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Scheme S1 Schematic illustration of the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) in metallic nanostructures induced by the electric field of incident light.

Note: As shown in Scheme S1, when metallic nanostructures are illuminated by light with a wavelength far exceeding their size, the electron will distribute asymmetrically, which decreases on one side of the nanostructure and increases on the other side (i.e., polarization). During the process of electron density displacement, a Coulombic restoring force is established between the negative electrons and the positive nuclei, thereby leading to electron cloud oscillations relative to the ion core. When the photon frequency matches the natural frequency of the metal surface electrons oscillating, the photons are efficiently coupled with the resonant collective electrons and SPR occurs.51-53

Plasmonic metals are a class of fascinating materials with unique optical features characterized by their strong interaction with resonant photons and intense local electromagnetic field near the surface through the excitation of SPR.51-54 The most researched plasmonic metals are Au and Ag, which have distinct and well-defined SPR absorption peaks at ultraviolet-visible region.55, 56 For plasmonic metal-semiconductor composites, the SPR of metal component can boost the light harvesting and charge carrier migration of semiconductor.57-510 Nonplasmonic Pt or Pd nanoparticles (with size < 20 nm) usually have relatively small cross-sections for surface plasmon, thus always exhibiting broad extinction from the ultraviolet to short-wavelength of visible light, without observable peaks.511 In addition, nonplasmonic Pt or Pd nanoparticles with low Fermi levels and strong interaction with hydrogen have been recognized as both effective co-catalysts and active sites that can be combined with semiconductor for proton reduction reactions.510, 512, 513
Fig. S1 (a) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image, (b) transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image, (c) high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image and (d) selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern of Au nanorods (NRs). The inset of (a) shows structural model of Au NRs.

**Note:** From the SEM and TEM images in Fig. S1a and b, we can see that the resulting Au NRs have an average diameter of 29.0 nm and length of 92.5 nm with an aspect ratio of 3.2. The lattice fringes with a period of 0.20 nm can be well assigned to the (200) spacing of face-center cubic (fcc) Au (Fig. S1c). The SAED pattern (Fig. S1d) reflects the single crystalline structure of the Au NRs.
Fig. S2 (a) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image, (b) transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image, (c) high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image, (d) selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern and (e) elemental mapping results of Pt-tipped Au (Au-Pt). The inset of (a) shows structural model of Au-Pt.

Note: As shown in Fig. S2a-c, after the growth of Pt onto the tips of Au nanorods (NRs), the smooth ends of Au NRs become rough, while the surface at the side of Au NRs remains almost unchanged. The average diameter at the middle of Au-Pt is 29.1 nm, which is similar to blank Au NRs (Fig. S1a and b), and the average length is increased to 114.0 nm. These results suggest that Pt tends to anisotropically deposit at the two ends of Au NRs. The lattice fringes with period of 0.20 nm and 0.28 nm can be assigned to the (200) spacing of face-center cubic (fcc) Au and the (110) spacing of fcc Pt, respectively (Fig. S2c). The SAED pattern (Fig. S2d) reflects the single crystalline structure of the Au-Pt. Elemental mapping analysis on Au-Pt (Fig. S2e) shows that the Pt is located at the tips of Au NRs, further confirming the tip-coated morphology of Au-Pt.
**Fig. S3** (a) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image, (b and c) transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image, (d) selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern and (e) elemental mapping results of Pt-covered Au (Au@Pt). The inset of (a) shows the structural model of Au@Pt. The inset of (c) shows the high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image of Au@Pt.

