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Experimental Section

1. Apparatus

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz) and $^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz) spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE-400 (400 MHz) (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany) NMR spectrometer and TMS as an internal standard. Electrospray ionization mass spectroscopy (ESI-MS) was performed on a Shimadzu LC/MS-2020 (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). HRMS were recorded on a high-resolution ESI-FTICR mass spectrometer (Varian 7.0 T, Varian, USA). UV-vis spectra were measured by a UH-5300 spectrophotometer (Hitachi). Fluorescence measurements were recorded on a F-7000 spectrophotometer (Hitachi). Fluorescence images of cells were taken by a laser scanning confocal microscopy (Leica TCS SP8).

2. Materials

Unless otherwise stated, all reagents used in this study were commercial products without further purification. Twice-distilled water was used throughout all experiments. TLC analysis was performed on silica gel plates and column chromatography was conducted over silica gel (mesh 200 - 300), both of which were obtained from the Qingdao Ocean Chemicals. Mito-Tracker Green was obtained from Solarbio.

3. Detection limit

The limit of detection defined by $3\sigma/k$, where $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the blank sample and $k$ is the slope of the linear regression equation.

4. Theoretical study on the fluorescence mechanism

The structure of NCR was constructed using Gaussian$^1$, which was initially optimized using the capabilities within these aforementioned programs. Calculations were then conducted using density functional theory (DFT) with the B3LYP$^2$ exchange functional employing 6-31G(d)$^3$ level implemented via the Gaussian 09 suite of programs for the full geometry optimization and frequency calculations of the probe. Imaginary frequencies were not obtained in any of the frequency calculations.

5. Cells culture

HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM (Dulbecco’s modified Eagle's medium) and supplemented with 10% FBS (fetal bovine serum), penicillin (100 μg/mL) and streptomycin (100 μg/mL) in an atmosphere of 5% CO$_2$ and 95% air at 37 °C.

6. MTT assay
Cell cytotoxicity was evaluated by MTT assay. Cells were cultivated in a 96-well plate until 50-70% confluence, and then incubated with different concentrations of NCR (0-20 μM) for 24 h. 20 μL 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT, 5 mg/ mL) was added for 4 h at 37 °C. After removing the MTT, 100 μL DMSO was added. The absorbance intensities at 570 nm were measured using a plate reader. All experiments were repeated five times, and the data were presented as the percentage of control cells.

7. Discriminative fluorescence imaging of biothiols in live cells

The cell experiment was divided into six groups. In the first group, HeLa cells were incubated with NCR (5 μM) for 30 min and imaged after washing by phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for three times. For the second group, HeLa cells were pretreated with NEM (0.5 mM) for 30 min, subsequently incubated with NCR (5 μM) for 30 min, and then washed by PBS buffer for three times before imaging. In the third to sixth group, HeLa cells were pretreated with NEM (0.5 mM) for 30 min, subsequently incubated with NaHS/Cys/Hcy/GSH (500 μM, 30 min) and probe NCR (5 μM, 30 min), and then washed with PBS buffer for three times. Cells were imaged by a laser scanning confocal microscopy (Leica TCS SP8; λ<sub>ex</sub> = 405 nm, λ<sub>em</sub> = 440-490 nm for the blue channel; λ<sub>ex</sub> = 561 nm, λ<sub>em</sub> = 575-620 nm for the red channel).

8. Sequential fluorescence imaging of biothiols in live cells

The cell experiment was divided into four groups. In the first group, HeLa cells were pretreated with NEM (0.5 mM) for 30 min, subsequently incubated with NCR (5 μM) for 30 min, and then imaged after washing three times by PBS buffer, as the control group. In the second to fourth group, HeLa cells were pretreated with NEM (0.5 mM) for 30 min, subsequently incubated with Cys/Hcy/GSH (500 μM) for 30 min, washed and continued to be incubated with NCR (5 μM) for 30 min, and then added 500 μM NaHS to the culture dish, after 30 min, imaged after washing three times by PBS buffer. Cells were imaged by a laser scanning confocal microscopy (Leica TCS SP8; λ<sub>ex</sub> = 405 nm, λ<sub>em</sub> = 440-490 nm for the blue channel; λ<sub>ex</sub> = 561 nm, λ<sub>em</sub> = 575-620 nm for the red channel).
Table S1. Summary of the optical properties of representative fluorescent probes for distinguishing biothiols with multiple sets of fluorescence signals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multisite probe</th>
<th>Distinguishing targets for detection</th>
<th>Number of emission bands</th>
<th>Emission wavelength/ nm</th>
<th>Emission distance/ nm</th>
<th>Solubility</th>
<th>Targeting mitochondria</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>Time (h)</td>
<td>Emission (nm)</td>
<td>DMSO/PBS (1:99 v/v)</td>
<td>Inclusion</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂S, Cys, Hey, GSH</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>467/588</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Synthesis of 2. Compound 1 was prepared via previous method\textsuperscript{24}. Triphenylphosphine (632 mg, 2.4 mmol) and carbon tetrabromide (800 mg, 2.4 mmol) were dissolved absolutely in dichloromethane. Compound 1 (400 mg, 1.6 mmol) was slowly added. TLC was applied to monitor the reaction. After complete reaction, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulted residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography with PE/EA (v/v 100:1) to give a yellow oil as compound 2 (200 mg, 40%).

