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Experimental Methods

$^1$H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker ARX-400 (400 MHz for $^1$H NMR) spectrometer using DMSO-$d_6$ as a solvent and tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Absorption and fluorescence spectra were measured on Shimadzu UV-1600 and Hitachi F-4500 fluorescence spectrometers, respectively. Fluorescence decay measurements were performed using a time-correlated single-photon counting method. Laser excitation at 375 nm was performed using a diode laser (PicoQuant, LDH-P-C-375) with a power control unit (PicoQuant, PDL 800-B), with a repetition rate of 2.5 MHz. The temporal profiles of the fluorescence decay were detected by a microchannel plate photomultiplier (Hamamatsu, R3809U) equipped with a TCSPC computer board module (Becker and Hickl, SPC630). The full width at half-maximum (fwhm) value of the instrument response function was 51 ps.$^1$ The values of $\chi^2$ and the Durbin–Watson parameters were used to determine the quality of the fit obtained by nonlinear regression.$^2$ DMSO, MeCN, THF, toluene, (spectroscopic grade, Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Japan) and were used as a solvent without further purification. Acetate ions were in the form of tetrabutylammonium acetate (TBAAc), which contains a tetrabutylammonium cation (Sigma-Aldrich, Japan). All measurements were carried out at room temperature under an Ar atmosphere. The concentrations were adjusted so that the absorption maximum of the excitation wavelength was about 0.1 for each sample.
Synthesis

All solvents and tert-dodecanethiol, 1-chloro-4-nitrobenzene, m-chloroperbenzoic acid, SnCl$_2$$\cdot$2H$_2$O, diphenylphosphoryl azide, triethylamine and 9,10-anthracenedicarboxylic acid were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd., Japan, Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., Japan or Aldrich, USA and were used without further purification. All compounds were synthesized as shown in Scheme S1.\textsuperscript{3,4}

Scheme S1. Synthesis of 9An, 2An and Py.
Sulfide

tert-dodecanethiol (9.9 mL, 41.6 mmol) and KOH (3.01 g, 53.8 mmol) were added to a solution of 1-chloro-4-nitrobenzene (4.99 g, 31.7 mmol) in dry DMF (50 mL). The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at 100°C for 10 h and then the solvent was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The solid residue was taken up with 2N HCl (50 mL) and CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (50 mL). The organic layer was separated, washed with water up to neutrality, dried with Na$_2$SO$_4$ and the solvent completely evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the oily residue by column chromatography (hexane/dichloromethane = 5:1) yielded red oily liquid (7.03 g, 69%). $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 270 MHz): $\delta$ = 0.80-1.60 (m, 25H), 7.60-7.66 (m, 2H), 8.12-8.14 (m, 2H).

Sulfone

A solution of $m$-chloroperbenzoic acid (13.65 g, 51.4 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (100 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of Sulfide (6.56 g, 20.17 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (100 mL), maintained at 0°C through an external ice bath. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h, and then washed in turn with a saturated aqueous solution of Na$_2$CO$_3$ and with a saturated aqueous solution NaHCO$_3$. The separated organic layer was dried with Na$_2$SO$_4$ and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give red oily liquid (6.12 g, 86%). $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz): $\delta$ = 0.87-1.67 (m, 25H), 8.05-8.08 (m, 2H), 8.37-8.42 (m, 2H).

Amine

SnCl$_2$·2H$_2$O (19.67 g, 87.1 mmol) was added to a solution of Sulfone (6.12 g, 17.2 mmol) in ethanol (100 mL). The resulting heterogeneous solution was refluxed whilst stirring for 3 h and then the solvent was completely removed under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up with 1N aqueous solution of NaOH (90 mL) and extracted with chloroform. The separated organic phase was dried with Na$_2$SO$_4$ and the solvents evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure to give oily liquid (2.83 g, 51%). $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 270 MHz): $\delta$ = 0.86-1.62 (m, 25H), 4.16 (s, 2H), 6.70-6.73 (d, $J$ = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.61-7.63 (d, $J$ = 8.2 Hz, 2H).

