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Methods

Preparation of LTO nanospheres. LTO nanospheres were fabricated according to our previous procedure. Typically, titanium nitride (0.25 g) in 28 mL deionized water was mixed with 16 mL hydrogen dioxide (30%), and then 6 mL ammonia solution (25-28%) was added in the above mixed dispersion under continuous stirring for 30 min. Afterwards, 50 mL water and 100 mL ethanol were added into the above solution for further hydrolysis of peroxo-titanium complex. Successively, LiOH·H₂O (0.14 g) and polyvinyl pyrrolidone (100 mg) were uniformly mixed with the resulting precursor solution, and dried at 80 °C. Thus, the collected white powder was annealed at 750 °C for 7 h in inert atmosphere. Finally, LTO nanospheres were obtained.

Preparation of AG. AG was prepared from graphene oxide with the activation of KOH. Briefly, 50 mL graphene oxide (10 mg mL⁻¹) was uniformly mixed with 3 g KOH stirring for 2 h, and then the resultant dispersion was dried at 100 °C to evaporate the solvent until the black gray slurry was obtained. Subsequently, the slurry was heated at 110 °C for 30 min to remove the residual water at N₂ gas with a flow rate of 300 mL min⁻¹, and then kept at 700 °C for 1 h for activation. After the powder was completely washed with 1 M HCl and deionized water to fully remove residual salt, AG powder was achieved.

Fabrication of all-solid-state LTO//AG-LIMCs. First, the stable EG ink of 3 mL (0.1 mg mL⁻¹ in ethanol) was filtrated on a nylon membrane (0.22 μm in pore size), with help of a customized interdigital mask (8 fingers, 14 mm in length, 1 mm in width, and 0.5 mm width of interspacing). Subsequently, the negative-electrode ink of
LTO and EG (0.5 mg mL\(^{-1}\) in ethanol, LTO: EG mass ratio of 9:1) was added on one side (four fingers) of the interdigital mask, and the positive-electrode solution of AG and EG (0.25 mg mL\(^{-1}\) in ethanol, AG:EG mass ratio of 9:1) was also filtrated on the other side (four fingers) of the mask. Successively, 2 mL EG ink (0.02 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) was filtered on the top of LTO and AG active electrodes. After removing the mask and drying at 60 °C for 12 h, the planar interdigital patterns of film microelectrodes were obtained. Afterwards, ionogel electrolyte of LiTFSI-P\(_{14}\)TFSI-PVDF-HFP was carefully brush-coated on the fingers and solidified. Finally, all-solid-state planar LTO//AG-LIMCs were achieved after Kapton tape package. For comparison, AG//AG-MSCs using AG as active materials for both electrodes were also fabricated based on the same thick film of positive electrode of LTO//AG-LIMCs, while other steps kept the same as the latter.

**Ionogel electrolyte.** LiTFSI-P\(_{14}\)TFSI-PVDF-HFP was prepared via the following steps. LiTFSI was first dissolved into P\(_{14}\)TFSI to form the transparent solution of 1 M LiTFSI-P\(_{14}\)TFSI. Then, 0.2 g PVDF-HFP was added into acetone under continuous stirring for 4 h to form uniform clear dispersion. Subsequently, 2.5 g LiTFSI-P\(_{14}\)TFSI solution was slowly mixed with the above PVDF-HFP dispersion. Finally, ionogel electrolyte of LiTFSI-P\(_{14}\)TFSI-PVDF-HFP was attained.

**Materials Characterizations.** The morphology and structures of LTO nanospheres, AG, EG, and the fabricated electrodes were examined using SEM (JSM-7800F), HRTEM (JEM-2100), XRD (X’pert Pro), nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms (Micromeritics Tristar 3020 analyzer), and four-point probe equipment (RTS-9).
Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA, STA 449 F3) was used for thermal stability of ionogel electrolyte. The ionic conductivity ($\sigma$) of ionogel electrolyte as a function of temperature was tested by EIS at the frequency from 100 kHz to 0.1 Hz with an AC amplitude of 5 mV, based on a cell of stainless steel/ ionogel electrolyte /stainless steel. The value of $\sigma$ was evaluated by the equation: $\sigma = L/(R*S)$, where $L$ is the length of ionogel electrolyte film, $R$ is ESR from EIS, $S$ is the geometric area of ionogel electrolyte film and electrode interface.

**Electrochemical Measurement.** The electrochemical performances were performed by electrochemical workstation (CHI760E). CV curves were measured with scan rates from 2 to 20 mV s$^{-1}$. GCD profiles were tested at different current densities ranging from 0.02 to 1 mA cm$^{-2}$. EIS were recorded in the frequency range from 100 kHz to 0.01 Hz with the ac amplitude of 5 mV. Cycling stability was carried out on LAND CT2001A battery tester at the current density of 0.4 or 1.0 mA cm$^{-2}$. High-temperature performance measurement at 50 °C and 80 °C of LTO//AG-LIMCs was kept at the tested temperature for 1 h until equilibration.

