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Experimental section

Preparation of Ni$_2$P/NF.

Commercial Ni foam was washed in an ultrasonic machine with acetone, hydrochloric acid, ethanol, and deionized water for 10 min, respectively. After above treatments, three pieces of Ni foams were thermally phosphorization at 300 °C for 1 h with 100 mg of red phosphorus in a vacuum quartz tube.

Preparation of Fe$_2$O$_3$@Ni$_2$P/Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$/NF.

Commercial Ni foam was washed in an ultrasonic machine with acetone, hydrochloric acid, ethanol, and deionized water for 10 min, respectively. After above treatments, three pieces of Ni foams were dipped into an 0.15 M of Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$ and dried in fume hood at room temperature, and then thermally phosphorization at 300 °C for 1 h with 100 mg of red phosphorus in a vacuum quartz tube. The loading amount of Fe@Ni$_2$P/Ni(PO$_3$)$_2$ catalyst was ~1.2 mg cm$^{-2}$.

Preparation of Fe$_2$O$_3$/NF.

Commercial Ni foam was washed in an ultrasonic machine with acetone, hydrochloric acid, ethanol, and deionized water for 10 min, respectively. After above treatments, three pieces of Ni foams were dipped into 0.15 M of Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$ solution and dried in fume hood at room temperature, and then thermally treated at 300 °C for 1 h in a vacuum quartz tube.

Preparation of Ir/C/NF.

The mixture of 8 mg of Ir/C, 900 μL of Nafion (5%), and 100 μL of ethanol was ultrasonicated for 30 min, and then oscillated with oscillator obtain a uniform
dispersion. After the Ir/C dispersal was dipped onto the above treated Ni foam, the Ir/C/NF taster was gradually dried in fume hood. The loading amount of Ir/C catalyst was \( \sim 1.2 \) mg cm\(^{-2}\).

**Preparation of Pt/C/NF.**

The mixture of 8 mg of Pt/C, 900 \( \mu \)L of Nafion (5%), and 100 \( \mu \)L of ethanol was ultrasonicated for 30 min, and then oscillated with oscillator obtain a uniform dispersion. After the Pt/C dispersal was dipped onto the above treated Ni foam, the Pt/C/NF taster was gradually dried in fume hood. The loading amount of Pt/C catalyst was \( \sim 1.2 \) mg cm\(^{-2}\).

**Characterization.**

The morphology of samples was measured with Supra 55 field-emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM). The high-resolution TEM images of samples were attained using JEM-2100 high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (200 kV). X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were evaluated on the RIGAKU D/MAX 2550/PC X-ray diffractometer using Cu K\( \alpha \) radiation. Raman tests were measured on a LabRAM HR Evolution Laser Confocal Raman Microspectroscopy with the wavelength of 532 nm. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra were operated on Escalab 250Xi X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy using Al K\( \alpha \) radiation. All XPS spectra results were calculated after correction with C 1s peak at 284.8 eV.

**Electrochemical measurements**

The electrochemical test was measured in a three-electrode cell using 1.0 M KOH as the electrolyte. A Pt sheet (1 cm × 1 cm) was used as the counter electrode, and
Ag/AgCl electrode was used as reference electrode. The Ir/C/NF, Fe$_2$O$_3$/NF, Ni$_2$P/NF, and Fe$_2$O$_3$@Ni$_2$P/Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$/NF were analyzed as working electrodes directly. Before the measurements of electrochemical properties, these catalysts were activated with numerous cyclic voltammetry cycles until they were stable. The OER catalytic activity was gained by using linear sweep voltammetry with a scan rate of 5 mV s$^{-1}$. The Tafel slope was calculated from the above linear sweep voltammetry curve. The mechanical robustness and stability was studied through multiple-current steps, multiple-potential steps, and amperometric current-time assessment. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy was measured at potential of 1.55 V with frequency from 0.01 Hz to $10^5$ Hz. All of these measured potentials vs. Ag/AgCl electrode which were switched to the potentials vs. RHE using the Nernst equation: $E_{\text{RHE}} = E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} + 0.0591 \text{pH} + 0.098 \text{V}$. 
**Figure S1.** Polarization curves of Fe$_2$O$_3$@Ni$_2$P/Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$/NF hybrid with different amount of red phosphorus.
Figure S2. (a) FESEM image of NF, (b) EDX spectrum of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@Ni\textsubscript{2}P/Ni(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}/NF.
Figure S3. TEM image of Fe$_2$O$_3$@Ni$_2$P/Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$/NF.
Figure S4. XRD pattern of Fe$_2$O$_3$@Ni$_2$P/Ni(PO$_3$)$_2$/NF, inset: the enlarged XRD pattern of Fe$_2$O$_3$@Ni$_2$P/Ni(PO$_3$)$_2$/NF.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the 3D Fe$_2$O$_3$@Ni$_2$P/Ni(PO$_3$)$_2$/NF hybrid confirms the coexistence of Fe$_2$O$_3$, Ni$_2$P, and Ni(PO$_3$)$_2$. Besides two conspicuous peaks of metallic Ni, the main XRD peaks are exactly associated to those of the Fe$_2$O$_3$, Ni$_2$P, and Ni(PO$_3$)$_2$ (JCPDS 33-0664, 74-1385, and 28-0708), in which two weak peaks centered at 57.4 and 61.5° are related to the (0 1 8) and (1 1 4) planes of metallic Fe$_2$O$_3$, and three strong peaks centered at 40.6, 47.2 and 54.2° are related to the (1 1 1), (2 1 0) and (3 0 0) planes of Ni$_2$P, respectively. The remaining other XRD peaks are assigned to the Ni(PO$_3$)$_2$. 
Figure S5. XPS survey spectrum of Fe$_2$O$_3$@Ni$_2$P/Ni(PO$_3$)$_2$/NF hybrid.
Figure S6. High revolution O 1s XPS spectra of Fe$_2$O$_3$@Ni$_2$P/Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$/NF.
Figure S7. FESEM image of $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3@\text{Ni}_2\text{P}/\text{Ni(PO}_3\text{)}_2$/NF hybrid after OER process.
The XRD pattern of Fe$_2$O$_3$@Ni$_2$P/Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$/NF hybrid after OER stability test displays that the species of Fe$_2$O$_3$@Ni$_2$P/Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$/NF was changed from Fe$_2$O$_3$, Ni$_2$P and Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$ to the final FeOOH and NiOOH phases. The results revealed that the transformation of components in Fe$_2$O$_3$@Ni$_2$P/Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$/NF hybrid was occurred during OER process, in which the Fe$_2$O$_3$ and Ni$_2$P/Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$ species could be converted into active NiOOH and FeOOH species under alkaline condition, respectively, acting as the active phases during OER process and leading to the high performance of Fe$_2$O$_3$@Ni$_2$P/Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$/NF.
Figure S9. XPS survey spectrum of Fe$_2$O$_3$@Ni$_2$P/Ni(PO$_3$)$_2$/NF hybrid after OER process.
Figure S10. (a) High-revolution Ni 2p, (b) Fe 2p, (c) P 2p, and (d) O 1s XPS spectra of Fe$_2$O$_3$@Ni$_2$P/Ni(PO$_3$)$_2$/NF after OER process.
Figure S11. ECSAs of (a) \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3@\text{Ni}_2\text{P}/\text{Ni}(\text{PO}_3)_2/\text{NF} \), (b) \( \text{Ni}_2\text{P}/\text{NF} \), and (c) \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{NF} \).
Figure S12. (a-b) FESEM images of Ni₂P/NF, and (c) EDX spectrum of the Ni₂P/NF.
Figure S13. (a) FESEM image of Ni$_2$P/NF, and (b-c) elemental mappings of Ni$_2$P/NF.
Figure S14. (a) The XPS survey spectrum of Ni$_2$P; high-resolution (b) O 1s and (c) P 2p XPS spectra of Ni$_2$P.
Figure S15. XRD pattern of Ni$_2$P/NF.
**Figure S16.** Raman spectrum of Fe$_2$O$_3$/NF.
Figure S17. In situ Raman spectra of Fe$_2$O$_3$/NF during the OER process.
Figure S18. Polarization curves of Fe₂O₃@Ni₂P/Ni(PO₃)₂/NF, Fe(Ac)₃/NF-P, and Fe₂(SO₄)₃/NF-P.