**Note:** As indicated by the SEM and TEM images in **Fig. S3a-c**, the resulting bar-like Au@Pt nanorods (NRs) have an average diameter of 33.2 nm and length of 107.9 nm with an aspect ratio of 3.3, which are enclosed by the Pt shell. The lattice fringes with a period of 0.23 nm can be well assigned to the (111) spacing of face-center cubic (fcc) Pt (inset of **Fig. S3c**). The shell thicknesses is about 2-7 nm based on the calculated size increment of Au@Pt NRs contrast to Au NRs (**Fig. S1**). The SAED pattern (**Fig. S3d**) reflects the single crystalline structure of the Au@Pt NRs with well-defined fcc structures. The structure composition information of Au@Pt is further supported by the elemental mapping analysis as displayed in **Fig. S3e**, in which the Pt shell is isotropically deposited on the entire surface of the Au NRs.
Fig. S4 (a) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image, (b) transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image and (c) high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image of CdS deposited Au NRs (Au@CdS), (d) SEM image, (e) TEM image and (f) HRTEM image of CdS deposited Pt-tipped Au nanorods (Au-Pt@CdS), (g) SEM image, (h) TEM image, and (i) HRTEM image of CdS deposited Pt-covered Au NRs (Au@Pt@CdS). The insets of (a, d and g) show the corresponding structural models of the samples.
Fig. S5 (a and b) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images, (c) transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images and (d) high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image of blank CdS.

![SEM and TEM images of blank CdS](image)

Fig. S6 The spectrum of irradiation source (λ > 420 nm) used in the photocatalysis experiments.

![Irradiation spectrum](image)
Fig. S7 H\textsubscript{2} and benzaldehyde (BAD) production rates in photocatalytic conversion of benzyl alcohol (BA) under visible-near-infrared (Vis-NIR) light (λ > 420 nm) irradiation using (a) blank CdS, Au, and CdS deposited Au nanorods (Au@CdS) with different Au:CdS mass ratios, (b) blank Au-Pt and CdS deposited anisotropic Pt-tipped Au nanorods (Au-Pt@CdS) with different Au:Pt mass ratios, (c) blank Au@Pt and CdS deposited isotropic Pt-covered Au nanorods (Au@Pt@CdS) with different Au:Pt mass ratios and (d) Au-Pt@CdS and CdS supported Au-Pt NRs (Au-Pt/CdS) with the Au:Pt mass ratios at 5:1. The inset of (d) shows the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of Au-Pt/CdS. In (b-d), the Au:CdS mass ratios were fixed at 1:2. The numbers in brackets of (a-d) represent the corresponding mass ratios.
Fig. S8 (a-d) H₂ and aldehydes production rates in photocatalytic conversion of different aromatic alcohols (R-PhCH₂OH, R = OCH₃, CH₃, Cl and OH) under visible-near-infrared (Vis-NIR) light (λ > 420 nm) irradiation over CdS and metal-CdS hybrids. Error bars represent the standard deviation from three repeated measurements. The insets of (a-d) show the formulas for photocatalytic conversion of aromatic alcohols to aldehydes and H₂.
Table S1 Comparison of other similar coupled reaction systems for simultaneous H\textsubscript{2} and value-added chemicals production in the presence of different biomass-derived substrates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Activity (mmol g\textsuperscript{-1}h\textsuperscript{-1})\textsuperscript{a)}</th>
<th>Selectivity\textsuperscript{b)}</th>
<th>AQY</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Au-Pt@CdS</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>94.0%</td>
<td>19.3% at 450 nm</td>
<td>Benzyl alcohol</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au@Pt@CdS</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>94.6%</td>
<td>16.7% at 450 nm</td>
<td>Benzyl alcohol</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/PCN-777</td>
<td>ca. 0.3</td>
<td>99.0%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Benzylamine</td>
<td>S14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Zn\textsubscript{x}Cd\textsubscript{1-x}S</td>
<td>ca. 0.4</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>0.12% under white LED</td>
<td>5-hydroxymethyl furfural</td>
<td>S15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN\textsubscript{x−}NiP</td>
<td>ca. 0.8</td>
<td>99.0%</td>
<td>15% at 360 nm</td>
<td>4-methylbenzyl alcohol</td>
<td>S16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd-CdS-TiO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>99.0%</td>
<td>3.5% at 480 nm</td>
<td>Benzyl alcohol</td>
<td>S17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-CdS</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5-hydroxymethyl furfural</td>
<td>S18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>83.6%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>S19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-CdS</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>48% at 447 nm</td>
<td>2-propanol</td>
<td>S20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd-TiO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>92.0%</td>
<td>5.3% at 365 nm</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>S21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-CdS</td>
<td>169.7</td>
<td>66.8%</td>
<td>63.2% at 420 nm</td>
<td>Benzyl alcohol</td>
<td>S22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a) Referring to H\textsubscript{2} production rate; b) Referring to the selectivity for value-added oxidation product.}
Fig. S9 (a) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of used CdS deposited anisotropic Pt-tipped Au nanorods (Au-Pt@CdS). (b) X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of used and fresh Au-Pt@CdS. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra of (c) Cd 3d and (d) S 2p for used and fresh Au-Pt@CdS.