Synthesis of 3. Resorufin (136.6 mg, 0.64 mmol) and potassium carbonate (132.7 mg, 0.96 mmol) were dissolved absolutely in dry DMF. Under the protection of nitrogen, compound 2 (200 mg, 0.64 mmol) was slowly added. The mixture was stirred for overnight at 55 °C. After complete reaction, 50 mL water was added into the flask and the reaction mixture was extracted with EA (100 mL × 3). The organic phase was

Scheme S1. The synthetic route of probe NCR.
collected and dried using MgSO\textsubscript{4}. Then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure affording the crude product, which was purified by flash chromatography column using CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}/MeOH (v/v = 250:1) to afford red solid as compound 3 (210 mg, 73%).

\textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \) 8.47 (s, 1H), 7.70 (d, \( J = 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H} \)), 7.66 – 7.54 (m, 4H), 7.39 (t, \( J = 9.6 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H} \)), 7.11 (s, 1H), 6.98 (d, \( J = 8.9 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H} \)), 6.83 (d, \( J = 10.6 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H} \)), 6.31 (s, 1H), 5.13 (s, 2H). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \) 186.3, 162.3, 159.3, 149.8, 149.7, 145.8, 145.6, 137.3, 136.8, 134.7, 134.6, 134.3, 131.6, 128.6, 128.3, 127.6, 121.1, 119.7, 114.2, 106.8, 101.1, 70.3. HRMS: m/z [M+Na\textsuperscript{+}] calculated for C\textsubscript{24}H\textsubscript{16}N\textsubscript{2}NaO\textsubscript{3}S\textsubscript{2}: 467.0495; measured 467.0497.

**Synthesis of 4.** Compound 3 (210 mg, 0.47 mmol) was dissolved absolutely in dichloromethane. 3-Mercaptopropionic acid (60.4 mg, 0.57 mmol) was slowly added. The reaction was monitored by TLC. After complete reaction, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulted residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography with CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}/MeOH (v/v 100:1) to afford dark red solid as compound 4 (102 mg, 49%).

\textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\textsubscript{d}\textsubscript{6}) \( \delta \) 12.35 (s, 1H), 7.77 (t, \( J = 9.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H} \)), 7.54 (dt, \( J = 19.2, 9.9 \text{ Hz}, 5\text{H} \)), 7.17 (dd, \( J = 33.5, 9.6 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H} \)), 6.79 (d, \( J = 9.9 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H} \)), 6.27 (s, 1H), 5.28 (s, 2H), 2.95 (t, \( J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H} \)), 2.62 (t, \( J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H} \)). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-\textsubscript{d}\textsubscript{6}) \( \delta \) 185.8, 173.0, 162.7, 150.2, 145.8, 145.7, 136.9, 135.6, 135.4, 134.2, 131.8, 129.4, 128.5, 127.8, 114.8, 106.2, 101.7, 70.2, 34.0, 33.9. HRMS: m/z [M-H\textsuperscript{-}] calculated for C\textsubscript{22}H\textsubscript{16}NO\textsubscript{5}S\textsubscript{2}: 438.0475; measured 438.0473.

**Synthesis of 6.** Resorcinol (10 g, 90.8 mmol), Ethyl 4-Chloroacetoacetate (14.9 g, 90.8 mmol) was dissolved absolutely in ethanol. Zinc chloride (18.5 g, 136 mmol) was added. The mixture was heated and reflux for 12 hours. After complete reaction, cooled to room temperature. The cooled reaction mixture was poured into 100 mL of 0.1 N aqueous hydrochloride acid. Then, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulted residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography with CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}/MeOH (v/v 100:1) to afford white solid as compound 6 (11 g, 86%).

\textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\textsubscript{d}\textsubscript{6}) \( \delta \) 7.65 (d, \( J = 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H} \)), 6.83 (d, \( J = 6.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H} \)), 6.75 (s, 1H), 6.40 (s, 1H), 4.92 (s, 2H). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-\textsubscript{d}\textsubscript{6}) \( \delta \) 161.9, 160.6, 155.7, 151.3, 126.9, 113.5, 111.5, 109.8, 102.9, 41.8. HRMS: m/z [M+Na\textsuperscript{+}] calculated for C\textsubscript{10}H\textsubscript{7}ClNaO\textsubscript{3}: 232.9976; measured 232.9978.
Synthesis of NC. Compound 5 was prepared via previous method\textsuperscript{25}. Compound 5 (237 mg, 0.95 mmol), Triethylamine (144.7 mg, 1.43 mmol) was dissolved absolutely acetonitrile, compound 6 (281 mg, 0.95 mmol) was added. The mixture was heated and reflux for 24 hours. After complete reaction, cooled to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulted residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography with CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}/MeOH (v/v 200:1) to afford red solid as compound NC (360 mg, 75%).

\textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}\textsubscript{6}) \(\delta\) 10.55 (s, 1H), 8.49 (d, \(J = 9.1\) Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d, \(J = 8.7\) Hz, 1H), 6.97 – 6.47 (m, 3H), 6.32 (s, 1H), 4.17 (s, 4H), 3.74 (s, 2H), 2.76 (s, 4H), 13\textsuperscript{C} NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}\textsubscript{6}) \(\delta\) 161.6, 160.9, 155.7, 152.7, 145.8, 145.3, 145.3, 136.8, 127.3, 121.7, 113.3, 111.3, 110.7, 104.1, 102.7, 57.6, 52.9, 49.8. HRMS: m/z [M+Na]\textsuperscript{+} calculated for C\textsubscript{20}H\textsubscript{17}N\textsubscript{5}NaO\textsubscript{6}: 446.1071; measured 446.1075.

Synthesis of NCR. Compound 4 (50 mg, 0.11 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous dichloromethane (5 mL) in a round-bottom flask. NC (48.2 mg, 0.11 mmol) was added, followed by the addition of 4-(dimethylamino)-pyridinium-4-toluene sulfonate(DPTS) (33.5 mg, 0.11 mmol) and N, N-Diisopropylcarbodiimide (14.4 mg, 0.11 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred room temperature. The reaction was monitored by TLC, after complete reaction, diluted with dichloromethane, and washed with brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, then the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulted residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography with CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}/MeOH (v/v 150:1) to afford red solid as compound NCR (60 mg, 62.5%).

\textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\) 8.31 (d, \(J = 8.9\) Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 1H), 7.58 (dd, \(J = 34.3, 8.4\) Hz, 3H), 7.42 – 7.26 (m, 3H), 7.07 – 6.88 (m, 3H), 6.82 – 6.68 (m, 2H), 6.47 (s, 1H), 6.33 – 6.14 (m, 2H), 5.10 (s, 2H), 4.07 (t, \(J = 4.9\) Hz, 4H), 3.67 (s, 2H), 3.06 – 2.91 (m, 4H), 2.76 (t, \(J = 5.0\) Hz, 4H).

\textsuperscript{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\) 186.2, 169.5, 162.4, 160.2, 154.5, 152.9, 150.4, 149.7, 145.7, 145.5, 145.0, 144.8, 144.7, 137.3, 135.0, 134.7, 134.6, 134.2, 131.6, 128.5, 128.3, 127.9, 125.6, 118.0, 116.5, 114.8, 114.2, 110.5, 106.7, 102.9, 101.1, 70.3, 58.6, 52.9, 49.3, 33.9, 32.9.

HRMS: m/z [M+Na]\textsuperscript{+} calculated for C\textsubscript{42}H\textsubscript{32}N\textsubscript{6}NaO\textsubscript{10}S\textsubscript{2}: 867.1514; measured 867.1518.
References


9. Proposed responding mechanism

**Scheme S2.** Proposed responding mechanism of probe NCR for simultaneously distinguishing H$_2$S and biothiols.
Scheme S3. Proposed reaction mechanism of NCR pre-treated with Cys, Hcy and GSH upon continued addition of H₂S.