9An

To a two-neck round-bottom flask (100 mL) were added 9-anthracenecarboxylic acid (451 mg, 2.01 mmol), toluene (20 mL), triethylamine (324 μl, 2.45 mmol), and diphenylphosphoryl azide (585 μl, 2.34 mmol). After the mixture was stirred for 30 min at 80°C, Amine (543 mg, 1.67 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added, and the mixture was refluxed for 4 h. The residue was completely evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the oily residue by column chromatography (ethyl acetate: hexane = 1:3), gave light yellow solid (376 mg, 41%). $^1$H NMR (DMSO-d$_6$, 400 MHz): $\delta$ 0.85-1.58 (m, 25H), 7.52-7.60 (m, 4H), 7.67-7.77 (m, 4H), 8.12-8.20 (m, 4H), 8.61 (s, 1H), 8.96 (s, 1H), 9.07 (s, 1H), 9.40 (s, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (DMSO-d$_6$, 400 MHz): $\delta$ 8.78, 12.48, 14.40, 14.72, 15.05, 20.79, 22.90, 29.67, 62.52, 65.40, 66.24, 117.8, 124.2, 126.0, 126.2, 126.5, 128.0, 128.9, 129.2, 129.6, 131.6, 131.8, 145.8, 154.4. Anal. Caled. for C$_{33}$H$_{40}$N$_2$O$_3$S: C, 72.76; H, 7.40; N, 5.14. Found: C, 72.89; H, 7.47; N, 5.11.

2An

4
To a two-neck round-bottom flask (100 mL) were added 2-anthracenecarboxylic acid (403 mg, 1.81 mmol), toluene (15 mL), triethylamine (215 μL, 1.55 mmol), and diphenylphosphoryl azide (525 μL, 2.10 mmol). After the mixture was stirred for 30 min at 80°C, Amine (503 mg, 1.54 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added, and the mixture was refluxed for 4 h. The residue was completely evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the oily residue by column chromatography (ethyl acetate: hexane = 2:3), gave light yellow solid (167 mg, 20%). ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz): δ 0.80-1.60 (m, 25H), 7.43-7.52 (m, 3H), 7.75-7.79 (m, 4H), 8.02-8.07 (m, 3H), 8.31 (s, 1H), 8.44 (s, 1H), 8.50, (s, 1H), 9.17 (s, 1H), δ 9.40 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz): δ 7.25, 8.79, 11.10, 14.36, 14.67, 18.91, 19.59, 20.87, 27.58, 46.08, 57.63, 112.9, 117.9, 121.4, 122.5, 125.1, 125.3, 126.1, 126.4, 128.1, 128.6, 128.7, 129.5, 130.7, 131.6, 132.2, 132.3, 136.7, 145.0, 152.7. Anal. Calcd. for C₃₃H₄₀N₂O₃S: C, 72.76; H, 7.40; N, 5.14. Found: C, 72.69; H, 7.35; N, 5.42.

Py

To a two-neck round-bottom flask (50 mL) were added 1-pyrenecarboxylic acid (297 mg, 1.21 mmol), toluene (15 mL), triethylamine (180 μl, 1.30 mmol), and diphenylphosphoryl azide (270 μl, 1.26 mmol). After the mixture was stirred for 30 min at 80°C, Amine (356 mg, 1.10 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added, and the mixture was refluxed for 3 h. The residue was completely evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the oily residue by column chromatography (ethyl acetate: hexane = 1:1), gave light yellow solid (376 mg, 41%). ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz): δ 0.70-1.59 (m, 25H), 7.78 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 4H), 8.40-8.42 (m, 3H), 8.27-8.37 (m, 5H), 8.56 (s, 1H), 9.38 (s, 1H), δ 9.72 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz): δ 10.61, 12.53, 18.57, 23.16, 23.37, 26.69, 29.76, 35.01, 37.83, 51.71, 59.95, 117.5, 117.8, 119.4, 121.1, 122.3, 124.5, 124.6, 125.0, 125.2, 125.6, 125.8, 126.4, 126.9, 127.6, 127.8, 128.0, 131.1, 131.5, 132.8, 153.3. Anal. Calcd. for C₃₅H₄₀N₂O₃S: C, 73.76; H, 7.40; N, 4.93; O, 8.44; S, 5.64. Found: C, 73.90; H, 6.88; N, 5.46.

9,10An was synthesized by past synthesis methods.⁵
Fig. S1. $^1$H NMR spectrum of **Sulfide** in CDCl$_3$ at 298 K.

