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Experimental Section

Preparation of MOF-derived porous Ni-Fe-P nanorods

Synthesis of Ni_{0.7}Fe_{0.3} oxyhydroxide nanoflakes on nickel foam: The commercial nickel foam was successively cleaned with ethanol, acetone, and ethanol for 30 min each. The growth solution was prepared by dissolving 0.07 M nickel nitrate hexahydrate, 0.03 M Iron(III) nitrate nonahydrate, and 0.25 M urea in 20 mL of deionized water. Four pieces of nickel foam (1 X 4 cm) were submersed in the above solution, and Ni_{0.7}Fe_{0.3} oxyhydroxide nanoflakes (NiFe NFs) were directly grown onto the commercial nickel foam at 100 °C for 3 h in an electric oven. The resulting NiFe NFs were washed with ethanol twice and dried in a vacuum oven at 50 °C overnight.

Synthesis of Ni-Fe MOF nanorods on nickel foam: 400 mg of 2,5-Dihydroxyterephthalic acid(H4DOBDC) was dissolved in 80 mL dimethylformamide(DMF), and the resulting solution was transferred into a 100-mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave. 10 pieces of the NiFe NFs on the nickel foam were submersed into the above solution, heated at 155 °C for 12 h in an electric oven, and then cooled down to room temperature naturally. The resulting Ni-Fe MOF nanorods (Ni-Fe MOF-NRs) were washed with ethanol twice and dried in a vacuum oven at 50 °C overnight.
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Synthesis of Ni-Fe-P porous nanorods on nickel foam: Ni-Fe MOF NRs on nickel foam were loaded onto a graphite box and then placed into tubular furnace. 1 g of sodium hypophosphite monohydrate ($\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_2$) was loaded onto a graphite box placed on the upstream side of the argon flow in the tubular furnace. The Ni-Fe-P porous nanorods (Ni-Fe-P porous NRs) on nickel foam were obtained after phosphidation at 300 °C for 1 h with a ramping rate of 5 °C min$^{-1}$ under argon flow.

Synthesis of Ni$_{0.3}$Fe$_{0.7}$ oxyhydroxide nanoflakes on nickel foam: The same procedure with Ni$_{0.7}$Fe$_{0.3}$ oxyhydroxide nanoflakes was conducted except for the preparation of the growth solution. The growth solution for Ni$_{0.3}$Fe$_{0.7}$ oxyhydroxide nanoflakes was prepared by dissolving 0.03 M nickel nitrate hexahydrate, 0.07 M Iron(III) nitrate nonahydrate, and 0.25 M urea in 20 mL of deionized water.

Synthesis of Ni oxyhydroxide nanoflakes on nickel foam: The same procedure with Ni$_{0.7}$Fe$_{0.3}$ oxyhydroxide nanoflakes was conducted except for the preparation of the growth solution. The growth solution for Ni oxyhydroxide nanoflakes was prepared by dissolving 0.1 M nickel nitrate hexahydrate and 0.25 M urea in 20 mL of deionized water.

Synthesis of NiCo oxyhydroxide nanoflakes on nickel foam: The same procedure with Ni$_{0.7}$Fe$_{0.3}$ oxyhydroxide nanoflakes was conducted except for the preparation of the growth solution. The growth solution for Ni$_{0.7}$Co$_{0.3}$ oxyhydroxide nanoflakes was prepared by dissolving 0.07 M nickel nitrate hexahydrate, 0.03 M Cobalt(II) nitrate hexahydrate, and 0.25 M urea in 20 mL of deionized water.

Electrochemical measurements

Electrochemical analysis was performed with a potentiostat (Autolab PGSTAT302N) and a conventional three-electrode configuration with Ni-Fe based catalyst on nickel foam as a working electrode, platinum mesh as a counter electrode, and saturated chalome electrode (SCE) as a reference electrode. The potential versus reversible hydrogen electrode ($E_{\text{RHE}}$) is converted from potential versus SCE ($E_{\text{SCE}}$) following the Nernst equation:

$$E_{\text{RHE}} = E_{\text{SCE}} + 0.059 \times (pH) + 0.242$$

The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves were obtained in N$_2$ saturated 1 M KOH solution at 0.25 mV s$^{-1}$. The stability of the catalysts was evaluated by chronopotentiometry analysis at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ for 24 h. The electrochemical surface area (ECSA) was determined by measuring
the capacitive current associated with the double-layer charging from the scan rate dependence of cyclic voltammetry (CV). The CVs were recorded in the potential range between 1.3 and 1.4 E_{RHE} at the scan rates with 5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 200, 400, 600, 800 and 1,000 mV s^{-1}. The double-layer capacitance (C_{dl}) was estimated by plotting the current density variation, ΔJ = (J_a − J_c) at 1.35 E_{RHE}. The linear slope is twice of the double-layer capacitance. The produced hydrogen and oxygen were sampled by a gas-tight syringe and measured by a gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with a thermal conduction detector (Shimadzu).

