Electronic Supporting Information (ESI) for

Unveiling controlled breaking of the mirror symmetry of Eu(fod)₃ with α -/ β -pinene and BINAP by circularly polarised luminescence (CPL), CPL excitation, and ¹⁹F-/³¹P{¹H}-NMR spectra and Mulliken charges

Abd Jalil Jalilah,^{a,b} Fumio Asanoma^a and Michiya Fujiki^{a,*}

^a 8916-5 Takayama, Ikoma, Nara 630-0192, Japan, Division of Materials Science, Graduate School of Science and Technology, Nara Institute of Science and Technology.

^b Kompleks Pusat Pengajian Jejawi 2, Taman Muhibah, Jejawi 02600, Arau, Perlis, Malaysia, School of Materials Engineering, Universiti Malaysia Perlis.





Fig. S1. CPL/PL spectra of Eu(fod)₃ dissolved in neat (a) (*S*)-/(*R*)- α -pinene excited at 345 nm, (b) (*S*)-/(*R*)- β -pinene excited at 335 nm, (c) (*S*)-/(*R*)-limonene excited at 335 nm and (d) (*S*)-/(*R*)-trans-pinane excited at 330 nm.

File Name = ScFOD3_fac_MP2_6311G File Type = .log Calculation Type = SP Calculation Method = RMP2-FC Basis Set = 6-311G Charge = 0 Spin = Singlet E(MP2) = -4457.07894629 a.u. RMS Gradient Norm = a.u. Imaginary Freq = Dipole Moment = 11.7577 Debye Point Group = C3 Job cpu time: 0 days 22 hours 38 minutes 20.5 seconds.

File Name = ScFOD3_mer_MP2_6311G File Type = .log Calculation Type = SP Calculation Method = RMP2-FC Basis Set = 6-311G Charge = 0 Spin = Singlet E(MP2) = -4457.08648772 a.u. RMS Gradient Norm = a.u. Imaginary Freq = Dipole Moment = 6.4797 Debye Point Group = C1 Job cpu time: 0 days 22 hours 45 minutes 36.9 seconds.

Fig. S2. Brief log data of (top) *fac*- and (bottom) *mer*-Sc(fod)₃ as models of *fac*- and *mer*-Eu(fod)₃ in a vacuum, obtained with Gaussian 09 (rev.D.01)^{S1}

Ref. S1) Gaussian 09, Rev. D.01, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2013.



Fig. S3. CPL/PL spectra of Eu(fod)₃ in EtOH-free anhydrous chloroform excited at 335 nm.

Table S1. PL quantum yield, ϕ_{PL} , of Eu(fod)₃ in EtOH-free dehydrated chloroform, in neat (*S*)-/(*R*)- α -pinene, (*R*)-BINAP (1:1 nominal molar ratio in the chloroform), (*R*)-BINAPO (1:1 nominal molar ratio in the chloroform), (*S*)-BINAPO (1:1 nominal molar ratio in the chloroform).

Solvents	Quantum yield, ϕ_{PL} (%) ^{S2,S3}	
	${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{1}$ transition	${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{2}$ transition
chloroform	0.3	3.9
(1 <i>S</i>)- α -pinene	0.3	3.4
$(1R)$ - α -pinene	0.2	2.8
(<i>R</i>)-BINAP in chloroform	0.1	1.9
(<i>R</i>)-BINAPO in chloroform	0.6	7.5
(S)-BINAPO in chloroform	0.3	3.9

^{S2)} A. Wakamiya, K. Mori and S. Yamaguchi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 4273–4276.

^{S3)} J. R. Lakowicz, *Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy*, Springer, Heidelberg, 3rd edn, 2006.

The binding constant, *K*, can be obtained from the solution equilibria illustrated below:

$$EuFOD + P^* \stackrel{K}{\leftrightarrow} EuFOD \bullet P^* \quad eq \ 1$$
$$K = \frac{[EuFOD \bullet P^*]}{[EuFOD][P^*]} \qquad eq \ 2$$

where

$$[P^*] = [P^*]_0 - [EuFOD \bullet P^*]$$

[EuFOD] = [EuFOD]_0 - [EuFOD \bullet P^*] eq 3

considering that

 $[P^*]_0$ $\geq [EuFOD]_0$ eq 4

thus,

$$[P^*] = [P^*]_0$$
 eq 5

and K can be written as eq 6,

$$K = \frac{[EuFOD \bullet P^*]}{([EuFOD]_0 - [EuFOD \bullet P^*])[P^*]} \quad eq \ 6$$

