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Fig. S1 The schematic illustration of the synthetic process and modification mechanism of the TiO$_2$ nanocrystals obtained from hydrothermal approach.

Fig. S2 X-ray diffraction patterns of the hydrothermally synthesized TiO$_2$. A set of strong peaks located at 25.3°, 37.8°, 48.0°, 53.9°, 62.7° were assigned to be (101), (004), (200), (105), and (204) of anatase TiO$_2$, respectively.

Fig. S3 Fourier transform infrared spectra of TiO$_2$ solutions before and after ligand exchange. The long chain aliphatic hydrocarbon (most likely the oleate)-passivated TiO$_2$ nanocrystals was synthesized in a solvent system of oleic acid and oleylamine, are highly insulating and constituting a significant barrier for charge transport in devices.
BF$_4$-ligand can remove and exchange the native ligands from TiO$_2$ nanocrystals surface effectively, which have been discussed in the previous literature.$^1$ Furthermore, we have identified the ligand removed from the TiO$_2$ surface based on FTIR spectroscopy measurement, which is shown in Fig S3. As expected, oleate-passivated TiO$_2$ exhibited strong signals around 2900 cm$^{-1}$ before ligand exchange, which is assigned to the symmetric and antisymmetric CH stretches from oleate ligands. After treatment with BF$_4^-$ ligand, the signal around 2900 cm$^{-1}$ was significantly decreased, indicating the removal of oleate ligand. The existence of the tiny peak around 2900 cm$^{-1}$ may originate from the oleate ligand or DMF solvent residue. And the stretching band attributable to BF$_4^-$ around 1080 cm$^{-1}$ have not been observed, which is consistent with the previous literature.$^1$
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**Fig. S4** The X-ray diffraction pattern of the low-temperature sol-gel synthesized TiO$_2$.
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**Fig. S5** The TEM image of the TiO$_2$ nanoparticles obtained from hydrothermal approach before ligand exchange.
Table S1 Performance of planar perovskite solar cells based on TiO$_2$ nanoparticles obtained from sol-gel or hydrothermal methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>$V_{OC}$ (V)</th>
<th>$J_{SC}$ (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>PCE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard TiO$_2$</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>19.90</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>14.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrothermal TiO$_2$</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>18.42</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>12.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. S6 The top view SEM image of the hydrothermal processed TiO$_2$ nanocrystals ETL on the ITO substrate.

Fig. S7 The Schematic image of the procedure for preparing the composite TiO$_2$ electron transport layer.

Table S2 Performance characteristics of planar perovskite solar cells based on standard and composite TiO$_2$ ETLs.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ETL</th>
<th>Sweep direction</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$ (V)</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$ (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>PCE (%)</th>
<th>Hysteresis index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard TiO$_2$</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>20.88</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>17.04</td>
<td>0.316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>19.53</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite TiO$_2$</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>21.98</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>19.14</td>
<td>0.278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>19.54</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>13.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The hysteresis index was defined as:

$$Hysteresis\ Index = \frac{J_{RS}(0.8V_{oc}) - J_{FS}(0.8V_{oc})}{J_{RS}(0.8V_{oc})}$$

where $J_{RS}(0.8V_{oc})$ and $J_{FS}(0.8V_{oc})$ represented the current density at 80% of the open voltage for the reserve scan (RS) and forward scan (FS) respectively.
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**Fig. S8** (a) and (b) Space charge limited current test for Ag/TiO$_2$/Ag devices to estimate the defect density of TiO$_2$. (c) and (d) The SEM images for the cross section of Ag/TiO$_2$/Ag devices used in the space charge limited current test.

To quantify the defects density of standard TiO$_2$ and composite TiO$_2$ films, we fabricated specific device by sandwiching hydrothermal or sol-gel TiO$_2$ between silver and silver electrode, and recorded using the space charge limited current (SCLC) method, which is shown in **Fig. S8**. The transition points correlates to a trap-filled limit, which was determined by the trap-state density.

$$N_{defects} = \frac{2\varepsilon\varepsilon_0V_{TFL}}{eL^2}$$

where $e$ is the elementary charge, $L$ is the thickness, $\varepsilon$ is the dielectric constants and $\varepsilon_0$ is the vacuum permittivity of the TiO$_2$ film. The thickness was confirmed to be 224 nm.
and 140 nm for sol-gel and hydrothermal TiO$_2$, respectively, by the cross sectional SEM images for the Ag/TiO$_2$/Ag devices. To be noted, the TiO$_2$ films fabricated in the SCLC test were thick enough to ensure the accuracy of the SCLC and SEM measurements. According to previous literature,\textsuperscript{2} we assumed a dielectric of 100 for the TiO$_2$. The values of $N_{\text{defects}}$ were estimated to be $6.84 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ and $4.52 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ for sol-gel TiO$_2$ film and hydrothermal TiO$_2$ film respectively, which reasonably demonstrated that composite TiO$_2$ film exhibited fewer trap density than standard TiO$_2$ film.

