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Experimental section

Materials: Tannic acid (TA), silver nitrate (AgNO₃) and K₂CO₃ were purchased from Aladdin Ltd. (Shanghai, China). All reagents were used as received without further purification. The water used throughout all experiments was purified through a Millipore system.

Synthesis of Ag nanosheet: Firstly, 60 mL of 3 mM TA aqueous solution was prepared and taken into the beaker. This solution was adjusted at pH=7 by adding 0.5 M K₂CO₃ aqueous solution. Secondly, 60 mL of 3 mM AgNO₃ was added into the above solution with vigorous magnetic stirring for 5 h at room temperature and kept absent of light by using aluminum foil. Thirdly, the reaction mixture was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 30 min.¹ The obtained precipitate was carefully washed with distilled water and ethanol for a dozen times, aiming to completely remove the NO₃⁻. Then, the precipitate was dried at 60 °C for 12 h. Finally, the dried precipitate was well grinded to obtain the fine powder.

Characterization: XRD data were collected on X-ray diffractometer (Philip Company, Pw1730) equipped with a Cu Kα radiation (λ=1.5418 Å). TEM measurements were carried out on a Zeiss Libra 200FE transmission electron microscope operated at 200 kV. UV-Vis spectra were measured applying a SHIMADZU UV-2700 ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectrophotometer.

Electrochemical measurement: NRR tests were performed in a two-compartment cell separated by Nafion 211 membrane under ambient condition. The membrane was protonated by first boiling in ultrapure water for 1 h and treating in H₂O₂ (5 wt%) aqueous solution at 80 °C for another 1 h. Then, the membrane was treadered in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ for 3 h at 80 °C and finally in water for 6 h. The electrochemical experiments were tested with an electrochemical workstation (CHI 660E) using a three-electrode configuration with prepared electrode, graphite rod and Ag/AgCl electrode (saturated KCl electrolyte) as working electrode, counter electrode and reference electrode, respectively. The potentials reported in this work were converted to reversible
hydrogen electrode (RHE) scale via calibration with the following equation: $E_{\text{RHE}} = E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} + 0.256$ V and the presented current density was normalized to the geometric surface area. For electrochemical NRR tests, the 0.1 M HCl electrolyte was bubbled with N$_2$ for 30 min before the measurement.

**Determination of NH$_3$:** The concentration of produced NH$_3$ was spectrophotometrically determined by the indophenol blue method.$^2$ In detail, 2 mL of post-tested electrolyte was taken from the cathodic compartment and transferred into a 10 mL plastic tube. Then, 2 mL of 1 M NaOH solution (containing 5% salicylic acid and 5% sodium citrate), 1 mL of 0.05 M NaClO and 0.2 mL of 1% C$_5$FeN$_6$Na$_2$O·2H$_2$O were added into the above solution. After standing at room temperature and keeping out of the light for 2 h, the UV-Vis absorption was measured at a wavelength of 655 nm. The concentration-absorbance curves were calibrated using standard NH$_4$Cl solution with a serious of concentrations. The fitting curve ($y = 0.3563x + 0.0427$, $R^2 = 0.999$) shows good linear relation of absorbance value with NH$_4^+$ concentration by three times independent calibrations. The NH$_3$ concentration was calculated from the calibration curve and the NH$_3$ yield rate was calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{NH}_3 \text{ yield rate} = \frac{(c_{\text{NH}_3} \times V)}{(17 \times t \times A)}$$

Where $c_{\text{NH}_3}$ is the measured NH$_3$ concentration, $V$ is the volume of electrolyte, $t$ is the reduction reaction time, and $A$ is the geometric area of the cathode ($1 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2$).

**Determination of FE:** Assuming three electrons were needed to produce one NH$_3$ molecule, the FE in 0.1 M HCl could be calculated as follows:

$$\text{FE} = 3F \times c_{\text{NH}_3} \times V / 17 \times Q$$

Where $F$ is the Faraday constant, $Q$ is the quantity of applied electricity.