**Calculation**

The capacitance of the fabricated planar interdigital microdevices was calculated by the discharged curves of GCD via the following equation (1):

$$C = \frac{It}{\Delta V}$$  

(1)

Where $C$ is the evaluated capacitance value, $I$ (A) is the discharge current, $t$ (s) is discharge time, and $\Delta V$ (V) is potential difference of GCD profiles.

Areal and volumetric capacitances of a device were evaluated according to the
area and volume of the device by the following formula (2) and (3):

\[ C_{\text{device}}^{\text{areal}} = \frac{C}{A_{\text{device}}} \]  
\[ C_{\text{device}}^{\text{volumetric}} = \frac{C}{V_{\text{device}}} \]  

Where \( A_{\text{device}} \) (cm²) and \( V_{\text{device}} \) (cm³) refer to the area and volume of the device, respectively. \( C_{\text{device}}^{\text{areal}} \) (F cm²) and \( C_{\text{device}}^{\text{volumetric}} \) (F cm³) are labeled as areal capacitance and volumetric capacitance of the device, respectively. The areal and volume of the device refer to all the electrode fingers.

The volumetric energy density and power density of a device were obtained from the following relationships (4) to (6):

\[ P = \frac{\Delta UI}{V_{\text{device}}} \]  
\[ E = Pt \]  
\[ \Delta U = \frac{1}{2} \times (U_{\text{max}} + U_{\text{min}}) \]

where \( P \) is the volumetric power density (W cm³) and \( E \) is the volumetric energy density (Wh cm³), and \( U_{\text{max}} \) and \( U_{\text{min}} \) are the high and low potential of GCD profiles, respectively.
Fig. S1 Morphology and structure of EG nanosheets. (a) TEM image, showing the flat and uniform morphology. (b) HRTEM image, showing a trible-layer structure. Inset is the SAED pattern, indicative of high-quality EG.

Fig. S2 Morphology and structure of LTO nanospheres. (a) SEM image, showing LTO nanospheres with the average size of ~120 nm. (b) XRD pattern of LTO nanospheres, exhibiting all characteristic diffraction peaks, which are in good agreement with the standard card of JCPDS 49-0207.
**Fig. S3** Morphology and structure of nanoporous AG. (a) SEM image. (b) Nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherm of AG. Inset is the pore-size distribution profile, showing a narrow mesoporous distribution of 2.6 nm.

**Fig. S4** Top-view morphology of LTO//AG electrodes. (a,b) Top-view SEM images of LTO electrode (a) and AG electrode (b), showing the large-area uniformity and flat morphology of LTO//AG electrodes.
Fig. S5 Electrical conductivity of the fabricated EG layer, anode and cathode. The conductivity of the EG layer, anode and cathode is 1041, 447 and 101 S cm$^{-1}$, respectively.
Fig. S6 Electrochemical performance of LTO nanospheres and nanoporous AG. (a) GCD profiles of LTO nanospheres from 0.2 to 2 C in 1M LiTFSI-P14TFSI (1C=175 mA g\(^{-1}\)), displaying stable charge and discharge plateaus at around 1.55 V. (b) Specific capacity and coulombic efficiency of LTO nanospheres in 1M LiTFSI-P14TFSI at various rates. (c) GCD curves of AG//AG-MSCs. (d) Specific capacity of AG at different current densities.

Specific capacity of AG is 85.9 mAh g\(^{-1}\), while LTO has 102 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at the same current density of 0.14 A g\(^{-1}\) (0.8 C). According to the charge balance equation of Q. =Q_+ + m.q., or m.q.=m.q_+ (Q: the capacity, and q: specific capacity), the ideal mass match ratio for LTO:AG was calculated to be 1.18, which is close to 1. In this case, the evaluated mass match ratio of LTO:AG is 1.01 at current density of 0.21 A g\(^{-1}\), corresponding to the electrode thickness of ~3 μm for LTO and ~10 μm for AG.
Fig. S7 GCD profiles of LTO//AG-LIMCs and AG//AG-MSCs at current density of 0.02 mA cm$^{-2}$. The longer discharge time of LTO//AG-LIMCs suggested higher specific capacitance than that of AG//AG-MSCs.

Fig. S8 Self-discharge curve of LTO//AG-LIMCs. The result presented that ASSP-LIMCs self-discharges in 20 h from $V_{\text{max}}$ to $2/3 \; V_{\text{max}}$, which is much superior to those of commercial supercapacitors from $V_{\text{max}}$ to $1/2 \; V_{\text{max}}$, such as a 3.5V/25mF commercial supercapacitor (8 h) and a 2.75V/44mF commercial supercapacitor (21 h).$^4$
**Fig. S9** TGA curve of the ionogel electrolyte of LiTFSI-P14TFSI-PVDF-HFP at N$_2$ atmosphere with a heating rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$, showing that the ionogel electrolyte can be stable up to 350 °C.

