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Materials and Methods:

1.1 Chemicals

Ethylene glycol (EG, 99%), ethanol (C₂H₅OH, 99.7%), acetone (99.5%), sodium citrate (99%), tannic acid (99%), copper dichloride (CuCl₂, 99%), silver nitrate (AgNO₃, 99.8%) and sodium borohydride (NaBH₄, 96%) were supplied by Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd (China); 1,5-pentanediol (97%), polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP, MW ≈ 29 000 and 55 000) and gold (III) chloride trihydrate (HAuCl₄·3H₂O, ≥ 49.0% Au basis) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich; sodium sulfide (Na₂S, 98%) was obtained from Tianjin Damao Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd (China). All chemical reagents were used as received except that EG was refluxed at 196 °C for 5 h to remove trace water. All solutions were prepared with Milli-Q water (18.2 MΩ·cm).

1.2 Synthesis

1.2.1 Silver nanocubes with average side length of ~100 nm

Stock solution 1: CuCl₂ (7 μL, 0.047 mol/L) was added into 2 mL of 1,5-pentanediol solution containing AgNO₃ (0.165 mol/L).

Stock solution 2: PVP (MW = 55000, 40 mg) was dissolved into 2 mL of 1,5-pentanediol.

1,5-pentanediol (5 mL) was heated to 190 °C at reflux for 2 min, to which was added alternately two precursor solutions at different rates: 125 μL of stock solution 1 every minute and 80 μL of stock solution 2 every 30 s. After repeating this addition for eight times, the reaction was continued for 2 min and quenched by transferring the solution into an ice-cold water bath. The product was collected by centrifugation and successively washed with acetone (1 × 5 mL) and water (1 × 5 mL). The resulting Ag nanocubes with an average side length of ~100 nm (Fig. S1) were redispersed into 100 mL of ethanol for further use.

1.2.2 Silver nanocubes with average side length of ~45 nm

EG (6 mL) was added into a 25-mL three-necked flask under vigorous stirring and heated to 150 °C at argon atmosphere with a flow at 120 mL/min, to which, after 1 h, was added Na₂S (70
µL, 3 mmol·L⁻¹) and PVP (MW ≈ 29 000, 1.5 mL, 20 mg/mL) EG solution. After 8-9 min, 0.5 mL of EG containing AgNO₃ (48 mg/mL) was added. After ~20 min, the solution turned from light yellow to green-ochre, and then the reaction was quenched by transferring the solution into an ice-cold water bath. The product was collected by centrifugation and successively washed with acetone (1 × 10 mL) and water (1 × 10 mL). The resulting Ag nanocubes with an average side length of ~45 nm (Fig. S10a) were redispersed into 100 mL of ethanol for later use.

1.2.3 Silver nanowires

EG (10 mL) was refluxed in a three-necked round-bottom flask at 160 °C for 1 h, to which were added two EG solutions at the same rate of 0.2 mL·min⁻¹ under vigorous stirring: AgNO₃ (5 mL, 0.2 mol·L⁻¹) and PVP (MW ≈ 29 000) (5 mL, 0.3 mol·L⁻¹). After ~45 min, the solution color turned to opaque gray, indicative of the formation of silver nanowires. The resulting product was collected by centrifugation, successively washed with acetone (1 × 10 mL) and water (1 × 10 mL), and redispersed in 250 mL ethanol for further use. SEM image (Fig. S10b) shows that Ag nanowires have a high aspect ratio with an average diameter of ~100 nm.

1.2.4 Silver nanospheres

Aqueous solution (100 mL) containing sodium citrate (5 mmol·L⁻¹) and tannic acid (5 mmol·L⁻¹) was heated to 100 °C at reflux under vigorous stirring, to which AgNO₃ aqueous solution (1 mL, 25 mmol·L⁻¹) was added. After 10 min, the solution was cooled to room temperature. The product was collected by centrifugation, washed with water (2 × 50 mL), and redispersed in 50 mL ethanol for further use. TEM image (Fig. S10c) shows that Ag nanoparticles have an average diameter of ~46 nm.

1.3 Assembly of Ag nanoparticles at toluene/water interface

Assembly of Ag nanoparticle monolayer at toluene/water interface was performed according to our previous reports with minor modification.