The definition of g_{em} in concentration is given in eq 7,

$$g_{em}(apparent) = \frac{[EuFOD \bullet P^*]}{[EuFOD] + [EuFOD \bullet P^*]} \times g_{em}(EuFOD100\%)[EuFOD \bullet P^*] eq 7$$

since

$$[EuFOD]_0 = [EuFOD] + [EuFOD \bullet P^*]$$
 eq 8

the equation can be rewritten as eqs 9,

$$\frac{g_{em}(apparent)}{g_{em}(EuFOD \bullet P^*]^{-1}} = \frac{[EuFOD \bullet P^*]}{[EuFOD]_0} eq 9$$

From eqs 6 and 9, it can be arranged to eq10,

$$\frac{g_{em}(apparent)}{g_{em}(EuFOD100\%)} [EuFOD \bullet P^*]^{-1} = \frac{1}{K[P^*]} + 1 \quad eq \ 10$$

The fitting of *eq* 10 to the plots in Fig. 3 gives the *K* values when $1/[EuFOD \cdot P^*]$ versus $1/[P^*]$ is plotted. The calculated binding constants, *K* values, given by the fitting of the plots of Eu(fod)₃ in both (*S*)- and (*R*)- α -pinene are as low as $\approx 10^{-3}$.



Fig. S4. The fitting plots of $1/[\text{Eu}(\text{fod})_3//\alpha\text{-pinene}] \text{ M}^{-1}$ versus (a) $1/[(R)-\alpha\text{-pinene}]$ in M^{-1} and (b) $1/[(S)-\alpha\text{-pinene}]$ in M^{-1} associated with (c) the g_{em} value at 593 nm as a function of volume fraction of α -pinene in EtOH-free anhydrous chloroform.



Fig. S5. Semilog plot of the PL spectra of Eu(fod)₃ in chloroform (λ_{ex} 278 nm; 5.0 × 10⁻⁶ M) and in (*S*)-/(*R*)- α -pinene (λ_{ex} 317 nm; 5.0 × 10⁻⁵ M). Measurement conditions: 10 nm bandwidth for excitation; 1 nm bandwidth for emission; PMT in high-sensitivity mode; 1 second response time; 0.2 nm data interval; and one scan collected at a rate of 100 nm min⁻¹. Under these conditions, no stray light (556 nm and 634 nm) from the two gratings of the spectrometer and Raman band Stokes line (\approx 3000 cm⁻¹) by the chloroform solvent was observed.



Fig. S6. PL spectra (in cm⁻¹) of Eu(fod)₃ in (a) chloroform (λ_{ex} 278 nm, 35,970 cm⁻¹) and (b) in neat (*R*)- α -pinene (l_{ex} 317 nm, 31,450 cm⁻¹). In (b), the small bump at 28,400 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the Raman C–H vibration band of α -pinene. All data were taken from Fig. S5.



Fig. S7. CD and UV-vis spectra of Eu(fod)₃ in neat (*S*)-/(*R*)- α -pinene at 2.0 × 10⁻⁴ M (path length: 2.0 mm) obtained by subtracting the spectra of (*S*)-/(*R*)- α -pinene.



Fig. S8. CPL/PL spectra of Eu(dpm)₃ dissolved in neat (*S*)-/(*R*)- α -pinene excited at 345 nm (2.0 × 10⁻² M, path length: 2.0 mm).



Fig. S9. CD and UV-vis spectra of (a) Eu(fod)₃ with (*S*)-/(*R*)-BINAPO (1:1) and (b) (*S*)-/(*R*)-BINAP (1:1) at 5.0×10^{-5} M (path length: 1.0 mm).



Fig. S10. Variation in the g_{em} values at the ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{1}$ transition of Eu(fod)₃ as a function of (a) (*R*)-BINAP (1:1) and (b) (*R*)-BINAPO (1:1) ranging from [Eu(fod)₃]₀ = 10⁻⁴ and 10⁻² M. (c) Eu(fod)₃ in (*R*)-PEA at concentrations ranging from [Eu(fod)₃]₀ = 5 x 10⁻⁴ and 7.86 (neat) M.



Fig. S11. Comparison of the ¹⁹F-NMR spectra of Eu(fod)₃ in CDCl₃ and hexafluorobenzene (HFB) as a reference by using a single NMR tube and using a reference insert tube.



Fig. S12. ¹⁹F-NMR spectra and magnified regions of H-fod in CDCl₃.