Fig. S2. $^1$H NMR spectrum of **Sulfone** in CDCl$_3$ at 298 K.
Fig. S3. $^1$H NMR spectrum of Amine in CDCl$_3$ at 298 K.
Fig. S4. (a) $^1$H NMR spectrum of 9An in DMSO-$d_6$ at 298 K. (b) $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 9An in DMSO-$d_6$ at 298 K.
Fig. S5. (a) $^1$H NMR spectrum of 2An in DMSO-$d_6$ at 298 K. (b) $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 2An in DMSO-$d_6$ at 298 K.
Fig. S6. (a) $^1$H NMR spectrum of Py in DMSO-$d_6$ at 298 K. (b) $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of Py in DMSO-$d_6$ at 298 K.
Fig. S7. Changes in the absorption spectra of 2An in (a) DMSO (1.0 × 10⁻⁵ M), (b) THF (1.1 × 10⁻⁵ M), (c) MeCN (1.0 × 10⁻⁵ M) and (d) toluene (1.1 × 10⁻⁵ M) by the addition of TBAAc.
Fig. S8. Changes in the absorption spectra of Py in (a) DMSO (1.1 × 10^{-6} M), (b) THF (2.2 × 10^{-6} M), (c) MeCN (2.9 × 10^{-6} M) and (d) toluene (1.9 × 10^{-6} M) by the addition of TBAAc.
Fig. S9. Changes in the absorption spectra of 9,10An in (a) DMSO (6.2 × 10⁻⁶ M), (b) THF (7.1 × 10⁻⁶ M) and (c) MeCN (7.4 × 10⁻⁶ M) by the addition of TBAAc.
Fig. S10 $^1$H NMR spectra of (a) 9An, (b) 2An, (c) Py and (d) 9,10An in the presence (top) and absence (bottom) of TBAAc in THF-$d_8$.

Fig. S11 $^1$H NMR spectra of (a) 9An, (b) 2An, (c) Py and (d) 9,10An in the presence (top) and absence (bottom) of TBAAc in MeCN-$d_3$. 
Fig. S12. $^1$H NMR spectra of (a) $\text{9An}$, (b) $\text{2An}$ and (c) $\text{Py}$ in the presence (top) and absence (bottom) of TBAAc in toluene-$d_8$. 
Fig. S13. Theoretical fits to estimate association constants of 9An in (a) DMSO, (b) THF, (c) MeCN and (d) toluene.
Fig. S14. Theoretical fits to estimate association constants of 2An in (a) DMSO, (b) THF, (c) MeCN and (d) toluene.
Fig. S15. Theoretical fits to estimate association constants of Py in (a) DMSO, (b) THF, (c) MeCN and (d) toluene.
Fig. S16. Theoretical fits to estimate association constants of 9,10An in (a) DMSO ($K_{a1}$), (b) DMSO ($K_{a2}$), (c) THF ($K_{a1}$), (d) THF ($K_{a2}$), (e) MeCN ($K_{a1}$), and (f) MeCN ($K_{a2}$).
Fig. S17. Excitation spectra of (a) 9An in DMSO, (b) 9An in THF, (c) 9An in THF, (d) 9An in toluene, (e) 2An in DMSO, (f) Py in THF, (g) 9,10An in DMSO and (h) 9,10An in MeCN in the presence of TBAAc.
Fig. S18. Fluorescence spectra of 9An in the toluene, THF, ethyl acetate, CHCl₃, CH₂Cl₂, acetone, DMSO and MeCN in the presence of TBAAc.
Fig. S19. Changes in the fluorescence spectra of 2An in (a) DMSO (1.0 × 10^{-5} M), (b) THF (1.1 × 10^{-5} M), (c) MeCN (1.0 × 10^{-5} M) and (d) toluene (1.1 × 10^{-5} M) by the addition of TBAAc.
Fig. S20. Changes in the fluorescence spectra of Py in (a) DMSO ($1.1 \times 10^{-6}$ M), (b) THF ($2.2 \times 10^{-6}$ M), (c) MeCN ($2.9 \times 10^{-6}$ M) and (d) toluene ($1.9 \times 10^{-6}$ M) by the addition of TBAAc.
Fig. S21. Changes in the fluorescence spectra of 9,10An in (a) DMSO (6.2 × 10⁻⁶ M), (b) THF (7.1 × 10⁻⁶ M) and (c) MeCN (7.4 × 10⁻⁶ M) by the addition of low concentration (top) and high concentration (bottom) of TBAAc.
Fig. S22. Fluorescence decay of 9An monitored at 450 nm in (a) DMSO, (b) THF, (c) MeCN and (d) toluene.
Fig. S23. Fluorescence decay of $9\text{An}$ in the presence of TBAAc (3.0 mM) monitored at (a) 460 nm and (b) 640 nm in DMSO.

