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1. General Methods for Chemical Synthesis. All reactions were performed in dried glassware under an atmosphere of dry N₂. Silica gel P60 (SiliCycle) was used for column chromatography.
and SiliCycle 60 F254 silica gel (precoated sheets, 0.25 mm thick) was used for analytical thin
layer chromatography. Plates were visualized by fluorescence quenching under UV light or by
staining with iodine. Other reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO), Alfa
Aesar (Ward Hill, MA), EMD Millipore (Billerica, MA), Oakwood Chemical (West Columbia,
SC), and Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI) and used without further purification. 1H NMR and
13C NMR spectra for characterization of new compounds and monitoring reactions were collected
in CDCl3 (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Cambridge, MA) on a JEOL 500 MHz spectrometer
in the Department of Chemistry at Southern Methodist University. All chemical shifts are reported
in the standard notation of parts per million using the peak of residual proton signals of the
deuterated solvent as an internal reference. Coupling constant units are in Hertz (Hz) Splitting
patterns are indicated as follows: br, broad; s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet;
dd, doublet of doublets; dt, doublet of triplets. High-resolution mass spectroscopy was performed
on a Shimadzu IT-TOF (ESI source) at the Shimadzu Center for Advanced Analytical Chemistry
at the University of Texas, Arlington and Matrix-assisted desorption/ionization (MALDI) mass
spectrometry was performed at the University of Texas, Dallas.

5-iodo-3,3-dimethoxyindolin-2-one (2). 5-iodoisatin (203.1 mg, 7.43 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was
dissolved in 300 mL MeOH, followed directly by the addition of 7.1 mL HCl. The reaction was
stirred for 48 h at rt. The reaction mixture was neutralized with saturated NaHCO3, and then
the reaction mixture was poured into a separatory funnel containing 150 mL H2O, and extracted with
3 x 100 mL EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with 10 mL brine, dried over Na2SO4, filtered,
and concentrated. Purification by silica column chromatography (1:4 EtOAc/hexanes) afforded 2
(2.2 g, 93%) as a colorless solid. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 9.46 (s, 1H), 7.65 (d, 1H, J = 1.7
Hz), 7.58 (dd, 1H, J = 8.0, 1.7 Hz), 6.70 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 3.53 (s, 6H); 13C NMR (125 MHz,
CDCl3) δ 173.02, 140.40, 139.61, 133.84, 127.42, 113.36, 97.42, 85.43, 51.08. HRMS calcd for
C10H10INO3 (M–H–) 317.9633, found 317.9638.

3,3-dimethoxy-2-oxoindoline-5-carbaldehyde (3). Compound 2 (607.0 mg, 1.90 mmol, 1.0 equiv),
N-formyl saccharin (602.8 mg, 2.86 mmol, 1.5 equiv), palladium(II) acetate (12.8 mg,
0.057 mmol, 0.03 equiv), 1,4-bis(diphenylphosphino)butane (36.5 mg, 0.086 mmol, 0.045 equiv),
and Na2CO3 (302.3 mg, 2.86 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were added to a 10 mL pressure flask. The flask
was evacuated and backfilled with N2 three times. Then, a degassed solution of Et3SiH (395 µL,
2.47 mmol, 1.3 equiv) and anhydrous DMF (2 mL) were added to the flask under N2. The pressure
flask was sealed immediately and the mixture was stirred for 10 min at rt. Then the mixture was
warmed to 80 °C and stirred for 16 h. The reaction was quenched with 100 mL saturated NH4Cl,
extracted with 3 x 80 mL EtOAc, washed with 10 mL brine, dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and
concentrated. The crude was purified by the silica column chromatography (1:3 EtOAc/hexanes)
to deliver compound 4 as a white solid (245.0 mg, 59%). 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 9.90 (s,
1H), 9.33 (s, 1H), 7.93 (d, 1H, J = 1.7 Hz), 7.87 (dd, 1H, J = 8.0, 1.7 Hz), 7.07 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 3.59 (s, 6H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ 190.81, 173.33, 146.20, 134.69, 131.82, 126.25, 125.85, 111.36, 96.60, 51.02. HRMS calcd for C11H11NO4 (M–H–) 220.0615, found 220.0609.

