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1. Cross-section microstructure of wurtzite CoO thin films

The cross-section SEM image of wurtzite CoO thin film is presented in Fig. S1, which shows the dense columns along the film thickness direction. Here it is worth noting that the tilt columns in Fig. S1 are due to the upwarping SEM specimen, rather than the existence of tilt angle between columns and substrate normal.
2. Optical properties of wurtzite CoO thin films
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Fig. S2  (a) $T$ and $R$ of wurtzite CoO thin film. (b) Tauc fitting of $(\alpha E)^2$ vs. $E$ for wurtzite CoO thin film.

The optical transmittance ($T$) and reflectance ($R$) of wurtzite CoO thin film is shown in Fig. S2. Using the formula \( \alpha = -ln\left(\frac{T}{(1 - R)^2}\right)/d \) (where $d$ is the thickness), the absorption coefficient ($\alpha$) is calculated. Then the Tauc fitting of $(\alpha E)^2$ vs. $E$ curve of the wurtzite CoO thin film is performed, as shown in Fig. S2(b), giving rise to a direct band gap of 1.64 eV.
3. PDOS in wurtzite CoO

The comparison of Co-$s$, Co-$p$ and Co-$d$ partial density of states (PDOS) of wurtzite CoO is shown in Fig. S3(a). The energy zero is set at valence band maximum (VBM). It is seen that Co-$d$ PDOS is much stronger than Co-$s$ and Co-$p$ PDOS in the whole energy range. The comparison of O-$s$ and O-$p$ PDOS is present in Fig. S3(b), which indicates that O-$p$ orbitals make predominant contributions in the energy range of -15 eV to 10 eV.
4. Phase structure identifications of rocksalt CoO and spinel Co$_3$O$_4$ thin films
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Fig. S4 X-ray diffractograms of rocksalt-type cubic CoO and spinel cubic Co$_3$O$_4$ thin films.

Fig. S4(a) and (b) show the X-ray diffractograms of thin films crystallize in rocksalt-type cubic CoO (JCPDS 04-001-8626) and spinel cubic Co$_3$O$_4$ (JCPDS 04-008-2376), respectively.
5. Microstructure of rocksalt CoO, wurtzite CoO and spinel Co$_3$O$_4$ thin films

Fig. S5 (a), (b) and (c) are the top-view SEM images of rocksalt CoO, wurtzite CoO, and spinel Co$_3$O$_4$ thin films, respectively. (d), (e) and (f) are their corresponding cross-section images.

The top-view and cross-section SEM images of rocksalt CoO, wurtzite CoO, and spinel Co$_3$O$_4$ thin films are presented in Fig. S5 for a comparison. The top-view SEM images demonstrate the smooth surface and dense microstructure in these three phases. In addition, it is seen that all the thin films possess the columnar growth along the thickness direction.
6. Optical absorption of rocksalt CoO, wurtzite CoO and spinel Co₃O₄ thin films

Fig. S6 Optical absorption spectra of rocksalt CoO (a), wurtzite CoO (b), and spinel Co₃O₄ (c) thin films.

Absorption spectra of rocksalt CoO, wurtzite CoO, and spinel Co₃O₄ thin films are presented in Fig. S6 for a side-by-side comparison. It is seen that the absorption spectrum of rocksalt CoO thin film does not show clear sub-gap absorption between 0.7 and 1.1 eV (see Fig. S6(a)). However, a significant sub-gap absorption is clearly observed in spinel Co₃O₄ thin film at the energy range of approximately 0.7 – 1.1 eV (see Fig. S6(c)).