Fig. S24. Fluorescence decay of $9\text{An}$ in the presence of TBAAc (0.20 mM) monitored at (a) 450 nm and (b) 650 nm in THF.
Fig. S25. Fluorescence decay of $9\text{An}$ in the presence of TBAAc (0.20 mM) monitored at (a) 440 nm and (b) 630 nm in MeCN.

Fig. S26. Fluorescence decay of $9\text{An}$ in the presence of TBAAc (0.18 mM) monitored at (a) 440 nm and (b) 630 nm in toluene.
Fig. S27. Fluorescence decay of 2An monitored at 450 nm in (a) DMSO, (b) THF, (c) MeCN and (d) toluene.
Fig. S28. Fluorescence decay of Py monitored at 450 nm in (a) DMSO, (b) THF, (c) MeCN and (d) toluene.
Fig. S29. Fluorescence decay of $9,10\text{An}$ monitored at 450 nm in (a) DMSO, (b) THF and (c) MeCN.
Fig. S30. Fluorescence decay of $2\text{An}$ in the presence of TBAAc (1.0 mM) monitored at (a) 440 nm and (b) 630 nm in DMSO.
Fig. S31. Fluorescence decay of 2An in (a) THF (0.31 mM of TBAAc), (b) MeCN (0.21 mM of TBAAc) and (c) toluene (0.062 mM of TBAAc).
Fig. S32. Fluorescence decay of Py in the presence of TBAAc (1.2 mM) monitored at (a) 400 nm and (b) 530 nm in DMSO.

Fig. S33. Fluorescence decay of Py in the presence of TBAAc (6.8 mM) monitored at (a) 400 nm and (b) 530 nm in THF.
Fig. S34. Fluorescence decay of Py in the presence of TBAAc (0.42 mM) monitored at (a) 400 nm and (b) 530 nm in MeCN.

Fig. S35. Fluorescence decay of Py in the presence of TBAAc (0.41 mM) monitored at (a) 400 nm and (b) 530 nm in toluene.
Fig. S36. Fluorescence decay of 9,10An in the presence of TBAAc (0.30 mM) monitored at (a) 450 nm and (b) 630 nm in DMSO.

Fig. S37. Fluorescence decay of 9,10An in the presence of TBAAc (0.048 mM) monitored at (a) 440 nm and (b) 600 nm in THF.
Fig. S38. Fluorescence decay of $\text{9,10An}$ in the presence of TBAAc (0.031 mM) monitored at (a) 460 nm and (b) 640 nm in MeCN.
Fig. S39. Fluorescence spectra for 9An. Normal (blue line), tautomer (red line) and normal and tautomer (black bold line) in (a) toluene, (b) THF, (c) ethyl acetate, (d) CHCl₃, (e) CH₂Cl₂, (f) acetone, (g) DMSO and (h) MeCN separated by subtraction.
Fig. S40. Fluorescence spectra for 9,10An. Normal (blue line), tautomer (red line) and normal and tautomer (black bold line) in (a) DMSO, (b) THF, and (c) MeCN separated by subtraction.
Fig. S41. Theoretical fits to estimate association constants of (a) 9An in DMSO, (b) 9An in THF, (c) 9An in toluene, (d) 2An in THF, (e) 2An in toluene, (f) Py in MeCN, (g) Py in THF and (h) Py in toluene from the UV-vis titrations by the equation (a)

\[
\frac{1}{A - A_0} = \frac{1}{A_{\text{max}} - A_0} \left( \frac{1}{K_a [A]} + 1 \right)
\]

where \(A_0\) and \(A\) are the absorbances of the host in the absence and presence of TBAAc, respectively, \([A]\) is the total TBAAc concentration, and \(A_{\text{max}}\) is the absorbance of host under full association with TBAAc.
Table S1. Fluorescence quantum yields (Φ<sub>Fl</sub>) and lifetimes (τ) of 9An, 2An, Py and 9,10An in DMSO, MeCN, THF and toluene

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>9An</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>2An</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ&lt;sub&gt;Fl&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|       | Py        |          |          | 9,10An    |          |          |
|       | DMSO      | MeCN     | THF      | toluene   | DMSO     | MeCN     | THF      |
| τ<sup>a</sup> | 4.48      | 8.98     | 6.41     | 13.0 (0.34)<sup>b</sup> | 3.81     | 1.78     | 2.40     |
| Φ<sub>Fl</sub> | 0.62      | 0.53     | 0.64     | 0.49      | 0.28     | 0.11     | 0.17     |