Note: To learn whether there are changes in the morphology, composition and structure of Au-Pt@CdS sample after reactions, the fresh and used photocatalysts were characterized by SEM, XRD and XPS. SEM image in Fig. S9a indicates a well maintained nanorod structure of the used Au-Pt@CdS. Furthermore, XRD patterns (Fig. S9b) of the samples reveal intact crystalline structure of hexagonal phases CdS for the composite after recycling photocatalytic reactions. The photostability of Au-Pt@CdS can also be deduced from the XPS data. In Fig. S9c and S9d, both Cd 3d and S 2p XPS spectra of used Au-Pt@CdS sample exhibit signatures attributed to the bulk CdS. The peak intensity and binding energy of Cd and S in the fresh and used samples are almost identical. In addition, there is no detectable Cd$^{2+}$ leaching in the reaction solution, as confirmed by inductively coupled plasma (ICP) detection analysis. The above results indicate no significant photocorrosion of CdS after long-term photocatalytic reaction. The photostability of Au-Pt@CdS could be ascribed to the efficient charge transfer and surface redox reactions.
Fig. S10 Transient absorption (TA) spectra of (a) CdS, (b) CdS deposited Au nanorods (Au@CdS) and (c) CdS deposited isotropic Pt-covered Au nanorods (Au@Pt@CdS) with time delays after 370 nm excitation.

Equation S1-3:

\[ I(t) = A_1 \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_1}\right) + A_2 \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_2}\right) \quad (1) \]

\[ \tau_A = \frac{A_1 \tau_1^2 + A_2 \tau_2^2}{A_1 \tau_1 + A_2 \tau_2} \quad (2) \]

\[ k_{ct} = \frac{1}{\tau_A} \quad (3) \]

Table S2 Transient absorption (TA) decay dynamics analysis for CdS and metal-CdS hybrids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>( A_1 ) (%)</th>
<th>( \tau_1 ) (ns)</th>
<th>( A_2 ) (%)</th>
<th>( T_2 ) (ns)</th>
<th>( T_A ) (ns)</th>
<th>( k_{ct} ) (ns(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CdS</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au@CdS</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au@Pt@CdS</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Pt@CdS</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. S11 (a) Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image shows the direct and multiple metal-semiconductor and metal-metal interfacial contact in CdS deposited anisotropic Pt-tipped Au nanorods (Au-Pt@CdS). (b) Schematic illustration of the energy band alignment and multichannel electrons transfer in Au-Pt@CdS heterostructures. CB and VB represent the conduction band and valence band, respectively.

Fig. S12 (a) Wavelength-control experiments under visible-near-infrared (Vis-NIR) light $\lambda > 570$ nm and $\lambda > 420$ irradiation over the optimal CdS deposited anisotropic Pt-tipped Au nanorods (Au-Pt@CdS) and (b) the corresponding $\text{H}_2$ production rate in photocatalytic conversion of benzyl alcohol (BA). The green area in (a) show the spectrum overlap between irradiation source (red curve) and absorbance of Au-Pt@CdS (green curve). (c) Transient absorption (TA) spectra of Au-Pt@CdS with time delays after 570 nm excitation.

