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Methods

Synthesis and N-doping of ordered mesoporous graphite nanofiber (OMGNF-N)

A simplified method was used to obtain N-doped ordered mesoporous graphite nanofiber (OMGNF-N). This is by combining CVD with a sol-gel process containing a sol made of polyethylene glycol (PEG, as an inexpensive pore-forming agent and C source), urea (as an inexpensive N source), magnesium nitrate hexahydrate, and Cobalt(II) acetate tetrahydrate. The gel precursor was first prepared by dissolving Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (1.0 g), Co(Ac)$_2$·4H$_2$O (Ac = acetate) (1.0 g), urea (2.0 g) and PEG (2.5 g) in 10 mL ethanol under vigorous stirring, followed by gelation at 30 °C for 8 h and heating at 80 °C for 4 h. OMGNF-N was grown on the resulting xerogel at 1,000 °C under a gas mixture (Ar:CH$_4$:NH$_3$=300:10:100 sccm) flow in an induction furnace. The extremely ordered hierarchical porous graphite nanofiber was harvested after the acidic etching of the sample by a hot concentrated HNO$_3$ solution to remove Co/MgO. The N content was also adjusted by changing the amount of urea. For example, the mass ratio of PEG:urea =1:1 enabled us to obtain OMGNF-N containing 6.9% N; if the ratio increases to 1:2, the content of nitrogen increases to 9.4%.

Characterization of materials

Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images were obtained using an S-4800 field emission scanning electron microscope (Hitachi, Japan) operating at 10 kV. The transmission electron microscope (TEM) images were obtained using a FEI Titan G$_2$ 60-300 operating at 80 kV. Low angle X-ray diffraction (θ-2θ scan) to determine the superstructure of MBGNTs and MBGNTs-N was performed on a Brucker D8 powder X-ray diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation. Raman spectra were recorded with a Renishaw RM-1000 Micro Raman Spectrometer. Investigations of
chemical compositions were performed using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Physical Electronics PHI 5600). N₂ sorption analysis was conducted on an ASAP2020 accelerated surface area and porosimetry instrument (Micromeritics), equipped with automated surface area, at 77 K using Barrett–Emmett–Teller (BET) calculations for the surface area. The pore size distribution (PSD) plot was recorded from the adsorption branch of the isotherm based on the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method.

**Electrochemical measurements**

Electrochemical experiments were performed in several configurations and electrolytes. For aqueous electrolytes, 1.0 M H₂SO₄ (pH 0), 2.0 M Li₂SO₄ (pH 0.5, 1.8, 9.2), and 6.0 M KOH (pH 14) solutions were used. In three-electrode cells, in addition to the working electrode of active material, platinum as the counter electrode and Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode were used. In symmetric cells, two identical (by weight, size and composition) active-material electrodes were used as cathode and anode. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) tests and galvanostatic charge–discharge (CC) tests were performed using an electrochemical analyzer, CHI 660E, under ambient conditions. Electric impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed with an amplitude of 10 mV, from 10 mHz to 100 kHz.

Symmetric devices with two identically configured electrodes were also packaged. Since the electrodes were highly conductive, they were directly connected to the electrochemical analyzer by alligators without any current collector other than the 3D graphene foam that was an integral part of the electrode construction. After inserting an ion-porous separator (Celgard 3501) between the two electrodes, the electrode/electrolyte assembly was wrapped within a Kapton tape seal to complete the package.
The specific capacitance (C for CV curves and Cs for GCD curves, Cs1 for three-electrode and Cs2 for two-electrode configuration), the energy density (E) and the power density (P) of these cells were calculated using the following equations:

a. the calculation of specific capacitance by CV and GCD curves in three-electrode configuration:

\[
C = \frac{\int I dv}{S \cdot \Delta V \cdot m} \quad (1)
\]

\[
C_{s1} = \frac{i}{m \cdot (dV/dt)} \quad (2)
\]

Where I (A) is the discharge current, S (V s\(^{-1}\)) is the scan rate, dV/dt is the slope of the discharge curve (V s\(^{-1}\)), m (g) is the mass of the single working electrode, and \(\Delta V\) (V) denotes the voltage change excluding the IR drop during the discharge process.

b. the calculation of specific capacitance by CV and GCD curves in two-electrode configuration:

\[
C = \frac{\int I dv}{S \cdot \Delta V \cdot m} \quad (3)
\]

\[
C_{s2} = \frac{2i}{m \cdot (dV/dt)} \quad (4)
\]

c. the calculation of energy density (E) and power density (P):

\[
E = \frac{C_{s2} \cdot \Delta V^2}{2 \cdot 3.6} \quad (5)
\]

