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Crystallite size

Crystallite size was calculated for (111) fcc of SnS from scherrer equation for all three samples, un-doped SnS and doped SnS.

\[ L = \frac{K \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \]

\( K \) is dimensionless factor, usually 0.94, \( \lambda \) is wavelength of x-rays i.e. 1.54 Å, \( \beta \) is full width half maximum (FWHM), \( \theta \) is the Bragg’s angle and \( L \) is the crystallite size.

**Table S1** Crystallite size obtained from Sherrer equation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Crystallite size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:1 SnS</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1:1 SnS</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2:1 SnS</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

XPS

Comparative Sn 3d XPS clearly shows a shift towards binding energy

![Fig. S1 Core level Sn 3d spectra all samples.](image)
BET surface area

Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherm was performed to determine BET surface area of the samples. SnS samples showed isotherm type IV with type H₃ desorption hysteresis loop. Depending upon the crystalline size of doped metal, doping in general effects the final surface area. This is confirmed in our results mentioned in table. The surface area of doped SnS has increased in comparison to un-doped SnS i.e. 1:1 SnS [1].

Fig. S2 N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherm of all samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>BET surface area (m²/g)</th>
<th>average pore diameter (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:1 SnS</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1:1 SnS</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2:1 SnS</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Photocatalytic Reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III)

**Fig. S3** Cr(VI) reduction to Cr(III) at 30 min after illumination using 1.1:1 SnS (with doped condition).

Determination of Optimal Doping Concentration of SnS

**Fig. S4** Cr(VI) reduction to Cr(III) using different doping concentrations.
Evaluation of Photocatalytic Activity Using Highly Concentrated Cr(VI) Ion (50 ppm)

Fig. S5 Cr(VI) reduction to Cr(III) for high concentration solution (50 ppm).
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