<sup>a</sup> ns, <sup>b</sup> Normalized amplitudes
Table S2. Lifetimes of 9An, 2An, Py and 9,10An in the presence of TBAAc in various solvents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DMSO</th>
<th>MeCN</th>
<th>THF</th>
<th>toluene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \tau^a )</td>
<td>Amp(^b)</td>
<td>( \tau^a )</td>
<td>Amp(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda ) / nm</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9An</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2An</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,10An</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) ns, \(^b\)Normalized amplitude
Kinetic Analysis of Excited-state Intermolecular Proton-transfer Reaction

From the above discussion of the kinetics of the tautomer, we propose kinetics involving tautomer formation and deactivation as shown in Scheme 2. The rate constant $k_{N^*}$ indicates the deactivation rate constant of $N^*$ including radiative and nonradiative processes. The formation rate constant of $T^*$ is $k_{PT}$ and its reverse proton transfer process is $k_{−PT}$. The deactivation process of $T^*$ to the ground state is $k_{T^*}$, which includes radiative and nonradiative processes, as in $k_{N^*}$. The differential rate equations for change of concentrations of complex referred to as urea...AcO− and tautomeric form in the excited state can be expressed as follows: 6-13

$$\frac{d[N^*]}{dt} = -(k_{N^*} + k_{PT}) \times [N^*] + k_{−PT} \times [T^*] \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{d[T^*]}{dt} = -(k_{T^*} + k_{−PT}) \times [T^*] + k_{PT} \times [N^*] \quad (2)$$

The integration of equation (1) and (2) with given initial conditions at $t = 0$, $[N^*] = [N^*]_0$ and $[T^*] = [T^*]_0 = 0$ gives equations (3) and (4) for $[N^*]$ and $[T^*]$: 13

$$[N^*] = \frac{[N^*]_0 \times [(X−\gamma_2)exp(−\gamma_1 t)−(X−\gamma_1)exp(−\gamma_2 t)]}{\gamma_1−\gamma_2} \quad (3)$$

$$[T^*] = \frac{k_{PT}[N^*]_0 \times [exp(−\gamma_2 t)−exp(−\gamma_1 t)]}{\gamma_1−\gamma_2} \quad (4)$$

where

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{X+Y+\sqrt{(X−Y)^2+4k_{PT}k_{−PT}}}} \quad (5)$$

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{X+Y−\sqrt{(X−Y)^2+4k_{PT}k_{−PT}}}} \quad (6)$$

$$X = k_{N^*} + k_{PT}, \quad Y = k_{T^*} + k_{−PT} \quad (7)$$

$$\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = X + Y = k_{N^*} + k_{T^*} + k_{PT} + k_{−PT} \quad (8)$$

An iterative fitting method was applied to the deconvoluted decay curve to determine the four unknown rate constants, which are correlated with eq. 8, in the presence of TBAAc, according to a previously reported calculation method.14 The Gibbs free energy change, $\Delta G^*$, which is singlet energy gap between normal and tautomer form and equilibrium constant ($K_{eq}$) for the normal and the tautomer in the excited state at 298K were estimated as follows:15,16

$$\Delta G^* = −RTlnK_{eq}, \quad K_{eq} = \frac{k_{PT}}{k_{−PT}} \quad (9)$$

These results of kinetic analysis are shown in table 3 and S3.
Table S3. Determined rate constants (s$^{-1}$) of 2An, Py and 9,10An in the presence of TBAAc

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate constants</th>
<th>2An</th>
<th>Py</th>
<th>9,10An</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k_a$ (s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$9.99 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>$1.36 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>$2.36 \times 10^8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_b$ (s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$1.07 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>$1.00 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$2.91 \times 10^8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{PT}$ (s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$2.59 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>$5.23 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>$2.99 \times 10^8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{-PT}$ (s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$1.60 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>$6.37 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>$2.60 \times 10^8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{PT}$</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta G^*$ (kJ/mol$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>-1.20</td>
<td>-5.21</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S4. Association constants of 9An, 2An and Py in DMSO, MeCN, THF and toluene from the UV-Vis titrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DMSO</th>
<th>MeCN</th>
<th>THF</th>
<th>toluene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9An</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2An</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>44.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$K_a / 10^3$ M$^{-1}$
Scheme S2. Reaction scheme of ESIPT for 2An in the presence of TBAAc.
Scheme S3. Reaction scheme of ESIPT for Py in the presence of TBAAc.
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