5-(hydroxymethyl)indoline-2,3-dione (4). Compound 3 (160.0 mg, 0.72 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and sodium borohydride (41.9 mg, 1.11 mmol, 1.53 equiv) were dissolved in 12 mL EtOH, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h. The reaction was quenched with 80 mL saturated NH4Cl, extracted with 3 x 40 mL EtOAc, washed with 10 mL brine, dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated to yield 5-(hydroxymethyl)-3,3-dimethoxyindolin-2-one (162 mg) as a colorless oil and used without further purification. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 9.05 (s, 1H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.24 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 6.79 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 4.61 (s, 2H), 3.53 (s, 6H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ 173.33, 140.14, 135.67, 129.81, 125.43, 124.28, 111.05, 97.41, 64.88, 50.95. HRMS calcd for C11H13NO4 (M–H–) 222.0772, found 222.0778. 5-(hydroxymethyl)-3,3-dimethoxyindolin-2-one (189 mg, 0.85 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in 12 mL 1 M HCl solution, and the reaction was stirred at rt for 30 min. The reaction was neutralized with saturated NaHCO3, and then the reaction mixture was poured into a separatory funnel, and extracted with 3 x 40 mL 3:1 DCM:iPrOH and 3 x 30 mL EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with 10 mL brine, dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated. Purification by silica column chromatography (1:1 to 2:1 EtOAc/hexanes) afforded 4 (132.0 mg, 88%) as an orange solid. 1H NMR (500 MHz, (CD3)2CO) δ 7.58 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.50 (dd, 1H, J = 8.0, 1.7 Hz), 6.96 (d, 1H, J = 1.7 Hz), 4.57 (s, 2H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, (CD3)2CO) δ 184.09, 159.14, 149.60, 137.82, 136.77, 122.77, 118.10, 112.00, 62.81. HRMS calcd for C9H7NO3 (M–H–) 176.0353, found 176.0345.

(E)-3-((4-(((1R,3R,5R,7S)-adamantan-2-ylidene)(methoxy)methyl)-3-chloro-2-((2,3-dioxoindolin-5-yl)methoxy)phenyl)acrylonitrile (6). Compound 4 (20 mg, 0.11 mmol, 1.0 equiv), compound 5¹ (43.8 mg, 0.11, 1.0 equiv), and triphenylphosphine (36.0 mg, 0.13 mmol, 1.2 equiv) were dissolved in 2 mL anhydrous THF, and the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C. Diethyl azodicarboxylate (21.3 µL, 0.14 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added dropwise over 5 min. After 1 h of stirring at rt, the mixture was concentrated. Purification by silica column chromatography (2% MeOH/DCM) afforded 6 as a yellow oil (49.0 mg, 84%). 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.56 (s, 1H), 7.71 (dd, 1H, J = 8.0, 1.7 Hz), 7.64 (d, 1H, J = 1.7 Hz), 7.50 (d, 1H, J = 16.8 Hz), 7.12 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.02 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 5.90 (d, 1H, J = 16.8 Hz), 4.98 (m, 2H), 3.32 (s, 3H), 3.27 (s, 1H), 1.64-2.20 (m, 13 H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ 182.76, 159.24, 152.97, 149.62, 118.10, 112.00, 62.81.


Page S3
(E)-3-(3-chloro-2-((2,3-dioxoindolin-5-yl)methoxy)-4-((1r,3r,5r,7r)-4'-methoxyspiro[adamantane-2,3'-[1,2]dioxetan]-4'-yl)phenyl)acrylonitrile (PNCL).

Enol ether 6 (40.0 mg, 0.075 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and Rose bengal (9.8 mg, 0.010 mmol, 0.13 equiv) were added into a dry flask and dissolved in 5 mL THF. Oxygen was bubbled through the reaction mixture, while irradiating with a 120 W light bulb (Home Depot, Dallas, TX) at 0 °C. After 3 h of reaction, TLC showed no starting material left and the mixture was then concentrated under vacuum at 0 °C and the residue was purified by the silica column chromatography (10:1 DCM/Ether) to deliver PNCL (33.0 mg, 81%) as a yellow solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.02 (s, 1H), 7.97 (d, 1H, J = 8.6 Hz), 7.66-7.70 (m, 2H), 7.25-7.52 (m, 2H), 7.12 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.04 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 5.96 (d, 1H, J = 16.6 Hz), 4.90 (s, 2H), 3.22 (s, 3H), 3.02 (s, 1H), 1.24-2.20 (m, 13H);

¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 182.77, 159.21, 153.45, 149.72, 144.23, 138.94, 136.76, 131.60, 120.50, 129.62, 125.86, 124.77, 118.30, 117.65, 112.92, 111.63, 100.03, 96.49, 76.26, 49.87, 36.58, 33.98, 33.72, 32.79, 32.23, 31.64, 31.05, 29.79, 26.18, 25.87. MALDI-MS calcd for C₃₀H₂₇ClN₂O₆ (M+H⁺) 547.16, found 547.15.