\[
P = \frac{E \cdot 3600}{\Delta t} \quad (6)
\]
Where $I$ (A) is the discharge current, $S$ (V s$^{-1}$) is the scan rate, $dV/dt$ is the slope of the discharge curve (V s$^{-1}$), $\Delta t$ (s) is the discharge time, $m$ (g) is the mass of the single working electrode, and $\Delta V$ (V) denotes the voltage change excluding the IR drop during the discharge process.
**Figure S1.** Typical SEM images of the OMGNF-N (A-D). Typical TEM images of the OMGNF-N (E-F) with the inset in (E) showing the corresponding EDS spectrum. (G) HRTEM image of the OMGNF-N.
Figure S2. Properties of OMGNF and OMGNF-N. (A) N$_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherms. All exhibit typical Langmuir hysteresis indicating presence of well-defined mesopores. (B) Raman spectra.
Figure S3. (A) XPS spectra over a wide range of binding energies of OMGNF, and OMGNF-N. (B) The fine-scanned N 1s spectra of OMGNF-N.
Figure S4. Resistance of OMGNF, OMGNF-N-6.9, OMGNF-N-9.4, and OMGNF-N.
Figure S5. A) and B) CV and GCD curves for OMGNF tested in three-electrode configuration in 6.0 M KOH.
Figure S6. OMGNF-N tested in three-electrode configuration in 6.0 M KOH. (A) CV curves for scan rates of from 10 to 100 mV s\(^{-1}\) for OMGNF-N-9.4. (B) GCD curves under different constant currents for OMGNF-N-9.4. (C) CV curves for scan rates of from 10 to 100 mV s\(^{-1}\) for OMGNF-N-6.9. (D) GCD curves under different constant currents for OMGNF-N-6.9.
Figure S7. Performances of OMGNF-N with the different area mass loading in three-electrode configuration in 6.0 M KOH. (A) and (B) CV and GCD curves of OMGNF-N with the area mass loading of 0.6 mg cm\(^{-2}\). (C) and (D) CV and GCD curves of OMGNF-N with the area mass loading of 0.8 mg cm\(^{-2}\).
**Figure S8.** CV curves of OMGNF-N with different area mass loading at the scan rate of 5 mV s$^{-1}$ in three-electrode configuration in 6.0 M KOH.
Figure S9. OMGNF-N tested in three-electrode configuration in different electrolyte. (A) CV curves at scan rates of from 10 to 100 mV s$^{-1}$ in 1.0 M H$_2$SO$_4$. (B) GCD curves under different constant currents in 1.0 M H$_2$SO$_4$. (C) CV curves at the scan rates of from 10 to 100 mV s$^{-1}$ in 1.0 M KCl. (D) GCD curves under different constant currents 1.0 M KCl. (E) CV curves at 10 mV s$^{-1}$ of OMGNF in 1.0 M H$_2$SO$_4$ and 1.0 M KCl electrolytes, showing nearly identical capacitance.
Figure S10. A) Deconvoluted N 1s spectra of OMGNF-N before and after 50000 cycles. B) Concentration distribution of nitrogen groups before and after 50000 cycles.
Figure S11. Performances of OMGNF-N tested in two-electrode configuration in H₂SO₄ electrolyte. A) CV curves. B) Specific capacitance at different current densities.
Figure S12. Performances of OMGNF-N tested in two-electrode configuration in KCL electrolyte. A) CV curves. B) Specific capacitance at different current densities.
Table S1. N content in OMGNF-N samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>N (at.%)</th>
<th>%N-6†</th>
<th>%N-5†</th>
<th>%N-Q†</th>
<th>%N-5+</th>
<th>%N-6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OMGNF-N</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>11.73</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>14.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMGNF-N-9.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>8.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMGNF-N-6.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† %N-6, %N-5, and %N-Q are the percentage of “pyridinic” N (N-6), “pyrrolic” N (N-5), and “graphitic” N (N-Q) in OMGNF-N, respectively; see a schematic of these nitrogen locations in Fig. 1B.
Table S2: Selected N-doped carbon based structure for SCs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>N level (at %)</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Capacitance (F g⁻¹), Test configuration (2 or 3-electrode)</th>
<th>E (Wh kg⁻¹) /P (W kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3D honeycomb-like porous carbon</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>KOH</td>
<td>68 at 0.2 A g⁻¹, 2 300 at 0.5 A g⁻¹, 3</td>
<td>9.4/96</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porous NG/CNTs</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>KOH</td>
<td>247 at 0.5 A g⁻¹, 3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porous N-rich carbon composite</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>KOH</td>
<td>240 at 0.1 A g⁻¹, 3</td>
<td>7.07/22.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-rGO/MWCNTs/NF</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>KOH</td>
<td>142 at 1 A g⁻¹, 2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crumpled nanosheets</td>
<td>NG 9.96</td>
<td>KOH</td>
<td>245.9 at 5 mV s⁻¹, 3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-CNF/rGO/bacterial cellulose</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>KOH</td>
<td>263 at 0.125 A g⁻¹, 3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H₂SO₄</td>
<td>318 at 0.125 A g⁻¹, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG hydrogel</td>
<td>9.64</td>
<td>KOH</td>
<td>190.1 at 10 A g⁻¹, 2</td>
<td>23.8/9000</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice-templated NG</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>KOH</td>
<td>217 at 5 mV s⁻¹, 3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>porous carbon nanosheets</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>KOH</td>
<td>350 at 0.1 A g⁻¹, 2</td>
<td>22.8/198.8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>porous mycelium-derived activated carbon</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>H₂SO₄</td>
<td>190 at 1 A g⁻¹, 2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>porous few-layer carbon</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>H₂SO₄</td>
<td>340 at 0.5 A g⁻¹, 3</td>
<td>8.33/6000</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hierarchal carbon fiber web</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>H₂SO₄</td>
<td>298 at 1 A g⁻¹, 3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our work</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>KOH</td>
<td>426 at 1 A g⁻¹, 2 460 at 1 A g⁻¹, 3 420 at 1 A g⁻¹, 3 345 at 1 A g⁻¹, 3</td>
<td>15.1/36900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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