**Fig. S9** Electrochemical impedance spectroscopies (EIS) of devices based on standard and composite TiO$_2$ ETLs.

**Fig. S10** The simulation profiles of (a) the standard TiO$_2$/perovskite and (b) composite TiO$_2$/perovskite.

**Fig. S11** Schematic illustration of the synthetic process and modification mechanism of the SnO$_2$ nanocrystals obtained from hydrothermal approach.
Fig. S12 X-ray diffraction patterns of the SnO$_2$ nanoparticles obtained from the hydrothermal approach. The XRD pattern indicated that the SnO$_2$ nanorods were tetragonal phase with high crystallinity.

Fig. S13 (a) $J-V$ curves of devices based on standard SnO$_2$ and hydrothermal SnO$_2$ nanorods. (b) The top view SEM image of the hydrothermal processed SnO$_2$ nanocrystals ETL. Devices only employing the hydrothermal SnO$_2$ nanorods as ETL showed an obviously decreased $V_{OC}$ and fill factor, when compared to the standard sample based on the sol-gel processed SnO$_2$ film prepared from SnCl$_2$·2H$_2$O ethanol solution as shown in Figure S13a and Table S3. This could also be resulted from the poor film coverage of the hydrothermal SnO$_2$ nanorods, leading to the direct contact for the ITO substrate with perovskite. The corresponding SEM image was shown in Figure S13b.

Table S3. Performances of perovskite solar cells based on standard SnO$_2$ and hydrothermal SnO$_2$ nanorods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>$V_{OC}$ (V)</th>
<th>$J_{SC}$ (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>PCE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard SnO$_2$</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>21.25</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>13.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrothermal SnO$_2$</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>21.21</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>11.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Fig. S14** Schematic image of the procedure for preparing the composite SnO$_2$ electron transport layer.

**Table S4.** Performance characteristics of planar perovskite solar cells based on standard and composite SnO$_2$ ETLs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ETL</th>
<th>Sweep direction</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$ (V)</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$ (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>PCE (%)</th>
<th>Hysteresis index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard SnO$_2$</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>21.51</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>17.01</td>
<td>0.407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>21.35</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>12.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite SnO$_2$</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>22.32</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>18.10</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>22.06</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>16.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The hysteresis index was defined as:

$$Hysteresis\ Index = \frac{J_{RS}(0.8V_{oc}) - J_{FS}(0.8V_{oc})}{J_{RS}(0.8V_{oc})}$$

where the $J_{RS}(0.8V_{oc})$ and $J_{FS}(0.8V_{oc})$ represented the current density at 80% of the open voltage for the reserve scan (RS) and forward scan (FS) respectively.
Experimental Section

Synthesis of TiO$_2$ nanocrystals: The traditional low-temperature processed TiO$_2$ nanocrystals were obtained from a non-hydrolytic sol-gel approach in the ambient air and stabilized with Tiacac (Aldrich) which was widely used in literatures.\textsuperscript{3} In the typical synthesis of hydrothermal TiO$_2$ nanocrystals, 1.71 mL tetrabutyl titanate (Chengdu Xiya Chemical Co. Ltd.) and 1.48 mL titanium isopropoxide (Aladdin) were added into a mixed solution of 6.34 mL oleic acid (Aldrich), 9.70 mL oleylamine (Aldrich), and 2.915 mL absolute ethanol with stirring. Then the mixed solution was added 9.5 mL ethanol and 0.5 mL DI water and transferred into a Teflon reactor. Then the reactor was kept at 180 °C for 18 h and naturally cooled down to room temperature. The as-obtained precipitates were re-dissolved in 20 mL hexamethylene and centrifuged with the addition of 20 mL ethanol. This procedure was repeated for two times and the final TiO$_2$ nanocrystals were collected and dispersed in 20 mL hexamethylene.