2. Preparation of ONOO⁻²

To a 200 mL round flask was added 2.2 mL of 11.6 M H₂O₂ (26.0 mmol) further diluted with 50 mL DI-H₂O. The mixture was allowed to chill to 0 °C before addition of 36 mL of 0.55 M NaOH followed by 5 mL of 0.04 M EDTA. The final mixture was diluted to a total volume of 100 mL with DI-H₂O before the addition of 3.4 mL isopentyl nitrite (26.0 mmol). A deep, yellow color indicates the formation of peroxynitrite. After 6 hours of stirring at 0 °C, the mixture was washed 3 x 20 mL with DCM. To the aqueous layer was added roughly 20 mg of MnO₂. The mixture was allowed to stir until bubbling ceased, upon which it was filtered twice and stored on ice or in the freezer. The concentration was determined using an extinction coefficient of ε = 1670 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 302 nm.

3. GC-MS procedure to monitor reaction intermediates

300 µL of 10 mM PNCL in DMSO (3 mM final concentration), and 125 µL of 48 mM ONOO⁻ (6 mM final concentration) were mixed in an Eppendorf tube, and vortexed for 5 second. The reaction mixture was then poured into a separatory funnel containing 10 mL EtOAc and 15 mL DI-H₂O and extracted with 2 x 10 mL EtOAc. The organic layer was collected, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated. Then the solid was re-dissolved in 2 mL CH₂Cl₂, transferred to a GC-MS vial and GC-MS was conducted immediately.

Figure S1. The reaction products were observed between PNCL and ONOO\textsuperscript{−} by GC-MS. (A) m/z=237, (B) m/z=150.1.

4. Chemiluminescent response. Chemiluminescent responses and time scans were acquired with a Hitachi F-7000 Fluorescence Spectrophotometer (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) using the luminescence detection module. 20 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.4), 5 mM PNCL stock solution in DMSO and ONOO\textsuperscript{−} were added to a quartz cuvette (Starna, Atascadero, CA) in sequences. Samples were shaken gently to assure mixing. 20 µM PNCL was treated with 0, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 100 and 200 µM ONOO\textsuperscript{−}. Time scans were acquired using the time scan module by setting the emission wavelength to 525 nm. Wavelength scans were acquired by treating 20 µM PNCL with 0 µM to 200 µM ONOO\textsuperscript{−}. Time scans and wavelength scans were measured 10 second after adding ONOO\textsuperscript{−}.
Figure S2. Repeatability of chemiluminescence response. Time course of the chemiluminescence emission at 525 nm of 20 µM PNCL and 200 µM ONOO– in 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4). Every 20 min, an additional 200 µM ONOO– was added to the solution.

5. Determination of the detection limit of bolus ONOO–
Chemiluminescent responses were measured using a Cytation 5 BioTek plate reader (Winooski, VT) by using the luminescence detection method, endpoint read type, and setting gain to 135 and temperature to 37 °C. 0.125 mM, 0.25 mM, 0.5 mM, 0.75 mM ONOO– stock solutions were made by dilution of a 16 mM ONOO– solution with 0.01 M NaOH, and the final concentration was also confirmed by UV/Vis. 249 µL of 10 mM PBS buffer (pH 7.4) was added into vehicle well, and 248 µL of 20 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.4) was added into other wells. 1 µL of 5 mM PNCL was pipetted into every well, and then 1 µL of different concentrated ONOO– stock solutions were added into wells. The chemiluminescence emission was then measured on the plate reader. The emission was integrated over 30 minutes and the calibration curve was constructed using three replicate experiments. The detection limit was determined as LoD = 3σ/k.

