Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2018

Supplementary Information

Facile preparation of highly sensitive and selective fluorescent paper sensor for the visual and cyclic detection of Cu²⁺ and Hg²⁺

Liying Yu,^a Yaoyao Qu,^a Fang Chai^{a*} and Lihua Chen^{b*}

^{a.} Key Laboratory of Photochemical Biomaterials and Energy Storage Materials, Colleges of Heilongjiang Province, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Harbin Normal University, Harbin, Heilongjiang 150025, China.

E-mail: fangchai@gmail.com

^{b.} Shandong Key Laboratory of Biochemical Analysis; College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266042, PR China.

E-mail: Lihuachen@qust.edu.cn

The effects of pH on the fluorescence characteristics of the LAA-CQDs were studied in a pH range from 1 to 14. The pH value of the as-prepared of LAA-CQDs was 5. As shown in Figure S1, the fluorescence spectra of LAA-CQDs at different pH values were tested by adjusting amount of buffer solution (potassium acid phthalate, pH=4.08; antipyonin, pH=9.18). The results showed that the pH value increased from 1 to 5 caused the slightly increased of fluorescence intensity, but a further increased from 6.0

to 14.0 led to fluorescence intensity decreased gradually, indicated the fluorescence intensity of LAA-CQDs strongly depended on the pH value.



Figure S1. The histogram represented the ratio I/I_0 of LAA-CQDs under the excitation of 330 nm at different pH condition

Figure S2a and Figure S2b show the time response of fluorescence quenching. As shown in Figure S2a, 2b, the fluorescence intensity decreases quickly and then gets an equilibrium within 60 seconds. So, we choose 60 seconds as the optimal fluorescence quenching time for detection Cu^{2+} and Hg^{2+} (100 μ M). Similarly, we choose 5 minutes as the best fluorescence recovering time (Figure S2c, 2d).



Figure S2. Time response of fluorescence quenching $(6.9 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol/L LAA-CQDs and 100 } \mu\text{M}$ (a) Cu²⁺ or (b) Hg²⁺ were mixed in buffer solution and then recorded its fluorescence intensity at different time). Time response of fluorescence recovering (The sample contains $6.9 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol/L LAA-CQDs}$, 100 μ M (c) Cu²⁺ or (d) Hg²⁺ and saturated solution of EDTA, and we recorded its fluorescence intensity at different time).



Figure S3. Fluorescence decay (yellow lines) and fitting (green lines) curves of LAA-CQDs recorded at emission wavelengths of 410 nm with excitation at 330 nm in ultrapure water.

The fluorescent stability was a significant parameter for an excellent sensor. The fluorescence intensity of LAA-CQDs was recorded for 24 hours without obvious changed in Figure S4.



Figure S4. The fluorescence intensity of LAA-CQDs recorded each 2 hours for 1 day under 365 nm UV light.

Selectivity of LAA-CQDs in real water samples

The selectivity was evaluated as shown in Figure S5, S6, Figure S5a and Figure S6a showed fluorescence spectra of LAA-CQDs containing 100 μ M heavy metal ions (Zn²⁺, Mn²⁺, Fe³⁺, Mg²⁺, Ni²⁺, Co²⁺, Pb²⁺, Cr³⁺, Cr⁶⁺, Ba²⁺, Cd²⁺, Ca²⁺ and Fe²⁺) in tap water and lake water samples compared with Cu²⁺ and Hg²⁺ (100 μ M) respectively. The volume ratio of LAA-CQDs and each metal ion was 1: 1. It could be seen that the Cu²⁺ and Hg²⁺ greatly reduced the fluorescence intensity compared with other cations. Relative fluorescence intensity ratio of LAA-CQDs solution containing various heavy metal ions in the tap water and lake water was depicted in Figure S5b and Figure S6b. As described in Figure S5c and Figure S6c, the corresponding photo of detecting multiple ions showed the fluorescence quenching with addition of Cu²⁺ (Hg²⁺) compared with other ions.



Figure S5. Selectivity of ion detection in tap water samples (a) Fluorescence spectrum of LAA-CQDs solutions with adding of a variety of metal ions (100 μ M). (b) The relative fluorescence intensity ratio (λ_{ex} =330 nm) of LAA-CQDs aqueous solution exposed to different ions (100 μ M) at 410 nm. (c) The corresponding photograph images of LAA-CQDs exposed to different heavy metal ion samples under UV light (λ =365 nm).