Synthesis of SnO$_2$ nanocrystals: In the typical synthesis of hydrothermal SnO$_2$ nanocrystals, 175.3 mg tin(IV) chloride pentahydrate (Kermel Chemical Co. Ltd.) were added into a mixed solution of 4.76 mL oleic acid (Aldrich) and 1.61 mL oleylamine...
Then the mixture was kept at 100 °C for 30 min with stirring in vacuum until the mixture became clear and transparent. 68.1 mg sodium dodecyl sulfonate (SDS) (Xilong Chemical Co. Ltd.) and 25 mL DI water were added when the solution was transferred into a Teflon reactor. Then the reactor was kept at 220 °C for 24 h and naturally cooled down to room temperature. The as-obtained precipitates were re-dissolved in 5 mL hexamethylen and centrifuged with the addition of 20 mL ethanol. The final SnO\textsubscript{2} nanocrystals were collected and dispersed in 5 mL hexamethylen.

**Method of ligand exchange:** 100 mg TiO\textsubscript{2} or SnO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles (centrifuged and dried from the above TiO\textsubscript{2}/hexamethylen or SnO\textsubscript{2}/hexamethylen solution) was dissolved in 4 mL n-hexane with stirring for 10 min. Then 6 mL Trimethyloloxonium tetrafluoroborate (TEOTB) (Aldrich) in DMF with a concentration of 20 mg/mL was added into the solution and stirred for about 1 h. After that, the as-obtained product was centrifuged with addition of 10 mL dichloromethane and dispersed in 4 mL DMF with a concentration of 25 mg/mL.

**Device fabrication:** The planar perovskite solar cells were fabricated on ITO glass which was sequentially washed with distilled water, ethanol, acetone and isopropanol. The TiO\textsubscript{2} compact layer was prepared by spin coating the sol-gel or hydrothermally synthesized TiO\textsubscript{2} nanocrystal solution, and annealed at 150 °C for 30 min in air. The SnO\textsubscript{2} compact layer was prepared by spin-coating the SnCl\textsubscript{2} ethanol solution or hydrothermally synthesized SnO\textsubscript{2} nanocrystal solution, and annealed at 180 °C for 1 h in air. The standard ETL was based on double TiO\textsubscript{2} or SnO\textsubscript{2} compact layers (spin-coated 3000 rpm 30 s), whereas the composite ETL was based on one layer of sol-gel synthesized TiO\textsubscript{2} or SnO\textsubscript{2} (spin-coated 5000 rpm 30 s) and one layer of hydrothermally synthesized TiO\textsubscript{2} or SnO\textsubscript{2} layer (spin-coated 3000 rpm 30 s). To fabricate the perovskite layer, the two step inter-diffusion method was used. A solution of PbI\textsubscript{2} (Alfa Aessar) in DMF with concentration of 450 mg/mL and CH\textsubscript{3}NH\textsubscript{3}I in isopropanol with concentration of 50 mg/mL was sequentially spin coated on the substrate at 3000 rpm. The PbI\textsubscript{2} layer was annealed at 90 °C for 10 min in the glovebox while the perovskite layer was annealed at 150 °C for 15 min in the air. The Spiro-MeOTAD (Luminescence Tech.) in chlorobenzene (80 mg/mL) solution with 17.5 μL Li-bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (Li-TFSI) /acetonitrile (520 mg/mL) and 30 μL tert-butylpyridine (tBP) was spin-coated on top of the perovskite layer at 3000 rpm to form the HTM layer. Finally, a 100 nm gold electrode was vacuum-deposited under a pressure of 1.5 × 10\textsuperscript{-4} Pa.

**Characterization:** The X-ray diraction (XRD) data were obtained from a Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diactometer using filtered Cu K\textalpha{} (\(\lambda = 1.5405 \text{ Å}\)) radiation. The TEM images were taken on a Tecnai G2 F20 with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. The SEM images were obtained from a S4800 scanning electron microscope. PL and TRPL testing were based on the FLS980 (Edinburgh Instruments Ltd) with an excitation at
470 nm. The UV–vis absorption spectra of the samples was obtained by an UV–visible diffuse reflectance spectrophotometer (UV–vis DRS, Japan Hitachi UH4150). The J-V curves were measured using a Keithley 2400 source-measure unit under AM 1.5G illumination at 100 mW/cm$^2$ provided by a Oriel Sol2A solar simulator in ambient air. Light intensity was calibrated with a KG 5 filtered Si reference cell which was calibrated by the National Institute of Metrology in China. A mask was used to define the device illumination area, which were fixed at 0.102 cm$^2$.

Notes and references