Ag nanoparticles colloid (800 µL) and toluene (2 mL) were mixed in a 10-mL beaker under sonication, into which was rapidly poured H₂O (7 mL). An toluene/water interface was formed quickly between toluene and water. Initially, the top toluene phase was extremely turbid due to the emulsification of water in toluene whereas the bottom water phase was colorless and transparent. After keeping the mixture silence for several hours, the toluene phase became colorless and clear due the phase separation of water and toluene. Meantime, a pale yellow membrane was observed between water and toluene, i.e. at the interface, indicating that Ag nanocubes have been captured by the toluene/water interface. After evaporation of toluene, Ag nanoparticle monolayer was subjected to oriented etching.

1.4 Fabrication of nanocontainers by oriented etching

To etch Ag nanocubes, nanospheres, nanowires and into Au/Ag nanocups and nanotroughs, HAuCl₄ (10 µL, 25.4 mmol·L⁻¹) aqueous solution, except for the etching of Ag/Au nanotroughs with 20 µL of HAuCl₄, was injected into the water phase with a syringe, stirred gently for 30 seconds (Tips: do not disturb the interfacial monolayer) and kept still to complete the etching of Ag nanoparticles.
To etch ~45-nm Ag nanocubes into Pt/Ag and Pd/Ag nanocups, H$_2$PtCl$_6$ (20 μL, 20 mmol·L$^{-1}$) or H$_2$PdCl$_4$ (37 μL, 20 mmol·L$^{-1}$) aqueous solution was injected into the water phase with a syringe, stirred gently for 30 seconds and kept still to complete the etching of Ag nanocubes.

The etching depth as well as the compositions of the resulting nanocontainers can be tuned easily by controlling etching time.

1.5 Transfer of the resulting nanocontainer monolayer

Transfer of the resulting nanocontainer monolayer is similar to our previously reported technological process.$^{6,7}$ Briefly, clean substrates were used to touch and load nanocontainer monolayers from the water phase (Scheme 1d). Collected nanocontainer monolayers were characterized directly by SEM, and redispersed into ethanol or water by sonification for TEM imaging.