Figure S6. Selectivity of ion detection in lake water samples (a) Fluorescence spectrum of LAA-CQDs solutions with adding of a variety of metal ions (100 μ M). (b) The relative fluorescence intensity ratio (λ_{ex} =330 nm) of LAA-CQDs aqueous solution exposed to different ions (100 μ M) at 410 nm. (c) The corresponding photograph images of LAA-CQDs exposed to different heavy metal ion samples under UV light (λ =365 nm).

Sensitivity of LAA-CQDs for Cu²⁺ and Hg²⁺ in tap water

As shown in Figure S7a, S8a, the emission at 410 nm decreased gradually along with the increase of the concentration of Cu^{2+} (Hg²⁺). The fluorescence intensity ratio and the concentration of Cu^{2+} are linearly related (range from 1 nM to 100 μ M) (I/I₀=0.954-0.004[Cu²⁺], R²=0.99) (Figure S7b). The liner relationship (range from 0.1 nM to 50 nM) by equation description was that I/I₀=0.979-1.084[Hg²⁺], (R²=0.99) (Figure

S8b). The minimum detection limits of Cu^{2+} and Hg^{2+} in tap water were 1.19 μ M and 6.15 nM, respectively. The corresponding photo (Figure S7c, S8c) indicated that the fluorescence color gradually changed darker with the increase of concentration of Cu^{2+} (Hg^{2+}) under the UV light. In summary, detection of Cu^{2+} (Hg^{2+}) by LAA-CQDs in tap water was feasible.



Figure S7. (a) Fluorescence spectrum of LAA-CQDs contained different concentrations of Cu^{2+} (0.1 nM-300 μ M) under excitation conditions of 330 nm in tap water. (b) The dependence of I/I₀ on the concentration of Cu^{2+} ions within the range of 1 nM-100 μ M. (c) The corresponding photos of adding Cu^{2+} of different concentrations to the LAA-CQDs solution under UV light (λ =365 nm).



Figure S8. (a) Fluorescence spectrum of LAA-CQDs contained different concentrations of Hg²⁺ (0.1 nM-200 μ M) under excitation conditions of 330 nm in tap water. (b) The dependence of I/I₀ on the concentration of Hg²⁺ within the range of 0.1 nM-50 nM. (c) The corresponding photograph images of adding Hg²⁺ of different concentrations to the LAA-CQDs solution exposed to different concentration of Hg²⁺ ions under UV light (λ =365 nm).

Sensitivity of LAA-CQDs for Cu²⁺ and Hg²⁺ in lake water

As descried in Figure S9a, S10a, the remarkable decrease of emission intensity of the LAA-CQDs at 410 nm emerged with the increase of the Cu²⁺ (Hg²⁺) in lake water. As shown in Figure S9b, present a good linear correlation (I/I₀=0.927-0.004[Cu²⁺], R²=0.99). And the concentration of the added Hg²⁺ is related to the fluorescence ratio (I/I₀=0.954-0.004[Hg²⁺], R²=0.999) (Figure S10b). The calculated results showed the minimum detection limits for the Cu²⁺ and Hg²⁺ in the lake water were 1.35 μ M and 1.3 μ M, respectively. The change trend of fluorescent color (Figure S9, 10c) under the irradiation of UV lamp was the same as in tap water.



Figure S9. (a) Fluorescence spectrum of LAA-CQDs contained different concentrations of Cu²⁺ (0.1 nM-300 μ M) under excitation conditions of 330 nm in lake water. (b) The dependence of I/I₀ on the concentration of Cu²⁺ ions within the range of 0.5 nM-100 μ M. (c) The corresponding photos of adding Cu²⁺ of different concentrations to the LAA-CQDs solution under UV light (λ =365 nm).



Figure S10. (a) Fluorescence spectrum of LAA-CQDs contained different concentrations of Hg²⁺ (0.1 nM-200 μ M) under excitation conditions of 330 nm in lake water. (b) The dependence of I/I₀ on the concentration of Hg²⁺ within the range of 5 nM-50 μ M. (c) The corresponding photograph images of adding Hg²⁺ of different concentrations to the LAA-CQDs solution under UV light (λ =365 nm).