**Determination of N$_2$H$_4$:** N$_2$H$_4$ presented in the electrolyte was estimated by the method of Watt and Chrisp.$^3$ A mixed solution of 5.99 g C$_9$H$_{11}$NO, 30 mL HCl and 300 mL ethanol was used as a color reagent. The calibration curve was plotted as follow: a series of reference solutions with increasing concentration of N$_2$H$_4$·H$_2$O solution were prepared, their calibration concentrations are: 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4 and
0.5 μg mL⁻¹, and then 5 mL color reagent was added into each solution under stirring for 15 min at room temperature, finally the absorbance was measured at 455 nm. The obtained calibration curve is \( y = 1.0334x + 0.0348 \), \( R^2 = 0.999 \). The yields of \( \text{N}_2\text{H}_4 \) after NRR electrolysis were estimated by using 5 mL residual electrolyte mixed with 5 mL color reagent (also under stirring for 15 min at 25 °C).
Fig. S1. (a) UV-Vis absorption spectra of indophenol assays with NH$_4^+$ ions after incubation for 2 h at room temperature. (b) Calibration curve used for estimation of NH$_3$ concentration.
Fig. S2. (a) UV-Vis absorption spectra of various \( \text{N}_2\text{H}_4 \) concentrations after incubation for 15 min at room temperature. (b) Calibration curve used for calculation of \( \text{N}_2\text{H}_4 \) concentrations.
Fig. S3. \( \text{NH}_3 \) yield rates and FEs of Ag/CPE under different flow rates operated at -0.60 V.
Fig. S4. UV-Vis absorption spectra of electrolytes at each given potential stained with p-C$_3$H$_{13}$NO indicator after 2h NRR electrolysis.
Fig. S5. The amount of NH$_3$ produced vs. reaction time at -0.60 V
Fig. S6. (a) Time dependent current density curves at various potentials Ag/CPE in 0.05 M HCl. (b) UV-Vis absorption spectra of the electrolytes colored with indophenol indicator after 2-h NRR electrolysis at different potentials. (c) NH$_3$ yield rates and (d) FEs at corresponding given potentials.
Fig. S7. (a) Time dependent current density curves at various potentials Ag/CPE in 0.2 M HCl. (b) UV-Vis absorption spectra of the electrolytes colored with indophenol indicator after 2 h NRR electrolysis at different potentials. (c) NH\textsubscript{3} yield rates and (d) FE\textsubscript{s} at corresponding given potentials.
Fig. S8. (a) Time dependent current density curves at various potentials Ag/CPE in 0.5 M LiClO$_4$. (b) UV-Vis absorption spectra of the electrolytes colored with indophenol indicator after 2 h NRR electrolysis at different potentials. (c) NH$_3$ yield rates and (d) FEs at corresponding given potentials.
Fig. S9. (a) Time dependent current density curves at various potentials Ag/CPE in 0.1 M KOH. (b) UV-Vis absorption spectra of the electrolytes colored with indophenol indicator after 2 h NRR electrolysis at different potentials. (c) NH₃ yield rates and (d) FEs at corresponding given potentials.
**Table S1.** Comparison of the NRR performances for Ag/CPE with other reported NRR electrocatalysts at ambient conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>NH$_3$ yield rate</th>
<th>FE (%)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ag/CPE</td>
<td>0.1 M HCl</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>4.62 × 10$^{-11}$ mol s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo nanofilm</td>
<td>0.01 M H$_2$SO$_4$</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>3.09 × 10$^{-11}$ mol s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEBCD/C</td>
<td>0.5 M Li$_2$SO$_4$</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>2.58 × 10$^{-11}$ mol s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$-CNT</td>
<td>KHCO$_3$</td>
<td>20 °C</td>
<td>0.36 × 10$^{-11}$ mol s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS$_2$/CC</td>
<td>0.1 M Na$_2$SO$_4$</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>8.08 × 10$^{-11}$ mol s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoO$_3$</td>
<td>0.1 M HCl</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>29.43 µg h$^{-1}$ mg$^{-1}$cat.</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$/Ti</td>
<td>0.1 M Na$_2$SO$_4$</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>5.6 × 10$^{-11}$ mol s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo$_2$N</td>
<td>0.1 M HCl</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>78.4 µg h$^{-1}$ mg$^{-1}$cat.</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoN</td>
<td>0.1 M HCl</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>3.01 × 10$^{-10}$ mol s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VN</td>
<td>0.1 M HCl</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>8.40 × 10$^{-11}$ mol s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C</td>
<td>2 M KOH</td>
<td>20 °C</td>
<td>0.34 × 10$^{-11}$ mol s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a-Au/CeO$_x$-RGO</td>
<td>0.1 M HCl</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>8.3 µg h$^{-1}$ mg$^{-1}$cat.</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.1 M Na$_2$SO$_4$</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>9.16 × 10$^{-11}$ mol s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$-rGO</td>
<td>0.1 M Na$_2$SO$_4$</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>15.13 µg h$^{-1}$ mg$^{-1}$cat.</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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