10. Supplementary Spectra

Fig. S1. The fluorescence intensity of NCR (10 μM) at (A) 467 nm, (B) 588 nm, upon addition of H₂S (0–100 equiv.), Cys (0–100 equiv.), Hcy (0–100 equiv.) and GSH (0–100 equiv.) and (C) 467 nm pretreated with Cys (100 equiv.), Hcy (100 equiv.), and GSH (100 equiv.) upon continued addition of H₂S (0-100 equiv.) in DMSO/PBS buffer (25 mM, pH 7.4, 1:99, v/v). λ<sub>ex</sub> = 380 nm, λ<sub>em</sub> = 467 nm for the first and third column. λ<sub>ex</sub> = 560 nm, λ<sub>em</sub> = 588 nm for the second column. Data are expressed as mean ± SD of five parallel experiments.
Fig. S2. The fluorescence spectra of NCR (10 μM) pre-treated with Cys (100 equiv.), Hcy (100 equiv.), and GSH (100 equiv.) upon continued addition of H₂S (0-100 equiv.) in DMSO/PBS buffer (25 mM, pH 7.4, 1:99, v/v). λ_{ex} = 380 nm, λ_{em} = 467 nm. Upon continuing addition of increasing amount of H₂S to the mixture of NCR (10 μM) and Cys (100 equiv.), a significant emission centered at 467 nm (50-fold) was generated. A similar phenomenon also occurred in the mixture of NCR and Hcy (60-fold). However, the mixture of NCR and GSH has no obvious emission band at 467 nm (4-fold).

Fig. S3. Linear correlation between the fluorescence emission intensity of NCR (10 μM) and (a) H₂S (0 - 40 equiv.), (b) Cys (0 – 40 equiv.), (c) Hcy (0 – 50 equiv.) and (d) GSH (0 - 60 equiv.) in DMSO/PBS buffer (25 mM, pH 7.4, 1:99, v/v).
Fig. S4. Time-dependent fluorescence spectra of NCR (10 μM) in the presence of Cys (100 equiv.), Hcy (100 equiv.), GSH (100 equiv.), H₂S (100 equiv.) within 30 min in DMSO/PBS buffer (25 mM, pH 7.4, 1:99, v/v). (a) Fluorescence intensity at 467 nm, excitation at 380 nm; (b) Fluorescence intensity at 588 nm, excitation at 560 nm; (c) The fluorescence spectra of NCR (10 μM) pre-treated with 100 equiv. Cys/Hcy and GSH upon continued addition of H₂S (100 equiv.) within 30 min in DMSO/PBS buffer (25 mM, pH 7.4, 1:99, v/v). Fluorescence intensity at 467 nm, excitation at 380 nm.

Fig. S5. Absorption spectra of (a) NCR (10 μM) in the presence of 100 equiv. of H₂S. (b) NCR (10 μM ) in the presence of 100 equiv. of Cys/Hcy and GSH. (c) NCR (10 μM) was pretreated with 100 equiv. Cys/Hcy/GSH in the presence of 100 equiv. of H₂S (d) NC (20 μM) in the presence of 100 equiv. of H₂S in DMSO/PBS buffer (25 mM, pH 7.4, 1:99, v/v). The UV-vis spectra of free NCR showed a main absorption at 475
nm. Upon addition of H$_2$S, an obvious increase was observed at 372 nm and a shoulder peak simultaneously emerged at 575 nm. These can be attributed to the products R, D and N. Similarly, only an obvious shoulder peak was observed at 575 nm in the presence of Cys/Hcy and GSH. After NCR was pre-treated with Cys, Hcy, or GSH (100 equiv.) individually, addition of H$_2$S resulted in a significant increase in the UV absorption at 372 nm and the simultaneous emergence of a peak at 575 nm in the Cys- and Hcy-pretreated solutions. However, H$_2$S addition only resulted in a shoulder peak at 575 nm for the GSH-pretreated solution. To confirm the original attribution of those newly generated absorption peaks, the absorption spectra of control compounds NC and NC in the presence of H$_2$S were studied, and the results were consistent with the spectral performance of NCR.