Formation mechanism.

A possible mechanism toward the growth of 3D Fe₂O₃@Ni₂P/Ni(PO₃)₂/NF hybrid is unveil by combine above characterization results. It is based on two specific chemical reactions which should take place concurrently in this vacuum environment as below:

\[
4 \text{Fe(NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O} = 2 \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + 12 \text{NO}_2 + 3 \text{O}_2 + 36 \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (300 \, ^\circ\text{C}) \quad (1)
\]

\[
12 \text{Ni} + 6 \text{O}_2 + 9 \text{P} = 5 \text{Ni}_2\text{P} + 2 \text{Ni(PO}_3)_2 \quad (300 \, ^\circ\text{C}) \quad (2)
\]

First, the NF substrate soaked with Fe(NO₃)₃ solution, and red phosphorus powder were heated in a vacuum quartz tube at 300 °C, in which the heat drives the Fe(NO₃)₃ 9 H₂O to form the Fe₂O₃, O₂, NO₂, and H₂O (equation (1)). Then, Ni₂P and Ni(PO₃)₂ can be obtained at 300 °C via an ingenious disproportionation reaction based on equation (2), which is quite unusual compared with the situation in those previous reports, in which either Ni(PO₃)₂ or Ni₂P was generate.²,³

The existence of O₂ was required for the formation of Ni₂P and Ni(PO₃)₂. To clarify
this point, the thermal phosphorization strategy was further extended to synthesize the control samples by changing anion of iron solution (anion = NO$_3^-$, Ac$^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$) with the same experimental conditions (Figure S18). Among these synthesized samples (Fe$_2$(SO$_4$)$_3$/NF-P, Fe(Ac)$_3$/NF-P, and Fe$_2$O$_3$@Ni$_2$P/Ni(PO$_3$)$_2$/NF), the 3D Fe$_2$O$_3$@Ni$_2$P/Ni(PO$_3$)$_2$/NF hybrid exhibits the best OER performance, which can be attributed to the formation of both Ni$_2$P and Ni(PO$_3$)$_2$. 
Figure S19. The multi-potential steps curve for Fe$_2$O$_3$@Ni$_2$P/Ni(PO$_3$)$_2$/NF.
Table S1. OER activities of representative benchmark electrocatalysts in alkaline solution in terms of the potential to achieve a current density of 100, 500, and 1000 mA cm$^{-2}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Tafel slope (mV dec$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Potential vs. RHE(V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100 mA cm$^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3@$Ni$_2$P/Ni(PO$_3$)$_2$ (in this work)</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(PO$_3$)$_2$/Ni$_2$P</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeP/Ni$_2$P</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni$_{11}$(HPO$_3$)$_8$(OH)$_6$</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Fe$<em>x$Ni$</em>{1-x}$)$_2$P</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–Ni$_2$P/Fe$_2$P–O</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co–S$<em>5$–P$</em>{42}$</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>anode slurry</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeCoNiP</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoNiP</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeCoP</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeNiP</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoP</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Solution</td>
<td>Electrode</td>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>Overpotential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiP&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeP&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoP&lt;sub&gt;11&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;S&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>CFP</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Co&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;13&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.57&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reference