Figure S3. Detection Limit of PNCL for bolus ONOO–. Integrated chemiluminescence emission intensity of 20 µM PNCL and 0 µM, 0.5 µM, 1 µM, 1.5 µM, and 2 µM of ONOO–.
Table S1. Detection limits of selected fluorescent and chemiluminescent ONOO– probes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>LoD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>2.5 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>0.917 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Chemiluminescence</td>
<td>0.1 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>50 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>35 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>10 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>this work</td>
<td>Chemiluminescence</td>
<td>6 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Chemiluminescence</td>
<td>5 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>4 nM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Selectivity tests

Selectivity for PNCL was measured by monitoring the time-dependent chemiluminescent emission at 525 nm with an F-7000 fluorescence spectrophotometer (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). All assays were performed in 20 mM HEPES buffered to pH 7.42. All analytes were tested with final concentration of 200 µM, with the exceptions of glutathione (5 mM), and L-cysteine (1 mM).

ONOO– (200 µM): 3.1 µL of 65 mM ONOO– was added to a solution of 993 µL HEPES buffer and then 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO was added to the mixture.

S2O52− (200 µM): 4 µL of 50 mM Na2S2O5 in DI-H2O was added to a solution of 992 µL HEPES buffer and then 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO was added to the mixture.

1BuOOH (200 µM): 4 µL of 50 mM 1BuOOH in DI-H2O was added to a solution of 992 µL HEPES buffer and then 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO was added to the mixture.

S-nitrosoglutathione (200 µM): 20 µL of 10 mM S-nitrosoglutathione in DI-H2O was added to a solution of 976 µL HEPES buffer and then 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO was added to the mixture.

Glutathione (5 mM): 20 µL of 250 mM glutathione in DI-H2O was added to a solution of 976 µL HEPES buffer and then 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO was added to the mixture.

L-Cysteine (1 mM): 4 µL of 250 mM cysteine in DI-H2O was added to a solution of 992 µL HEPES buffer and then 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO was added to the mixture.

NaNO₂ (200 µM): 4 µL of 50 mM NaNO₂ in DI-H₂O was added to a solution of 992 µL HEPES buffer and then 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO was added to the mixture.

Na₂SO₄ (200 µM): 4 µL of 50 mM Na₂SO₄ in DI-H₂O was added to a solution of 992 µL HEPES buffer and then 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO was added to the mixture.

Na₂S (200 µM): 4 µL of 50 mM Na₂S in DI-H₂O was added to a solution of 992 µL HEPES buffer and then 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO was added to the mixture.

H₂O₂ (200 µM): 4 µL of 50 mM H₂O₂ in DI-H₂O was added to a solution of 992 µL HEPES buffer and then 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO was added to the mixture.

NO• (200 µM): DEA NONOate was used to generate NO. It was stored at −20 °C and dissolved in 0.01 M NaOH solution immediately prior to use. The concentration of this alkaline stock solution of DEA NONOate was measured by UV/Vis using \( \varepsilon = 6500 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1} \) at 250 nm. 6.36 µL of 31.45 mM DEA NONOate in 0.01 M NaOH solution was added to a 994 µL solution of 20 µM PNCL in HEPES buffer.

HNO (200 µM): Angeli’s salt (Na₂N₂O₃) was used to generate HNO. The stock solution was made by dissolving Angeli’s salt in 0.01 M NaOH solution immediately prior to use. The concentration of this alkaline stock solution of Angeli’s salt was measured by UV/Vis is using \( \varepsilon = 6100 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1} \) at 237 nm. 6.33 µL of 31.55 mM stock solution of H₂O₂ in DI-water was added to a 994 µL solution of 20 µM PNCL in HEPES buffer.

O₂•⁻ (200 µM): 1 mg KO₂ (final concentration 200 µM) was added to a 70 mL solution of 20 µM PNCL in HEPES buffer.

OH• (200 µM): 1 mg Fe(ClO₄)₂ (final concentration 200 µM) was added to a 30 mL solution of 20 µM PNCL and H₂O₂ (final concentration 200 µM) in HEPES buffer.

OCl⁻ (200 µM): 4 µL of 50 mM NaOCl in DI-H₂O was added to a solution of 992 µL HEPES and 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO was added into this mixture.

¹O₂: 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO, 8 µL of 25 mM Rose bengal in DI-H₂O were added to a solution of 988 µL HEPES and illuminated with 500 nm wavelength for 10 min before time scanning. Longer illumination times of 30 minutes also showed no response.