**Physical Characterizations:**

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were obtained with a FEI Quanta 650 SEM equipped with energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDX). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high resolution TEM (HRTEM) images and element mapping analysis were conducted on a JEOL 2010F TEM. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis was performed on a Kratos Axis Ultra DLD spectrometer with a monochromatic Al (Kα) radiation source (1486.6 eV). X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were collected with a Rigaku MiniFlex 600 diffractometer operating with Cu Kα source, with a step size of 0.05° and a scan range between 10° and 80°.

N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms and pore-size distributions were determined at 77 K with a Quantachrome AutoSorb iQ2 instrument. The specific surface area was calculated from N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherm applying the Brunauer – Emmett – Teller (BET) model. The pore volume and pore size distribution were also calculated from the nitrogen isotherm using the Barrett – Joyner - Halenda (BJH) model.

![Fig. S1 FE-SEM images of the NiFe MOF NRs converted from the NiFe NFs grown at 100 °C for 1 h. The areal density of the nanorods on nickel foam is lower than that on the counterpart converted from the NiFe NFs grown at 100 °C for 3 h, which is a typical](image)
synthesis condition, while the shape and size of the MOF nanorods are identical.

**Fig. S2** FE-SEM images of NiFe MOF NRs at (a) low- and (b) high-resolution and elemental mapping for Ni, Fe, and O.

**Fig. S3** FE-SEM images of (a) micrometer-size $\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Fe}_{0.7}$ hydroxide nanosheets, (b) nickel hydroxide nanoflakes, and (c) $\text{Ni}_{0.7}\text{Co}_{0.3}$ hydroxide nanoflakes and the corresponding samples after MOF-treatment for (d) micrometer-size NiFe, (e) Ni, and (f) NiCo.
**Fig. S4** SEM image of (a) NiFe NFs, and (b) low-, and (c) high-resolution SEM images of Ni-Fe-P after phosphidation without MOF treatment.

**Fig. S5** XRD patterns of porous Ni-Fe-P nanorods.
Fig. S6 High resolution XPS Ni 2p spectra of Ni-Fe-P@C NRs.

Fig. S7 High resolution Fe 3p XPS spectra of (a) NiFe NFs, (b) Ni-Fe MOF NRs, and (c) porous Ni-Fe phosphide NRs.
Fig. S8 (a) Low-, and (b) high-resolution STEM images of Ni-Fe MOF nanorod and the corresponding EDX mappings for Ni, Fe and O.
Fig.S9 STEM images of Ni-Fe MOF nanorod and the corresponding EDX line scanning for Ni, Fe and O.
**Fig. S10** EDX spectrum of porous Ni-Fe-P nanorods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map Sum Spectrum</th>
<th>Atomic %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>7.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>9.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>56.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>24.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. S11** Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves of MOF treated Ni-Fe nanorods toward (a) OER and (b) HER in N₂-saturated 1 M KOH solution at 1 mV s⁻¹.
**Fig. S12** (a) LSV curves of NiFe NFs, Ni-Fe-P, and porous Ni-Fe-P@C NRs on nickel foam. (b) Cycling voltammetry (CV) with a potential sweep from 1 to 1.6 V vs. RHE at a scan rate of 10 mV s$^{-1}$.

**Fig. S13** Capacitive J versus scan rate for NiFe and porous Ni-Fe-P@C NRs. The linear slope corresponds to twice of the double-layer capacitance ($C_{dl}$).
**Fig. S14** (a) Overall XPS analysis of Ni-Fe-P@C NRs after the oxygen evolution reaction at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ for 24 h, (b) P 2p spectrum of Ni-Fe-P@C before and after the oxygen evolution reaction at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ for 12 h and 24 h.

**Fig. S15** Nyquist plots obtained from the EIS analysis for the as-prepared catalyst in (a) HER region with an overpotential of 100 mV and (b) OER region with an overpotential of 250 mV in N$_2$-bubbled 1 M KOH solution.
**Fig. S16** Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves of the MOF-treated catalysts towards (a) OER and (b) HER in N$_2$-saturated 1 M KOH solution at 1 mV s$^{-1}$.

**Fig. S17.** The calculated and actual gas production by the overall water splitting with Ni-Fe-P@C as a bi-functional catalyst in 1 M KOH solution at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ in a 2-electrode configuration.