Note: To investigate the possible impacts of hot electrons transfer from metal to semiconductor CdS on the photoactivity enhancement, we performed the control experiment over Au-Pt@CdS.
under long-wavelength Vis-NIR light (λ > 570 nm) irradiation (Fig. S12a). Under such conditions, the band-gap photoexcitation of semiconductor CdS can be prevented so that the hot electrons transfer effects of plasmonic Au-Pt in the composites are exclusively studied. It is seen from Fig. S12b that the Au-Pt@CdS hybrids show only trace photoactivity although it has the significant surface plasmon resonance (SPR) absorption of bimetallic Au-Pt, indicating that the effect of SPR-excited hot electrons injection from Au-Pt to CdS on the photoactivity enhancement is negligible. When we used the photon energy at 570 nm to excite the T-SPR of Au-Pt@CdS in TA measurement, the sample shows no signal corresponding to excitation and relaxation kinetics (Fig. S12c), which further confirms the absence of hot electrons transfer process. Thus, the SPR enhancement mechanisms should be interpreted in terms of electric field effect.

**Fig. S13** (a) Wavelength-control experiments under single ultraviolet (UV) light (λ = 365 nm) and dual-beam UV light with additional Vis-NIR light (λ > 570 nm) irradiation over CdS and the optimal CdS deposited anisotropic Pt-tipped Au nanorods (Au-Pt@CdS) and (b) the corresponding H₂ production rate in conversion of benzyl alcohol (BA). The green area in (a) show the spectrum overlap between irradiation source (red curve) and absorbance of Au-Pt@CdS (green curve). (c) H₂ production rates in photocatalytic conversion of BA under Vis-NIR light (λ > 420 nm) irradiation over Au-Pt@CdS and Pt-loaded CdS catalyst (Pt-CdS) with different Pt:CdS mass ratios. The numbers in brackets of (c) represent the corresponding mass ratios.

**Note:** Control experiments under UV light (λ = 365 nm) with additional Vis-NIR light (λ > 570 nm) illumination (Fig. S13a) were performed to further examine the contributions of the possible SPR excitation of Au-Pt to the photoactivity of Au-Pt@CdS. The λ = 365 nm light mainly induces the
band-gap excitation of semiconductor CdS, while the $\lambda > 570$ nm light matches the SPR absorption of bimetallic Au-Pt. As shown in Fig. S13b, the Au-Pt@CdS exhibits enhanced photoactivity as compared to bare CdS under single-beam UV light irradiation. Since the SPR of Au-Pt is weak under UV light irradiation,$^{533-535}$ the photoactivity enhancement of Au-Pt@CdS sample should be originated from the co-catalysts effect of Au-Pt that accept the photoinduced electrons from the deposited CdS.$^{533,534}$ In addition, no obvious change in $H_2$ evolution rate can be observed for blank CdS under dual-beam light irradiation as compared to single-beam UV light irradiation, indicating that the effect of secondary irradiation of $\lambda > 570$ nm light on $H_2$ formation is negligible for CdS. In contrast, the $H_2$ evolution rate over Au-Pt@CdS exhibits further enhancement under the secondary irradiation to drive the electric field enhancement. We also prepared nonplasmonic Pt-loaded CdS catalysts (Pt-CdS) through the well-reported photodeposition method.$^{522,530}$ The optimal $H_2$ production rate under Vis-NIR light irradiation over Pt-CdS sample is 10.1 $\mu$mol h$^{-1}$ (Fig. S13c), which is much lower than that over plasmonic Au-Pt@CdS (153.0 $\mu$mol h$^{-1}$). The above joint results signify that the promoted photoactivity of Au-Pt@CdS is induced by the synergistic coupling of the band-gap photoexcitation of semiconductor CdS with the electric field enhancement and the co-catalysts effect of Au-Pt bimetal.$^{530-532}$

Table S3 Charge carrier density ($N_D$) analysis for CdS and metal-CdS hybrids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>$N_D$ (10$^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CdS</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au@CdS</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au@Pt@CdS</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Pt@CdS</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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