1.6 Characterization and instrumentation

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were obtained on a JEM-3010 microscope (JEOL, Japan) and a S-4800 microscope (JEOL, Japan), respectively. Elemental mapping analysis was performed in the STEM mode on a FEI Tecnai G2 F20 Field Emission TEM microscope. Visual angle-varied SEM images were obtained on FEI Helios NanoLab G3 UC dual-beam microscope. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was conducted on K-Alpha 1063 X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK) with Al Kα X-ray source. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurement was performed on a θ-2θ X-ray diffraction Siemens D5000 apparatus. UV-Vis spectra were measured on a UV-1800 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Corporation, Japan).
Fig. S1 SEM image of ~100-nm Ag nanocube monolayer located at water/air interface. Inset: magnified TEM and SEM images.
Fig. S2 Illustration of nanoparticle monolayer transfer to substrate (e.g. silicon wafer) by “touching and loading” method from the air phase (a) and the corresponding SEM image (b).
Fig. S3 STEM-EDX linear scan element-distribution profile of Ag/Au nanocup. Inset: the corresponding STEM image.
Fig. S4 SEM image of products obtained by etching Ag nanocubes in solution with HAuCl₄ for 60 min.
The resulting Ag/Au nanocups have a typical face-centered cubic (fcc) crystalline structure (Fig. S5a); the characteristic diffraction peaks of (111), (200), (220) and (311) facets similar to pure Ag nanocubes were observed, consistent with standard values of Ag (JCPDS PDF 65-8428). Separate contribution of Ag and Au cannot be distinguished due to the similarity of their diffraction peak positions. The relative intensity ratio of (200) to (111) peaks is much larger than 1, implying that Au/Ag nanocups are still enclosed with abundant (100) facets, similar to pure Ag nanocubes. Additionally, A new peak at ~67.19 ° (marked with *) was attributed to the diffraction of (400) facets of side products, i.e. AgCl (JCPDS PDF 31-1238). XPS spectra of Ag/Au nanocups (Fig. S5b and c) show well-defined binding energy bands of Ag 3d₃/₂ and 3d₅/₂
(\approx 374.05 \text{ and } \approx 368.05 \text{ eV, respectively}), \text{ and } \text{Au } 4f_{5/2} \text{ and } 4f_{7/2} (\approx 88.14 \text{ and } 84.44 \text{ eV, respectively}) \text{ whereas Au species cannot be detected in pure Ag nanocubes (Fig. S5c). Moreover, each binding energy band of Ag and Au is symmetrical, and the differences between the } 4f_{7/2} \text{ and } 4f_{5/2} \text{ peaks for gold (3.65 eV) as well as between the } 3d_{5/2} \text{ and } 3d_{3/2} \text{ peaks for silver (6.0 eV) are also exactly the same as the standard values of } \text{Ag}^0 \text{ and } \text{Au}^0, \text{ implying that } \text{Ag}^0 \text{ and } \text{Au}^0 \text{ dominate the final nanocups. The characteristic binding energy of Cl}^- \text{ ions was also detected in Ag/Au nanocups (Fig. S5d) (Cl } 2p_{1/2}, \approx 199.5 \text{ eV; Cl } 2p_{3/2}, \approx 199.5 \text{ eV)}, \text{ the abundance of which is almost identical to that of Ag nanocubes, suggesting that AgCl adhering to Ag/Au nanocups is negligible.}
Fig. S6 UV-vis spectra of Ag nanocubes and the products obtained by different etching time with $\text{AuCl}_4^-$. 
Fig. S7 SEM (a, c and e) and TEM (b, d and f) images of Ag/Au nanocups obtained by etching for different time: 5 min (a and b), 30 min (c and d), and 90 min (e and f).
Fig. S8 Distribution of cavity sizes (a-d) and wall thicknesses (e-g) of Ag nanocubes etched by different times: (a) 5 min, (b and e) 30 min, (c and f) 60 min, and (d and g) 90 min. (h) the correlation between cavity sizes/wall thicknesses and etching time. The measurement of cavity size was performed in the direction as marked with the red line in (i).
**Fig. S9** SEM (a) and STEM-EDX element mapping (b) image of Ag nanocubes located at water/toluene interface after addition of AuCl$_4^-$ into the water for 4 h.
Fig. S10 SEM images of ~45-nm Ag nanocubes (a), nanowires (b), and nanospheres (c).
Fig. S11 TEM image of ~45-nm Ag/Au nanocups with incomplete bottom layers obtained after etching for 30 min.
Fig. S12 EDX linear scan element-distribution profile of Ag/Au nanocups obtained by etching ~45-nm Ag nanocubes for 30 min. Inset: the corresponding STEM image.
Fig. S13 SEM (a) and TEM (b) images of ~45-nm Ag/Au nanocups obtained after etching for 10 min. Nanoparticles marked by arrows in (a) further demonstrate that etching occurs exclusively on the top face, and side faces are free of cavities. TEM image (b) shows that the bottom is closed. The resulting Ag/Au nanostructures are still nanocups.
**Fig. S14** EDX linear scan element-distribution profile of Ag/Au nanocups obtained by etching Ag nanowires for 5 h. Inset: the corresponding STEM image.
Fig. S15 SEM image of Ag/Au nanowires with nanopits obtained by etching for 3 h.
Fig. S16 TEM (a and d), element mapping (b and e), and EDX linear scan element-distribution profiles (c and f) of Ag/Au spherical nanocups after etching different time: 5 min (a-c) and 30 min (d-e).
Fig. S17 SEM (a and f), TEM (b and c; g and h), element mapping (d and i), and EDX linear scan element-distribution profiles (e and j) of Ag/Pt (a-e) and Ag/Pd (f-j) nanocups after etching for 45 min by PtCl$_6^{2-}$ and PdCl$_4^{2-}$, respectively. Arrows marked in (a) and (f) shows that the resulting Ag/Pt and Ag/Pd nanostructures are still nanocups with walls free of cavities. The bottom thickness can be controlled by etching time. Compared with Ag/Au nanocups, Ag/Pt (d) and Ag/Pd (i) nanocups tends to alloy (d and i), especially for Ag/Pd nanocups.
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