Extinction coefficient calculations:

![Extinction coefficient diagram]

**Fig. S6.** Linear plots of NCR. The experiments were applied in 1 cm cuvette, at the indicated concentrations in DMSO/PBS buffer (25 mM, pH 7.4, 1:99 v/v). The measurements were performed at the maximum wavelength of NCR (475 nm).

$\varepsilon_{\text{NCR}} = 29083\, \text{M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$
Fig S7. $^1$H-NMR spectra comparison: (a) NCR, (b) NCR-NaHS (c) NCR-Cys, (d) NCR-Hcy and (e) NCR-GSH. The chemical shifts for hydrogens, $H_a$ linked with resorufin; and $H_c$ linked with coumarin; and $H_b$ linked with and NBD were observed during the reaction. In the NCR-NaHS group, it was found that the chemical shifts of $H_a$ and $H_b$ displayed obvious upfield shifts compared with that of NCR group, which indicated the release of resorufin and NBD. In NCR-Cys, NCR-Hcy and NCR-GSH group, only upfield shift of $H_a$ was observed, but the chemical shift of $H_b$ didn’t change, which indicated that the release of resorufin, and NBD was not removed (Scheme S2 in supporting information).
Fig S8. $^1$H-NMR spectra comparison of (a) NC and (b) NC-NaHS showed that the chemical shift of $H_b$ in NC-NaHS displayed obvious upfield shifts compared with that of NC, which indicated that the NBD was removed (Scheme S3 in supporting information). These results are in good agreement with our proposed reaction mechanism.

Fig. S9. Mass spectra (ESI) of NCR in the presence of H$_2$S in aqueous solution. R $m/z=(M-H^-)=212.00$, D $m/z (M + H^+)=261.20$, N $m/z (M-H^+)=195.90$. 
Fig. S10. Mass spectra of NCR in the presence of Cys in aqueous solution. R \( m/z = (M - H^+) = 212.00 \), NC \( m/z \) (M−H⁺) = 422.05, C \( m/z \) (M−H⁺) = 206.0024.
**Fig. S11.** Mass spectra of NCR in the presence of Hcy in aqueous solution. \( R \) \( m/z = (M-H^-) = 212.00 \), \( NC \) \( m/z (M-H^-) = 422.05 \), H \( m/z (M-H^-) = 220.0182 \).

**Fig. S12.** Mass spectra of NCR in the presence of GSH aqueous solution. \( R \) \( m/z = (M-H^-) = 212.00 \), \( NC-GSH \) \( m/z (M-H^-) = 815.10 \).

**Fig. S13.** Mass spectra of NCR pre-treated with Cys upon continued addition of \( H_2S \). \( D \) \( m/z (M+H^+) = 261.20 \), \( N \) \( m/z (M-H^-) = 195.95 \).
Fig. S14. Mass spectra of NCR pre-treated with Hcy upon continued addition of H$_2$S.  
\[ \text{D } m/z \ (M + H^+) = 261.20, \ N \ m/z \ (M - H^+) = 195.90. \]

Fig. S15. Mass spectra of NCR pre-treated with GSH upon continued addition of H$_2$S.  
\[ \text{D-GSH } m/z \ (M + H^+) = 654.25, \ N \ m/z \ (M - H^+) = 195.90. \]
Fig. S16. (A) The fluorescence intensity of **NCR** (10 μM) at (A1) 467 nm, (A2) 588 nm in the presence of (1) Blank (2) Thr, (3) Phe, (4) Asp, (5) Val, (6) His, (7) Glu, (8) Pro, (9) Met, (10) Ala, (11) Trp, (12) Ser, (13) NO$_3^-$, (14) Br, (15) ClO$_2^-$, (16) SO$_4^{2-}$, (17) SO$_3^{2-}$, (18) S$_2$O$_3^{2-}$, (19) AcO$^-$, (20) N$_3^-$, (21) Ca$^{2+}$, (22) Cu$^{2+}$, (23) Mg$^{2+}$, (24) Fe$^{2+}$, (25) Fe$^{3+}$, (26) Sn$^{2+}$, (27) Zn$^{2+}$, (28) Na$^+$, (29) K$^+$, (30) H$_2$O$_2$, (31) Cys, (32) Hcy, (33) GSH, (34) H$_2$S in DMSO/PBS buffer (25 mM, pH 7.4, 1:99, v/v). Concentrations were 100 equiv. for (2)–(34). (A3) **NCR** was pre-treated with 100 equiv. (31) Cys (32) Hcy and (33) GSH, upon continued addition of 100 equiv. H$_2$S. (B). Fluorescence intensity of **NCR** (10 μM) at (B1) 467 nm, (B2) 588 nm in the presence or absence of biothiols at different pH values ranging 4.0–10.0 in DMSO/PBS buffer (25 mM, pH 7.4, 1:99, v/v). Concentrations were 100 equiv. for Cys/Hcy, GSH, H$_2$S. (B3) **NCR** was pre-treated with 100 equiv. Cys/Hcy, GSH, upon continued addition of 100 equiv. H$_2$S. Excitation at 380 nm for (A1/B1), 560 nm for (A2/B2), and 380 nm for (A3/B3). The results showed the fluorescence intensity of free **NCR** at two emission bands was not influenced by pH in aqueous solutions. However, at pH ranging from 7.0 to 8.0, probe **NCR** generated significant enhancement of the fluorescence intensity in the presence of H$_2$S, Cys/Hcy and GSH. Data are expressed as mean ± SD of five parallel experiments.