Blank: 3.1 µL of a 0.20 M NaOH in DI-H₂O was added to a solution of 993 µL HEPES and 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO was added into this mixture.

OH' interference: 4 µL of 50 mM FeCl₂ and 4 µL of 50 mM H₂O₂ were added to a solution of 984 µL HEPES buffer containing 20 µM PNCL. 4 µL of 50 mM ONOO⁻ was then added to that solution, and the mixture was shaken gently before testing.
Figure S4. Chemiluminescence response of 20 µM PNCL and 200 µM ONOO• in the (grey trace) presence of OH• and (black trace) absence of OH•. Luminescence responses were collected using the time scan module by setting the emission wavelength to 525 nm.

7. Synthesis of XF1

3'-Oxo-3H-spiro[isobenzofuran-1, 9'-xanthene]-3', 6'-diyl bis(2-(diphenylphosphanyl)benzoate) (XF1). 2-(diphenylphosphino) benzoic acid (336.9 mg, 1.1 mmol, 2.2 equiv) and HBTU (417.2 mg, 1.1 mmol, 2.2 equiv) were dissolved in 5 mL of DMF. DIPEA (0.52 mL, 3.0 mmol, 6.0 equiv) was added and the reaction was stirred for five minutes. Fluorescein (166.2 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added and the reaction was stirred for 24 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated and purified by silica gel column chromatography (1:1 Hexanes/EtOAc) to yield XF1 (78.4 mg, 17% yield) as a white solid. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.25–8.23 (m, 2H), 8.01 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.66 (td, 1H, J = 7.5, J = 1.2 Hz), 7.61 (td, 1H, J = 7.5, J = 1.2 Hz), 7.49–7.45 (m, 4H), 7.35–7.25 (m, 20H), 7.12 (d, 1H, J = 7.4 Hz), 7.01–6.98 (m, 2H), 6.90 (d, 2H, J = 8.6 Hz), 6.74 (d, 2H, J = 8.6 Hz), 6.63 (dd, 2H, J = 8.6 Hz, 2.3 Hz); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ 169.53, 164.86, 153.29, 151.93, 151.48, 137.53, 137.45, 135.35, 134.56, 134.20, 134.04, 133.08, 132.93, 132.86, 131.92, 131.52, 130.06, 129.28, 128.97, 128.89, 128.74, 128.68, 128.45, 126.07, 125.23, 124.24, 117.85, 116.43, 110.59, 81.85; HRMS calcd for C58H38O7P2 [M+Na]+ 931.1985, found 931.1990.
Figure S5. (A) Fluorescence emission of 10 µM XF1 and 200 µM Angeli's salt after reacting for 1, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30 min in 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.42). (B) Peak emission intensity of 10 µM XF1 and 0, 5, 50, 100, and 200 µM Angeli's salt after reacting for 30 min in 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4). (C) Selectivity of XF1 versus other reactive sulfur, oxygen, and nitrogen species. Legend: 1. Angeli's salt, 2. Na2S, 3. ONOO−, 4. ClO−, 5. H2O2, 6. GSH (5 mM), 7. GSNO, 8. DEA NONOate, 9. 'BuOOH.

8. XF1 response and selectivity tests

Response. Wavelength scan of fluorescent emission of 10 µM XF1 at 488 nm before and after adding 200 µM Angeli's salt were acquired in 20 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.4). 996 µL HEPES was added to an Eppendorf tube, then 2 µL of 5 mM XF1, and 2.3 µL of 86 mM Angeli's salt were added. The mixtures were vortexed for 5 seconds. The reaction was monitored every 5 minutes for 30 minutes.

Selectivity. Selectivity for XF1 was performed by treating it with various reactive species (5 mM glutathione and 200 µM for other species) by monitoring fluorescent change every 5 minutes for 30 minutes with excitation wavelength at 488 nm. Stock solution was prepared as 5 mM in DMSO and the selectivity reactions were performed in 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4).

9. Inhibition experiments with HCO3− and TEMPO

Different volumes of 100 mM HCO3− in DI-H2O (0 µL, 2 µL, 5 µL, 10 µL and 50 µL) were added to the 20 mM HEPES buffer in the presence of 10 µL of 5 mM isatin (50 µM final concentration) in DMSO, followed by adding 5.29 µL of 37.9 mM ONOO− (200 µM final concentration). The cuvette was shaken gently to assure mixing. Then, fluorescence spectra of the anthranilic acid product were acquired 1 min after addition with excitation wavelength at 320 nm.

