XPS spectra.
Figure S11. XPS spectrum of Mo$_2$C/NRGO composite. (b) Mo3d, (c) C1s, (d) N1s and (e) O1s high-resolution XPS spectra.
Figure S12. Raman spectrum of Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1 composites with different GO loadings.

Figure S13. (a) Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms of Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1, Mo$_2$C/Co$_9$Mo$_6$C$_2$/NRGO, Mo$_2$C/NRGO. (b) The pore size distribution of the above samples by BJH method.
Figure S14. LSV curves of Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1 catalyst before and after iR\textsubscript{s} correction.

Figure S15. (a) LSV curves for Co-Mo-C/NRGO-n (n=1~4) composites prepared with different precursors. (b) The corresponding Tafel plots.
Figure S16. (a) LSV curves for Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1 composites prepared at different carbonization temperatures (700~900 ℃). (b) The corresponding Tafel plots.

Figure S17. (a) LSV curves for Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1 (n=1~4) composites prepared with different GO loadings. (b) The corresponding Tafel plots.
**Figure S18.** (a, c, e) CV curves for Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1, Mo$_2$C/Co$_6$Mo$_6$C$_2$/NRGO and Mo$_2$C/NRGO, respectively at the scan rates from 10 to 100 mV s$^{-1}$. (b, d, f) The capacitive currents at 0.25 V vs. Ag/AgCl for the corresponding catalysts.
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**Figure S19.** (a) CV curves of Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1 composite in N$_2$- and O$_2$-saturated 0.1 M KOH. (b) Kouteck-Levich plots of Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1 composite derived from RDE data.

![Kouteck-Levich plots](image)

**Table S1.** The Co and Mo contents in different catalysts by ICP analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Co (at.%)</th>
<th>Mo (at.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Mo-C/NRGO-2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Mo-C/NRGO-3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Mo-C/NRGO-4</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S2.** Atomic percents of different nitrogen species for different catalysts from XPS analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N species</th>
<th>Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1</th>
<th>Mo$_2$C/Co$_6$Mo$_6$C$_2$/NRGO</th>
<th>Mo$_2$C/NRGO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atomic percents (100 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyridinic</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrrolic</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphitic</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S3. Comparison of OER performance in alkaline media for catalysts at this work and some other recently reported Co-based catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Loading mass (mg cm(^{-2}))</th>
<th>(\eta_{10}) (mV)(^a)</th>
<th>Tafel slope (mV dec(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co-Mo-C/NRGO-1</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>Glassy carbon</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>np-(Co(<em>{0.52}F_e</em>{0.48}))(_2)P</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>Free-standing</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoMn LDH</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>Glassy carbon</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(_2)B-500</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>Glassy carbon</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-P</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>Glassy carbon</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT-LiCoO(_2)</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>Glassy carbon</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoMnP</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>Glassy carbon</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCPTF</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>Glass slide</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>~300</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(_2)O(_4)/N-rmGO</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>Ni foam</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-N-1min</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>Nickel foam</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP/CTs/Co-S</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>Carbon paper</td>
<td>~0.32</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Co-NMC)(_{1/2})/NC</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>Glassy carbon</td>
<td>~0.4</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoO(_x)@CN</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>Glassy carbon</td>
<td>~0.12</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co@Co(_2)O(_4)/NC</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>Glassy carbon</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) the overpotential at 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\) reported with respect to RHE and compared with the standard reaction potential of 1.23 V.

Reference.
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