Fig. S17. (A) Optimized structures of **NCR** and the co-regulation of response emission by FRET and ICT mechanisms. (B) Frontier molecular orbital energy of **NCR** at the excited state. Calculations were performed using DFT with the B3LYP exchange functional employing 6-31G(d) basis sets using Gaussian 09 programs. In the optimized
structures of NCR, the calculated distance between coumarin and NBD is 13 Å. Thus, the FRET donor fluorescence of coumarin can be quenched by the NBD moiety through the FRET process. In addition, the π electrons on both the HOMO and LUMO efficiently distribute in the respective resorufin and NBD units in NCR, suggesting that NCR is a typical intramolecular charge transfer (ICT)-based fluorescent probe.

Fig. S18. The cell viability of living HeLa cells treated with 5, 10, or 20 μM NCR for 24 hours measured by standard MTT assay. (Error bars represent the standard deviations of five trials)

Fig. S19. The images of living HeLa cells pre-treated with NCR (5 μM) for 30 min and subsequently co-incubated with Mito-Tracker Green (1 μM) for 30 min. (A) Red channel images of probe NCR (λ<sub>ex</sub>= 561 nm, λ<sub>em</sub>= 575 - 620 nm); (B) Green channel images of Mito-Tracker Green (λ<sub>ex</sub> = 488 nm, λ<sub>em</sub> = 500 - 535 nm); (C) Merged green and red channel images; (D) The intensity profile of the ROI in merged images. Scale bar: 10 μm.
Fig. S20. $^1$H NMR of compound 3 in CDCl$_3$.

Fig. S21. $^{13}$C NMR of compound 3 in CDCl$_3$. 
Fig. S22. HRMS (ESI) of compound 3 $m/z$ [M+Na]$^+$ calculated for C$_{24}$H$_{16}$N$_2$NaO$_3$S$_2$: 467.0495; measured 467.0497.

Fig. S23. $^1$H NMR of compound 4 in DMSO-$d_6$. 
Fig. S24. $^{13}$C NMR of compound 4 in DMSO-$d_6$.

Fig. S25. HRMS (ESI) of compound 4 $m/z$ [M-H$^-$] calculated for C$_{22}$H$_{16}$NO$_5$S$_2$: 438.0475; measured 438.0473.
Fig. S26. $^1$H NMR of compound 6 in DMSO-$d_6$.

Fig. S27. $^{13}$C NMR of compound 6 in DMSO-$d_6$. 
**Fig. S28.** HRMS (ESI) of compound 6 \( m/z \ [M+Na]^+ \) calculated for \( C_{10}H_7ClNaO_3 \): 232.9976; measured: 232.9978.

**Fig. S29.** \(^1\)H NMR of compound NC in DMSO-\(d_6\).
Fig. S30. $^{13}$C NMR of compound NC in DMSO-$d_6$.

Fig. S31. HRMS (ESI) of compound NC $m/z$ [M+Na]$^+$ calculated for $C_{20}H_{17}N_5NaO_6$: 446.1071; measured: 446.1075.
Fig. S32. $^1$H NMR of compound NCR in CDCl$_3$.

Fig. S33. $^1$C NMR of compound NCR in CDCl$_3$. 
Fig. S34. HRMS (ESI) of compound NCR $m/z$ [M+Na]$^+$ calculated for C$_{42}$H$_{32}$N$_6$NaO$_{10}$S$_2$: 867.1514; measured 867.1518.