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Supporting Information
1. Chain transfer constant and polymerization kinetic

Chain transfer constant
The chain transfer constant represent the affinity of a propagating radical towards thiocarbonyl-thio moieties and is describe by the ratio of rate coefficient of chain transfer and rate coefficient of chain propagation (Equation S1).

\[
C_{tr} = \frac{k_{tr}}{k_p}
\]  
(S1)

Equation S1. Chain transfer constant to CTA.

The apparent chain transfer constant of Industrial grade CTA-Ester was measured for styrene polymerization at 90°C by Walling & Moad method using Equation S2.

\[
C_{tr}^{\text{app}} \approx \frac{d \ln[\text{CTA}]}{d \ln[M]}
\]  
(S2)

Equation S2. Approximate rate of consumption of initial chain transfer agent

Briefly, the apparent chain transfer constant (\(C_{tr}^{\text{app}}\)) is determined by plotting \(\ln[M]\) versus \(\ln[\text{CTA}]\), whereas the slope of the linear regression corresponds to \(C_{tr}^{\text{app}}\) (Figure S1).

![Figure S1. Plot for determination of the \(C_{tr}^{\text{app}}\) of CTA-Ester for styrene polymerization by Walling&Moad method](image)

The concentration of residual RAFT agent and monomer was determined by \textit{in situ} NMR following the disappearance of the vinyl peak of styrene (5.25ppm in toluene-d8) and the methylene protons
from R group in CTA-Ester (4.10 ppm in toluene-d8). The value of the apparent chain transfer constant 
\( \text{C}_{\text{tr}}^{\text{app}} = 28 \) is comparably high, which result in a rapid radical exchange among chains, as highlighted 
by Destarac and coworkers.\(^6\),\(^7\)

This results in a high probability to add one or less than one monomer unit per activating-deactivating 
cycle causing all chains to grow at a similar rate. For \( \text{C}_{\text{tr}} > 10 \), assuming negligible termination by 
keeping the radical concentration low, a linear increase in the experimental \( M_n \), with conversion and a 
low dispersity \( (D \text{ under 1.2}) \) is expected.

**Polymerization kinetic**

A pseudo-first order kinetic (Figure S2, A) and a linear evolution of \( M_n \) with conversion at low 
dispersities (Figure S2, B) were observed under typical experimental conditions. Near full conversion 
is obtained within 12 h as shown Figure S2, A.

**Figure S2.** Kinetic investigation and evolution of conversion versus time (A). Evolution of experimental molar mass 
(\( M_n, \text{SEC} \)) compared to theoretical molar mass (\( M_n, \text{theo} \)) and dispersity (\( D \)) for styrene polymerization (B). Study 
performed using CTA-Ester at 100°C with \([M]_0 = 5M \text{ and } [I]_0 = 0.045M \text{ ([CTA]}_0/[I]_0 = 11)\)
Theory behind Chain Transfer Constant by Walling & Moad Method

The efficiency of a RAFT agent for a certain monomer can be accessed via chain transfer constants and the partition coefficient ($\phi$) defined as followed in equations 1-4.

\[
C_{tr} = \frac{k_{tr}}{k_p} \quad (1)
\]

Equation S3. Chain transfer constant to CTA.

\[
C_{-tr} = \frac{k_{-tr}}{k_p} \quad (2)
\]

Equation S4. Reverse Chain transfer constant to CTA.

\[
k_{tr} = k_{add} \phi = \frac{k_{frag}}{k_{add} k_{-add} + k_{frag}} \quad (3)
\]

Equation S5. Rate coefficient for chain transfer (addition to RAFT agent)

\[
k_{-tr} = k_{-frag}(1 - \phi) = \frac{k_{-frag}}{k_{-add} + k_{frag}} \quad (4)
\]

Equation S6. Rate coefficient for chain transfer (reverse-addition to RAFT agent)

\[
\phi = \frac{k_{frag}}{k_{add} + k_{frag}} \quad (5)
\]

Equation S7. Partition coefficient
The two chain transfer constants, $C_{tr}$ and $C_{-tr}$, describe the reactivity of the propagating radical $P_n\cdot$ and the expelled radical $R\cdot$ towards the thiocarbonyl-thio moieties, respectively and the partition coefficient $\phi$ indicates the preference for the intermediate radicals to fragment either on one side and give the expected products or on the other side and give back the starting materials. As highlighted by Destarac and coworkers$^6, 7$ if chain transfer constant to the RAFT agent is high compared to propagation, the radical is exchanged rapidly among the chains. Then, all chains have a higher probability to add one or less than one monomer unit per activating-deactivating cycle and all chains are growing at similar rate. Therefore, for $C_{tr} > 10$, and as long as termination is negligible by keeping the radical concentration low, a linear increase in the experimental $M_n$, with conversion and a low dispersity (under 1.2) will be obtained. A number of methods have been reported for determining chain transfer constants to RAFT agents. The conventional approach known as Mayo method is well documented for conventional free radical polymerization and has been mainly used for chain transfer constant tables reported in the polymer handbook.$^7, 10-12$ However, this method is only suited for low $C_{tr}$ and for most of the RAFT agents the Walling&Moad method is more appropriate (equa.6)$^2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 13$

$$C_{tr}^{app} \approx \frac{d\ln[CTA]}{d\ln[M]}$$

(Equation S 8. Approximate rate of consumption of initial chain transfer agent)