Different volumes of 100 mM TEMPO in DI-H2O (0 µL, 2 µL, 5 µL, 10 µL and 50 µL) were added to the 20 mM HEPES buffer in the presence of 10 µL of 5 mM isatin in DMSO (50 µM final
concentration), followed by adding 5.29 µL of 37.9 mM ONOO$^-$ (200 µM final concentration). The cuvette was shaken gently to assure mixing. Then, fluorescence spectra of the anthranilic acid product were acquired 1 min after addition of ONOO$^-$ with excitation wavelength at 320 nm.

**Figure S6.** Inhibitor experiments with isatin. (A) Fluorescence emission intensity at 400 nm of 50 µM isatin, 200 µM ONOO$^-$, and 0–5 mM NaHCO$_3$. (B) Fluorescence emission intensity at 400 nm of 50 µM isatin, 200 µM ONOO$^-$, and 0–5 mM TEMPOL. All experiments were performed in 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), containing 1% DMSO with $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 320$ nm.

10. Inhibition experiments with HCO$_3^-$ and glutathione

Inhibition of response by glutathione

Different volumes of 50 mM glutathione in DI-H$_2$O (0 µL, 1 µL, 2 µL, 4 µL and 20 µL) were added to the 20 mM HEPES buffer in the presence of 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO (20 µM final concentration), followed by adding 5.2 µL of 38.4 mM ONOO$^-$ (200 µM final concentration). The cuvette was shaken gently to assure mixing. Then time scans were acquired using the time scan module with emission wavelength at 525 nm.

Different volumes of 50 mM glutathione in DI-H$_2$O (0 µL, 1 µL, 2 µL, 4 µL and 20 µL) were added to the 20 mM HEPES buffer in the presence of 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO (20 µM final concentration), followed by adding 1.2 µL of 172.7 mM Angeli’s salt (200 µM final concentration). The cuvette was shaken gently to assure mixing. Then time scans were acquired using the time scan module with emission wavelength at 525 nm.

**Inhibition of response by HCO$_3^-$**

Different volumes of 50 mM NaHCO$_3$ in DI-H$_2$O (0 µL, 1 µL, 2 µL, 4 µL and 20 µL) were added to the 20 mM HEPES buffer in the presence of 2 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO (20 µM final concentration), followed by adding 5.2 µL of 38.4 mM ONOO$^-$ (200 µM final concentration). The cuvette was shaken gently to assure mixing. Then time scans were acquired using the time scan module with emission wavelength at 525 nm.

Different volumes of 50 mM NaHCO$_3$ in DI-H$_2$O (0 µL, 20 µL, 40 µL, 60 µL, 80 µL and 100 µL) were added to the 20 mM HEPES buffer in the presence of 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO (20 µM final concentration), followed by adding 1.1 µL of 177.4 mM Angeli’s salt (200 µM final concentration). The cuvette was shaken gently to assure mixing. Then time scans were acquired using the time scan module with emission wavelength at 525 nm.

**Inhibition experiments for XF1**
Different volumes of 50 mM glutathione in DI-H$_2$O (0 µL, 1 µL, 2 µL, 4 µL and 20 µL) were added to the 20 mM HEPES buffer in the presence of 2 µL of 5 mM XF1 (10 µM final concentration) in DMSO, followed by adding 1.2 µL of 172.7 mM Angeli’s salt. The cuvette was shaken gently to assure mixing. Then time scans were acquired using the time scan module with emission wavelength at 525 nm.

Different volumes of 50 mM NaHCO$_3$ in DI-H$_2$O (0 µL, 20 µL, 40 µL, 60 µL, 80 µL and 100 µL) were added to the 20 mM HEPES buffer in the presence of 2 µL of 5 mM XF1 in DMSO (10 µM final concentration), followed by adding 1.1 µL of 177.4 mM Angeli’s salt (200 µM final concentration). The cuvette was shaken gently to assure mixing. Then time scans were acquired using the time scan module with emission wavelength at 525 nm.