**Fig. S10** Nyquist plots of LTO//AG-LIMCs at various temperatures (25, 50 and 80 °C).
Fig. S11  Electrochemical performance of LTO//AG-LIMCs-0 tested at 0 °C. (a) GCD profiles of LTO//AG-LIMCs-0 at current densities from 0.02 to 0.075 mA cm$^{-2}$. (b) Areal capacitance and volumetric capacitance of LTO//AG-LIMCs-0 as a function of current density. (c) Electrochemical impedance spectrum and (d) cycling performance of LTO//AG-LIMCs-0, tested at 0.2 mA cm$^{-2}$.

It can be seen that LTO//AG-LIMCs-0 show the similar GCD curves to those of LTO//AG-LIMCs measured at higher temperatures (Fig. S11a). Due to the decrease of testing temperature, LTO//AG-LIMCs-0 at 0.01 mA cm$^{-2}$ delivered a decreased areal capacitance of 13.3 mF cm$^{-2}$ and volumetric capacitance of 20.4 F cm$^{-3}$ (Fig. S11b), in comparison with those of LTO//AG-LIMCs at high temperatures (25, 50 and 80 °C, Fig. 3a). This result can be explained by larger equivalent series resistance of $\sim$715 $\Omega$ and a smaller slope of LTO//AG-LIMCs-0 (Fig. S11c), due to the enlarged
viscosity and reduced Li-ion conductivity of ionogel electrolyte at 0 °C.\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^7\) Notably, our LTO//AG-LIMCs-0 displayed good cycling performance without obvious degradation after 1000 cycles (Fig. S11d), an energy density of 25.5 mWh cm\(^{-3}\) and a robust power density of 1.3 W cm\(^{-3}\).

Fig. S12 Optical images of three serially-connected LTO//AG-LIMCs. (a-c) three serially-connected LTO//AG-LIMCs, showing flat (a), seriously bending (b), circle (c) states. Note that these tandem LTO//AG-LIMCs did not suffer from any structural disruption during different bending states.
Fig. S13 Capacitance of LTO/AG-LIMCs in series and parallel. (a,b) Plot of capacitance and voltage vs device number in series (a) and in parallel (b).
Fig. S14 EIS of LTO//AG-LIMCs connected in series and parallel. (a,b) EIS (a) of LTO//AG-LIMCs from 1 to 3 cells connected in series, showing the almost linear increase of ESR (b). (c,d) EIS (c) of LTO//AG-LIMCs from 1 to 3 cells connected in parallel, displaying a proportionally decreased ESR (d).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSCs</th>
<th>Tem (°C)</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>$C_{\text{device}}$&lt;sub&gt;areal&lt;/sub&gt; (mF cm&lt;sup&gt;-2&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>$C_{\text{device}}$&lt;sub&gt;volumetric&lt;/sub&gt; (F cm&lt;sup&gt;-3&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>$P_{\text{device}}$ (mW cm&lt;sup&gt;-3&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>$E_{\text{device}}$ (m Wh cm&lt;sup&gt;-3&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LTO//AG</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>LiTFSI/P&lt;sub&gt;14&lt;/sub&gt;TFSI /PVDF-HFP</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>3460</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTO//AG</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LiTFSI/P&lt;sub&gt;14&lt;/sub&gt;TFSI /PVDF-HFP</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTO//AG</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>LiTFSI/P&lt;sub&gt;14&lt;/sub&gt;TFSI /PVDF-HFP</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>4620</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTO//CNT</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>1M LiPF&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;/EC/ DEC</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP/EG</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>PVA/H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;SO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>~800000</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/TiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>EMIMNTF&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>~12.7</td>
<td>~312000</td>
<td>~15.9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/TiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>PVA/H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;SO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>~34.0</td>
<td>~82700</td>
<td>~4.8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSG//LSG-MnO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>Na&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;SO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>~90</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>~10000</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIG-FeOOH//LIG-MnO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>PVA/LiCl</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2891</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP/PANI-G/GP</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>PVA/H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;SO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG//MP</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>PVA/LiCl</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>1469</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXene/EG</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>PVA/H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;SO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>~800</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2G3</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>PVA/H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;SO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>15000</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2G3</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>EMIMBF&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>~6500</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birnessite-MnO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>Na&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;SO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>~230</td>
<td>295000</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoO/CNT</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>PVA/KOH</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CNT: carbon nanotube; TP: thiophene nanosheets; PVA: polyvinyl alcohol; rGO: reduced graphene oxide; EMIMNTF<sub>2</sub>: 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide; LIG: laser induced graphene; LPG: laser-processed graphene; EG: electrochemically exfoliated graphene; BMIMPF<sub>6</sub>: 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate; GP: graphene/PH1000; PANI: polyaniline; MP: MnO<sub>2</sub> nanosheets/PH1000; EMIMBF<sub>4</sub>: 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate; MPG: methane plasma reduced graphene; P2G3: graphene-conducting polymer layers (2) and EG layers (3).
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