[CTA] and [M] are the actual concentration of RAFT agent and monomer respectively. The transfer coefficient shown in Equation 5 is called apparent transfer coefficient ($C_{tr}^{app}$) as it derivate from the rate of consumption of CTA making the assumption that chain transfer is irreversible. The determination of the $C_{tr}^{app}$ was achieved by plotting $\ln[M]$ versus $\ln[CTA]$ which gives a straight line with a slope corresponding to $C_{tr}^{app}$. 
2. Experimental conditions

Table S1 Experimental conditions for each steps of multisite synthesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>copolymers structures</th>
<th>Monomer</th>
<th>[M] (mol.L⁻¹)</th>
<th>[M] w%</th>
<th>Vtot (mL)</th>
<th>[V-40] (mol.L⁻¹)</th>
<th>[CTA]o/ [V-40]p</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MG225</td>
<td>P[Sty₁₀]</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG226</td>
<td>P[Sty₁₀-s-MAhm₁₃]₁</td>
<td>MAhm</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG227</td>
<td>P[Sty₁₀-s-MAhm₁₃]₁₋₋₋-PSy₁₀</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG230</td>
<td>P[Sty₁₀-s-MAhm₁₃]₂</td>
<td>MAhm</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG231</td>
<td>P[Sty₁₀-s-MAhm₁₃]₋₋₋₋-PSy₁₀</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG232</td>
<td>P[Sty₁₀-s-MAhm₁₃]₃</td>
<td>MAhm</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| MG233 | P[Sty₁₀-s-MAhm₁₃]₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋ atrás

3. SEC spectrum for MacroCTA before and after SMUI

![SEC spectrum](image)

Figure S3. SEC spectrum showing RI traces for impurities from CTA-Ester (dash orange), macroCTA before (blue) and after SMUI (dash green).
4. MALDI-TOF mass spectroscopy: List of structures for macroCTA before and after SMUI

**Figure S4.** A and B MALDI-TOF spectrum for polystyrene macroCTA (blue) and for copolymer after SMUI (green) respectively. C and D are zooms for regions in dashed square for A and B spectra respectively. Experimental and calculated (black) isotopic distribution for expected structures are shown in the middle of C and D. The other structures are shown in Table S2 and are mostly different fragmentation adducts. The spectrums were recorded using DTCB as a matrix and AgTFA as cationization agent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Proposed structures</th>
<th>Experimental mass</th>
<th>Calculated mass</th>
<th>structures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>R-P[Sty10]-db</td>
<td>1291.67</td>
<td>1291.63</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure A" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>R-P[Sty1]-SAg</td>
<td>1327.49</td>
<td>1327.45</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure B" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1309.68</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure C" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Ini-P[Sty10]-db</td>
<td>1359.72</td>
<td>1359.67</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure D" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>R-P[Sty9-x-MArhopost-0Ag]-db</td>
<td>1409.53</td>
<td>1409.48</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure E" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>R-P[Sty9-x-MArhop]-db</td>
<td>1387.69</td>
<td>1387.63</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure F" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>R-P[Sty8-stat-2MArhpro-0Ag]-db</td>
<td>1319.44</td>
<td>1319.20</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure G" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S2.** Proposed structures for MALDI TOF spectra of polystyrene macroCTA before and after SMUI.
5. MALDI-TOF mass spectroscopy: Spectrum after first chain extension with styrene

![Spectrum](image)

6. $^1$H NMR spectrum of final materials

![NMR Spectrum](image)

**Figure S5.** $^1$H NMR spectrum of final multisite material after purification in hexane.
7. FT-IR results

Figure S6. IR spectrum for final multisite copolymer (MG235)

8. MALDI-TOF mass spectroscopy: Spectrum after sequential SMUI and ChainExt

Figure S7. A) MALDI-TOF mass spectrum for poly[[Sty10-5-MAnh1.5]]2. B) zoom corresponding to the region in the dashed square in A). Proposed structures below spectrum.
Figure S8. A) MALDI-TOF mass spectrum for poly[(Sty<sub>10</sub>-s-MAnh<sub>1.5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-b-PSty<sub>10</sub>] B) zoom corresponding to the region in the dashed square in A). Proposed structures below spectrum.

Figure S9. A) MALDI-TOF mass spectrum for poly[(Sty<sub>10</sub>-s-MAnh<sub>1.5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] B) zoom corresponding to the region in the dashed square in A). Proposed structures below spectrum.
Figure S10. A) MALDI-TOF mass spectrum for poly[(Sty10-s-MAnh1.5)]₃-b-PSty10 B) zoom corresponding to the region in the dashed square in A). Proposed structures below spectrum.

Figure S11. A) MALDI-TOF mass spectrum for poly[(Sty10-s-MAnh1.5)]₄ B) zoom corresponding to the region in the dashed square in A). Proposed structures below spectrum.
**Figure S12.** A) MALDI-TOF mass spectrum for poly[(Sty\textsubscript{10}-s-MAnh\textsubscript{1.5})\textsubscript{4}-b-PSty\textsubscript{10}] B) zoom corresponding to the region in the dashed square in A. Proposed structures below spectrum.
9. SEC spectrum for multisite copolymer before and after esterification

![SEC spectrum](image)

**Figure S13.** SEC spectrum showing RI traces for multisite copolymer before (blue) and after (black) esterification with stearyl alcohol

10. Inverse Gated $^{13}$C NMR for pure multisite copolymer$^{14,15}$

![Inverse Gated $^{13}$C-NMR](image)

**Figure S14.** Quantitative $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of pure multisite with zoomed area showing the location quaternary carbon from styrene depending on monomer triad.
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