11. Cell culture

Macrophages (RAW 264.7) were purchased from ATCC and cultured in high glucose Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Media (DMEM) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) and 1% antibiotics (penicillin/streptomycin, 100 U/mL). Cells were maintained in a humidified incubator at 37 °C with 5% CO$_2$. 12 hours before the experiment, cells were passed and plated on multi-well plates by adding 1000K–1500K of macrophages per well, filling each well with 1 mL of media. Cells were maintained in a humidified incubator at 37 °C with 5% CO$_2$. Two days before the experiment, cells were passed and plated on Costar® 12-well plates by adding 150K–200K of A549 cells per well, filling each well up to 1 mL of media.

Chemiluminescent responses and MTT absorption were measured using a Cytation 5 BioTek plate reader (Winooski, VT). Fluorescent imaging was conducted using an EVOS-fl fluorescent microscope (Advanced Microscopy Group) equipped with a GFP filter cube.

12. MTT assay

3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay: RAW 264.7 macrophage cells ($10^6$ cell/mL) were seeded in a 96-well plate to a total volume of 100 µL/well. The plate was maintained at 37 °C with 5% CO$_2$ for 12 h. Cells were then incubated for 24 h after adding different concentrations of PNCL, 0, 0.1, 1, 10, 100 and 1000 µM respectively. 10 µL of the MTT reagent (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI) was then added to each well, and mixed gently. After 4 h incubation, 100 µL of crystal dissolving solution was added to each well to dissolve the formazan crystals. Absorbance was measured at 570 nm in a Cytation 5 BioTek plate reader.$^{11}$

Figure S7. MTT assay of RAW264.7 macrophage cells in the presence of different concentrations of PNCL. Error bars are ±S.D. (n = 3).

13. Cellular internalization of PNCL.

RAW264.7 macrophage cells (10⁶ cell/mL) were seeded in a 12-well plate to a total volume of 1 mL/well. Plate was maintained at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ for 12 h. Prior to imaging, the medium was removed and cells were washed with 2 x 1 mL PBS, and each well was filled with 992 µL of PBS. Then, 8 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO (40 µM final concentration) or 8 µL DMSO was added. The plate was imaged on an EVOS-fl fluorescent microscope using a GFP filter set after 10 min incubation.

Figure S8. Cellular internalization of PNCL. Normalized fluorescence (A) excitation and (B) emission spectrum of PNCL in 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4). Confocal fluorescence images of living RAW 264.7 cells in (C) the absence of PNCL or (D) presence of 40 µM PNCL.

14. Detection of SIN-1 generated ONOO⁻ in living cells

Detection of SIN-1 generated ONOO⁻ in macrophages

RAW 264.7 macrophage cells (10⁶ cell/mL) were seeded in a 12-well plate to a total volume of 1 mL/well. Prior to imaging, the medium was removed and cells were washed with 1 x 1 mL PBS.
Each well was filled with 996 µL of FluoroBrite DMEM Media. Then, 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO (20 µM final concentration) was added to each well, and incubated for 30 min. After incubation, the media was removed and cells were washed with 2 x 1 mL PBS. Different amount of PBS media was added into each well to make the final volume equal to 1 mL. Different volumes of 50 mM SIN-1 in DMSO (0 µL, 8 µL, 16 µL, 20 µL, and 40 µL) were added into each well. Then luminescence response was measure by the Cytation 5 BioTek plate reader by using the luminescence detection method, endpoint read type, and setting gain to 135 and temperature to 37 °C.

Detection of SIN-1 generated ONOO⁻ in A549 cells

Human lung adenocarcinoma epithelial cell (A549) were plated in a 12-well plate to a total volume of 1 mL/well. The media was removed upon 90–95% confluence. Each well was washed with 1 x 1 mL PBS, and each well was filled with 996 µL of FluoroBrite DMEM Media. Then, 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO (20 µM final concentration) was added to each well, and incubated for 30 min. After incubation, the media was removed and cells were washed with 2 x 1 mL PBS. Different amount of PBS media was added into each well to make the final volume equal to 1 mL. Different volumes of 50 mM SIN-1 in DMSO (0 µL, 8 µL, 16 µL, 20 µL, and 40 µL) were added into each well. Then luminescence response was measure by the plate reader.

