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Experimental

Synthesis of catalysts

The 1T/2H MoS$_2$ catalyst was prepared via a facile hydrothermal method. The ammonium molybdate ($\text{(NH}_4\text{)}_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$) and thioacetamide ($\text{CH}_3\text{CSNH}_2$) were used as molybdenum and sulphur sources, respectively. Typically, 0.88 g ($\text{(NH}_4\text{)}_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and 1.98 g ammonium bicarbonate ($\text{NH}_4\text{HCO}_3$, marked as AB) were dissolved in 40 mL distilled water and then stirred to form a homogenous solution. Subsequently, 0.94 g $\text{CH}_3\text{CSNH}_2$ were introduced into the homogenous solution with vigorous stirring for another 30 min. Then, the obtained solution was transferred into a 100 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and heated at 200 °C for 13 h in an electric oven. After being cooled down naturally to room temperature, the black product was acquired after centrifugation at 3000 rpm, washing with distilled water and ethanol for several times, and drying at 60 °C for 10 h. Besides, the 1T/2H MoS$_2$ was annealed at 400 °C for 2 h to obtain the annealed sample, labelled as A-1T/2H MoS$_2$.

Preparation of catalyst electrode

In a typical procedure, 3 mg of catalyst and 80 μL Nafion solution (5 wt %) were dispersed in 1 mL water-ethanol solution composed of 800 μL distilled water and 200 μL absolute ethanol by sonication for 30 min to form a homogeneous slurry. Then, 5 μL of the slurry was pipetted onto a polished glassy carbon electrode with a diameter of 3 mm. Finally, the modified GCE with a catalyst loading of 213 μg cm$^{-2}$ was dried at room temperature and retained for use.

Characterizations

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were collected using a D/max-2500 system with a Cu Kα radiation ($\lambda = 0.154$ nm) from 5° to 80°. DSC/TGA curves were recorded using a SDT Q600 (Ta Instruments, USA). Raman spectroscopy was performed using a LabRAMHR-800 of French company HRIBA with a 633 nm laser. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were acquired using a FEI Sirion 200 scanning electron microscope. The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images were obtained by a JEOL 2010 transmission electron microscope. The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were conducted using the ESCALAB 250Xi with a monochromatic Al Kα source. The binding energy value of each element was corrected using C 1s=284.6 eV.

Electrochemical measurements
All the electrochemical measurements were performed using a CHI 660E electrochemical workstation with a standard three-electrode system at room temperature. The glassy carbon electrode modified with catalysts was used as the work electrode, the saturated calomel electrode was used as the reference electrode, and the carbon rod was used as the counter electrode. Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) was conducted from 0.1 V to -0.4 V with a scan rate of 2 mV s⁻¹ in 0.5 M H₂SO₄. The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed in a frequency range from 10⁶ Hz to 1 Hz at an overpotential of -220 mV. The long-term cycling test was conducted using cyclic voltammetry (CV) from 0.1 V to -0.4 V with a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹ for 1000 cycles. All the potentials reported in this manuscript are against RHE though the equation (E vs RHE = E vs SCE + Eo SCE + 0.059 pH).

Electrochemically Active Surface Area

The electrochemically capacitance measurements was conducted by cyclic voltammograms from 0.10 to 0.50 V with various scan rates (20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 140 mV s⁻¹). The capacitive currents were measured in a potential range where no faradic processes are observed, i.e. at 0.40 V vs. RHE. According to the previous report, the specific capacitance, a flat standard with 1 cm² of real surface area, is approximately 60 μF cm⁻².¹⁻² Thus, the electrochemical active surface area can be calculated by the following formula:

\[
A_{ECSA} = \frac{\text{electrochemical capacitance}}{60 \ \mu \text{F} \ \text{cm}^{-2} \ \text{per cm}^{2}_{ECSA}}
\]

Turnover Frequency Calculations

The turnover frequency can be calculated by the following formula:

\[
\text{TOF} = \frac{\text{no. of total hydrogen turnovers/cm}^{2} \ \text{of geometric area}}{\text{no. of active sites/cm}^{2} \ \text{of geometric area}}
\]

The total number of hydrogen turnovers per current density can be calculated by the formula:

\[
\text{No. of } H_2 = (\text{per mA/cm}^{2}) \left( \frac{1 \ \text{C}}{1000 \ \text{mA}} \right) \left( \frac{1 \ \text{mol of } e^{-}}{96485.3 \ \text{C}} \right) \left( \frac{1 \ \text{mol of } H_2}{2 \ \text{mol of } e^{-}} \right) \left( \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23}}{1 \ \text{mol of } H_2} \right)
\]

\[
= 3.12 \times 10^{15} \ \text{H}_2 \ \text{s}^{-1} \ \text{per mA/cm}^{2}
\]
The number of active sites per real surface area of MoS$_2$ was reported to be $1.164 \times 10^{15}$ atoms cm$^{-2}$ real.$^1$

Finally, the plot of current density can be converted into a TOF plot according to:\(^4\)

$$\text{TOF} = \frac{(3.12 \times 10^{15} \text{ } \text{H}_2 \text{ } \text{s}^{-1} \text{ } \text{per cm}^2 \times \text{mA/cm}^2 \times \text{cm}^2)}{(1.164 \times 10^{15} \text{ atoms cm}^{-2} \times \text{A}_{\text{ECSA}})}$$

**Fig. S1** (a) and (b) SEM image, (c) TEM image, (d) HRTEM images of A-1T/2H MoS$_2$
Fig. S2 (a) Polarization curves, (b) Tafel slopes of 1T/2H MoS$_2$ and MoS$_2$ obtained without the addition of ammonium bicarbonate (AB)

Fig. S3 I-t curve of 1T/2H MoS$_2$
Fig. S4 Electrochemical capacitance measurements: (a) 1T/2H MoS$_2$; (b) A-1T/2H MoS$_2$; (c) Bulk MoS$_2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Loading (mg cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Tafel slope (mV dec$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Overpotential (mV)</th>
<th>Current density at the corresponding $\eta$ (mA cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MoS$_2$ nanoflowers</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>-300</td>
<td>-13.8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defect-rich MoS$_2$</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-300</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS$_2$ nanosheets</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>-150</td>
<td>-4.56</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exfoliated MoS$_2$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>-195</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS$_2$/RGO</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow MoS$_2$ spheres</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>-300</td>
<td>-58</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS$_2$/carbon</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>-216</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS$_2$ nanodots</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>-300</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flower-like MoS$_2$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>-200</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS$_x$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-200</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS$_2$ Nanoporous Films</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-300</td>
<td>-18.6</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS$_2$ Nanoplates</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS$_2$ on Au foils</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>-300</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double-gyroid MoS$_2$</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-300</td>
<td>-11.7</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge-exposed MoS$_2$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>-300</td>
<td>-43</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1T/2H MoS$_2$</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-300</td>
<td>-48</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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