Fig. S13. ¹⁹F-NMR spectra of $Eu(fod)_3$ with H-fod (1:1 molar ratio) in CDCl₃ and proposed square anti-prism (SAP)-like geometries (bottom left; pseudo-2-fold and bottom right; pseudo-4-fold symmetry) of $Eu^{3+}(fod^{-})_4$ with H⁺.



Fig. S14. A comparison of the ¹⁹F-NMR spectra of $Eu(fod)_3$ with (*S*)-BINAP (1:1, green line) and (*R*)-BINAP (1:1, red line) and without BINAP (green line) in CDCl₃. HFB was used as an internal standard in the same NMR tube.



Fig. S15. A comparison of the ¹⁹F-NMR spectra and magnified regions of the spectra of $Eu(fod)_3$ with (*S*)-BINAPO (1:1, green line) and (*R*)-BINAP (1:1, red line) and without BINAPO (green line) in CDCl₃. HFB was used as an internal standard in the same NMR tube.



Fig. S16. ³¹P{¹H}-NMR spectra of (*S*)-BINAPO (green line), Eu(fod)₃ with (*S*)-BINAPO (1:1, blue line) and Eu(fod)₃ with (*R*)-BINAPO (1:1, red line) in CDCl₃. TMP was used as an internal standard in the same NMR tube for (*S*)-BINAPO and a reference insert was used for samples containing Eu(fod)₃.



Fig. S17. ³¹P{¹H}-NMR spectra of (*S*)-BINAP (green line), Eu(fod)₃ with (*S*)-BINAP (1:1) in CDCl₃ (blue line) and (*R*)-BINAP (1:1) in CDCl₃ (red line). TMP was used as an internal standard in the same NMR tube for (*S*)-BINAP and a reference insert was used for samples containing Eu(fod)₃.



Fig. S18. A comparison of the ¹⁹F-NMR spectra and magnified regions of the spectra of $Eu(fod)_3$ in neat (*S*)-PEA (blue line), with (*R*)-PEA (1:1, red line) in CDCl₃ and in pure CDCl₃ (green line). HFB was used as an external standard in a reference insert for neat solvent spectra, and as an internal standard for the sample in CDCl₃.



Fig. S19. A comparison of the ¹⁹F-NMR spectra of H-fod in neat (*S*)-PEA (green line) and with (*S*)-PEA (1:1, red line) in CDCl₃. HFB was used as an external standard in a reference insert for neat solvent spectra, and as an internal standard for the sample in CDCl₃.



Fig. S20. Mulliken charge distribution of *fac*-Sc(fod)₃ obtained with MP2 (6-311G).



Fig. S21. Mulliken charge distribution of mer-Sc(fod)₃ obtained with MP2 (6-311G).



Fig. S22. Mulliken charge distribution of Sc(dpm)₃ obtained with MP2 (6-311G).



Fig. S23. Mulliken charge distribution of Sc(hfa)₃ obtained with MP2 (6-311G).



Fig. S24. Mulliken charge distribution of (R)- α -pinene obtained with MP2 (6-311G).



Fig. S25. Mulliken charge distribution of (R)- β -pinene obtained with MP2 (6-311G).



Fig. S26. Mulliken charge distribution of (*R*)-trans-pinane obtained with MP2 (6-311G).



Fig. S27. Mulliken charge distribution of (*R*)-limonene obtained with MP2 (6-311G).



Fig. S28. Mulliken charge distribution of (*R*)-BINAP obtained with MP2 (6-311G).



Fig. S29. Mulliken charge distribution of (*R*)-BINAPO obtained with MP2 (6-311G).



Fig. S30. Mulliken charge distribution of (R)- α -PEA obtained with MP2 (6-311G).



Fig. S31. Mulliken charge distribution of H-fod obtained with MP2 (6-311G).





Fig. S32. Mulliken charge distribution of (*R*)-Phanephos obtained with MP2 (6-311G).



Fig. S33. Mulliken charge distribution of CH₃-(CH₃-Si-CH₂CH₂CF₃)₅-CH₃ obtained with MP2 (6-311G).



Fig. S34. Mulliken charge distribution of CHCl₃ obtained with MP2 (6-311G).

Fig. S35. Detailed measurement conditions of 19 F- and 31 P{H}-NMR.



Fig. S36. Raw elemental analysis data of the viscous residual oils after removal of volatile α -pinene from Eu(fod)₃ dissolved in (left) (*S*)- α -pinene and (right) (*R*)- α -pinene (samples were placed in a vacuum oven at 60 °C overnight (in Japanese)). The oils suggested that Eu(fod)₃ and α -pinene formed 1:1 adducts.