Scavenger experiment in macrophages. Macrophages were washed with 1 x 1 mL PBS, and each well was filled with 996 µL of FluoroBrite DMEM Media. Then, 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL in DMSO (20 µM final concentration) was added to each well, and incubated for 30. The media was removed after incubation and cells were washed with 2 x 1 mL PBS. Different amount of PBS media was added into each well to make the final volume equal to 1 mL. 40 µL of 50 mM SIN-1 in DMSO, 1.4 µL or 2.8 µL of 2 mg/ mL Mn(III)TMPyP were added.

Figure S9. ONOO⁻ detection in A549 cells. (A) Time scans and (B) integrated intensity of chemiluminescence emission of A549 cells incubated with 20 µM PNCL for 30 minutes, washed and then treated with 0 (blue trace), 200 µM, 400 µM, 800 µM, 1 mM, and 2 mM (red trace) SIN-1. (C) The linear region of the response curve shown in (B).
**Figure S10.** Inhibition of signal from SIN-1 by ONOO\(^{-}\) scavenger MnTMPyP. (A) Time scans of RAW 264.7 macrophages incubated with 20 µM PNCL for 30 minutes, washed, and then incubated with (blue trace) vehicle control, (red trace) 2 mM SIN-1, or (black trace) 2 mM SIN-1 and 50 µM Mn(III)TMPyP. (B) Integrated intensity of chemiluminescence emission of RAW 264.7 macrophages incubated with 20 µM PNCL for 30 minutes, washed, and then incubated with vehicle control, 2 mM SIN-1, 2 mM SIN-1 and 25 µM MnTMPyP, or 2 mM SIN-1 and 50 µM MnTMPyP. Error bars are S.D. from n = 3 wells. Statistical significance was assessed using a two-tailed student's t-test. *** p < 0.001.

15. **Application of PNCL for detecting endogenous ONOO\(^{-}\).** 0.1 mg/mL LPS was prepared in DI-H\(_2\)O, and 2 mg/mL Mn(III)TMPyP solution was made in 20 mM PBS buffer (pH = 7.42) on the same day of the test. Prior to imaging, media was removed from macrophages and macrophages were washed with 1 x 1 mL PBS, and each well was filled with FluoroBrite DMEM Media. 10 µL of LPS (1000 ng/mL final concentration) were added to experimental wells, and the control was performed by treating with vehicle. After 16 h incubation, 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL (20 µM final concentration) was added to each well, and incubated for another 30 min. After incubation, the media was removed and cells were washed with 2 x 1 mL PBS, and vehicle or 25 µM Mn(III)TMPyP was added to the appropriate wells. Then the luminescence response was measured every 10 minutes over 4 hours.

16. **iNOS inhibition of the production of ONOO\(^{-}\) in macrophages.** 0.1 mg/mL LPS and 10 mg/mL 1400W (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI) were prepared in DI-H\(_2\)O on the same day of the test. Prior to imaging, media was removed from macrophages and cells were washed with 1 x 1 mL PBS, and each well was filled with FluoroBrite DMEM Media. 10 µL of LPS (1000 ng/mL final concentration) and 5 µL of 1400W (200 µM final concentration) were added to the appropriate wells. The control was performed by treating with vehicle. After 16 h incubation, 4 µL of 5 mM PNCL (20 µM final concentration) was added to each well, and incubated for another 30 min. The media was removed and cells were washed with 2 x 1 mL PBS. 5 µL of 1400W were added for the inhibition tests. Then the luminescence response was measured every 10 minutes over 4 hours.
**Figure S11.** Inhibition of iNOS mediated ONOO$^-$ production. (A) Time scans of RAW 264.7 macrophages incubated with (blue trace) vehicle control, (red trace) 1000 ng/mL LPS, or (black trace) 1000 ng/mL LPS and 200 µM 1400W for 16 hours, then incubated with 20 µM PNCL and vehicle control or 1400W for 30 minutes, washed and measured. (B) Integrated intensity of chemiluminescence emission of RAW 264.7 macrophages incubated with vehicle control, 1000 ng/mL LPS, or 1000 ng/mL LPS and 200 µM 1400W for 16 hours, then incubated with 20 µM PNCL and vehicle control or 1400W for 30 minutes, washed and measured. Error bars are S.D. from n = 3 wells. Statistical significance was assessed using a two-tailed student's t-test. *** p < 0.001, * p < 0.05.
17. Scanned $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra
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