MTT

To evaluate the cytotoxicity of the LAA-CQDs, the viability of the HeLa cells was assessed by measuring their ATP activity after exposure to the LAA-CQDs. 100 μ L of the cell suspensions in cell media at a concentration of 2×10⁵ cells/mL were seeded in 96-well plates and allowed to attach overnight. After removal of the cell media, the wells were washed twice with HBSS–HEPES buffer (pH=7.4) and then 100 μ L of the tested LAA-CQDs at the relevant concentrations were added. After incubation, the reagent was added to each well to assess the ATP activity.

Cell Culture and Imaging

HeLa cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 mg.mL⁻¹ penicillin, and 100 μ g·mL⁻¹ streptomycin in a 5% CO₂, water saturated incubator at 37 °C and then were seeded in a 12-well culture plate for one night before the cell imaging experiments. For living cell imaging experiments, some of the cells were incubated with 0.019 g·mL⁻¹ of probe for 30 min at 37 °C, washed three times with buffer, and then imaged.



Figure S11. Cell viabilities of HeLa cells after incubation with LAA-CQDs for 24 h by MTT assay.



Figure S12. Comparison diagram of UV absorption curves of LAA-CQDs, LAA-CQDs+Cu²⁺ and LAA-CQDs+Hg²⁺.



Figure S13. The absorption spectrum of black color Cu^{2+} (Hg²⁺), fluorescence excitation spectrum of red color and fluorescence emission spectrum of blue color of LAA-CQDs (λ_{ex} =330 nm).

Table S1. FL lifetimes of LAA-CQDs under excitation at 330 nm

Fix Value / ns	Std. Dev / ns	Fix Value	Std. Dev	Rel %				
τ ₁ 🔲 1.6168	0.04708	B ₁ 674.146	12.2387	37.62				
τ ₂ 🔲 5.7841	0.09778	B ₂ 312.481	11.7386	62.38				
τ ₃		B ₃						
τ4		B ₄						
A 🔲 1.520								
χ ² : 1.095								

 Table S2. Comparison between proposed sensor and previously reported literature values for Cu²⁺ and Hg²⁺ ions detection.

Systems	Detection Limit		Detection Method	Reference
	Cu ²⁺	Hg ²⁺		
quercetin-Pluronic-F127 nano-micelles	10 nM		Fluorescence	[40]
pyrene-thiophene conjugate		30.6 nM	Fluorescence	[41]
Two hexaazatriphenylene- pyrene		3.1 nM/12 μM	Fluorescence/paper sensor	[42]
carbazole-based probes	65 nM/ 10 ⁻⁵ M		Fluorescence/paper sensor	[43]
A phenothiazine-based "naked-eye" fluorescent probe	97 nM	80 nM	Fluorescence	[44]
Three-dimensional paper- based microfluidic chip device	35 nM/25 μM	56 nM/125 μM	Fluorescence/paper sensor	[45]
LAA-CQDs	7.59 nM/5 μM	2.29 nM/3 μM	Fluorescence/paper sensor	This Work

References

[40] W. N. Jiang, S. L. Yang, W. Lu, B. H. Gao, L. Xu, X. Sun, D. Jiang, H. J. Xu, M. T. Ma and F. L. Cao, *J Photoch Photobio A* 2018, 362, 14-20.

[41] S. Sarkar, S. Roy, R. N. Saha and S. S. Panja, Journal of Fluorescence 2018, 28, 427-437.

[42] Y. Li, L. L. Yang, K. Liu, F. Y. Zhao, H. Liu and W. J. Ruan, New J. Chem. 2015, 39, 2429-2432.

[43] L. L. Yang, J. P. Wang, L. Yang, C. Zhang, R. L. Zhang, Z. P. Zhang, B. H. Liu and C. L. Jiang, *RSC Adv.* 2016, 6, 56384-56391.

[44] M. Kaur, M. J. Cho and D. H. Choi, Dyes and Pigments 2016, 125, 1-7.

[45] J. Qi, B. W. Li, X. R. Wang, Z. Zhang, Z. Wang, J. L. Han and L. L. Chen, Sensor. Actual.: B. Chem. 2017, 251, 224-233.