Characterizing Percolative Materials by Straining
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Supplementary Information:

I) Deformation of Percolative Film

For a percolative film, conducting nanopieces are randomly deposited on the substrate area and the conductivity follows the aforementioned percolation law.\textsuperscript{1-6} The thickness $t$ of percolative film is often used to demonstrate its percolation property.\textsuperscript{2, 3, 5, 7} and it follows $t \propto N_A$, where $N_A$ is the number density of the film, meaning the number of nanopieces per unit area. Thus, with a certain number of nanopieces in the percolative film, the cross-section of the percolative film controls the number density $N_A$ and the thickness $t$. Different from bulk films, uniaxial strain $\varepsilon$ will change the position of the percolative film’s constituents while retaining their shape. Under these conditions, strain will change the percolation conditions significantly since critical pathways may be broken.

To model this behaviour, we consider the changes to the sample area. Upon straining there is an elongation

$$L(\varepsilon) = L_0 (1 + \varepsilon) \quad (S1)$$

If the material (or the substrate) has an appreciable Poisson ratio $\mu$, the width is also affected by strain.

$$W(\varepsilon) = W_0 (1 - \mu \varepsilon) \quad (S2)$$

To extract the thickness of the film under strain, we have to account for the discrete nature of the conductive links where neighboring constituents cannot occupy the same volume. We accomplish this task by assuming a fixed equivalent volume for each constituent that doesn’t change with strain (which is justified by the observation that the matrix is usually much softer than the conductive links). In this case the conductive links would not be deformed by strain but reorganize within the strained matrix.\textsuperscript{2} Strain induced changes to the matrix’s area would thus result in a decreasing number density of constituents in out-of-plane direction, yielding the change of film thickness.

$$t(\varepsilon) = t_0 / (1 + \varepsilon) (1 - \mu \varepsilon) \quad (S3)$$

where $t_0$, $L_0$, $W_0$ are the original film thickness, length and width, \{ $t(\varepsilon=0)$, $L(\varepsilon=0)$, $W(\varepsilon=0)$, respectively \}. We thus find that the application of strain to a sample has the same effect as changing its thickness.

II) Resistance of Percolative Film

Previous work has determined the conductivity of percolative films to follow\textsuperscript{2, 3, 5, 7, 8}:

$$\sigma_{DC,perc} = \sigma_{DC,bulk} \left( \frac{t - t_c}{t_{min} - t_c} \right)^n \quad (S4)$$

It can be seen that below a critical thickness ($t_c$) no conduction occurs, because the film fails to form an interconnected network\textsuperscript{2, 3, 5}. Moreover, the percolation conductivity $\sigma_{DC,perc}$ approaches the bulk conductivity $\sigma_{DC,bulk}$ if the film thickness reaches $t_{min}$ and yields unphysical values for $t > t_{min}$.

The sheet resistance of the percolative film can be expressed as equation (S5).
Using Equation (S1), the resistance of percolative film is:

\[
R = \left(\frac{L}{W}\right) (\sigma_{DC,perc} t)^{-1} = \left(\frac{L}{W}\right) (\sigma_{DC,bulk} \left(\frac{t - t_c}{t_{\text{min}} - t_c}\right)^n t)^{-1} = \frac{L(t_{\text{min}} - t_c)^n}{W\sigma_{DC,bulk} t_c^{n+1}} \left(\frac{t_c}{t - t_c}\right)^n \frac{t_c}{t} \quad (S6)
\]

Based on equation (S1)-(S3) and (S6), the resistance of a percolative film is modified by strain according to the equation (S7)

\[
R(\varepsilon) = \frac{L(\varepsilon)(t_{\text{min}} - t_c)^n}{W(\varepsilon)\sigma_{DC,bulk} t_c^{n+1}} \left(\frac{t_c}{t(\varepsilon) - t_c}\right)^n \frac{t_c}{t(\varepsilon)}
\]

To make the equation applicable to experimental confirmation (S7), we normalize the resistance to the initial resistance to obtain equation (S8)

\[
\frac{R(\varepsilon)}{R(0)} = \left(\frac{t_0 - t_c}{t(\varepsilon) - t_c}\right)^n (1 + \varepsilon)^2 \quad (S8)
\]

Where \( t(\varepsilon) = t_0/(1 + \varepsilon) (1 - \mu\varepsilon) \) is obtained from equation (S3).

III) Flake Films By Spray Deposition

The multi-sample measurements of graphene flake films are produced by spray deposition on polyethylene terephthalate (PET).\(^9\)\(^10\) A spraying gun was used to uniformly spray the solution onto PET substrates, under which there is the laterally movable heater. The sample was kept at elevated temperature and a surface temperature of 130°C was chosen to avoid degrading the polymer substrate. A typical spray process took about 20 minutes. The volume of sprayed graphene solution usually ranged from 3-7 ml for an area of 10 mm x 20 mm.

![Figure S1. Schematic of experimental device and sample.](image-url)
### IV) Overview of characterized samples

| Figure 3(a) | Nickel-filled and Cu-Filled Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) | Cu nanostars and Ni nanoparticles were dispersed in ethanol and blended with PDMS copolymer by ultrasonication. The weight ratio was 3:1 for the PDMS-Ni and 2:1 for PDMS-Cu. | 11 |
| Figure 3(b) | Graphite nanosheets (GNs) and silicone rubber (SR) composites | GNs were mixed with Room-temperature vulcanized (RTV) liquid silicone rubber to form electrically conductive polymer nanocomposite, with a volume ratio of 1.36 vol %. | 12, 13 |
| Figure 4(b) | Multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWNTs) and poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) polymer composites | MWNTs and polymer pellets were dissolved in a solvent and mixed using ultrasound. The solvent was subsequently removed by air-dry to produce polymer/MWNT composites having different weight fractions. | 14 |
| Figure 4(c) | Ag/silica nanocomposite sol–gel films | Ag/silica nanocomposite is fabricated using an ultra-violet (UV) photo-reduction process, and is studied over a large range of Ag volume fractions. | 15 |
| Figure 4(d) | Solution processed graphene flakes films | The graphene solution was produced by expansion of graphite flakes through microwave irradiation and subsequent mechanical exfoliation by sonication in 1-methylpyrrolidone (NMP) solvent. The film resistance decreases with the amount of deposited solution. | 9, 10 |
V) Numerical simulation of current distribution in percolative film with strain

To visualize the strain-induced changes to the percolative films, we carry out numerical simulations for the percolative film. In the simulation model, individual links consists of certain number of resistance nodes and the resistance between links depends on the amount of overlap between them. This process produces a large resistance network with several thousand connections. Applying Kirchoff’s laws, we can obtain the overall resistance of the film. By comparing the change of overall resistance upon displacement of the links, strain-induced changes to the percolation can be demonstrated. Thus, the current within and across conductive links can be obtained and the distribution of currents across the sample is displayed in Figure 2(a). As shown in the Figure 2(a), the strain leads to fewer pathways for carrier transport, leading to higher current densities in critical connections but an overall increase in film resistance. For the two films in Figure2(a), the overall resistance of unstrained film is 100Ω while the overall resistance of strained film is 293Ω.
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