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Part I: Experimental Section

1.1 Materials synthesis

Ni-CPs Crystals

Typically, a mixture of 4,4’-Bipyridine (0.11g), Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (0.586g) and H₂O (5ml) was mixed in a 23 ml Teflon, which was heated at 130 °C for 2 days and then cooled to room temperature. The blue crystals (Ni-CPs) were obtained.

few-layer Ni-CPs nanosheets (Ni-CPNS)

The fresh bulk Ni-CPNS were fabricated by a liquid-phase exfoliation using an ultrasonic probe in absolute ethanol. In detail, the fresh bulk Ni-CPs crystals (50 mg) was added in ethanol, and ultra-sonicated for 8 hours. Subsequently, the dispersion was centrifuged to collect the supernatant.

CdS nanoparticle (Cd NP)

CdS nanoparticle was synthesized by a precipitation-hydrothermal strategy. For example, 1.09 g Cd(Ac)₂·2H₂O was added into 23.5 ml deionized water followed by stirring for 2 hour. Then 6.5 ml 0.92 M Na₂S aqueous solution was added dropwise into the above solution, followed by stirring for 3 hours. Finally, the mixed solution was transferred to a 60 ml Teflon-lined autoclave and heated at 180 °C for 12 hours. Then it cooled to room temperature, the obtained yellow samples (Cd NP) were washed by deionized water and ethanol for three times, respectively.

Ni-CPNS coupled CdS nanoparticle (Ni-CPNS@CdS)

Ni-CPNS@CdS was obtained by mechanically mixing the Ni-CPNS ethanol solution with the CdS NP in a mortar inside a glovebox under the Argon gas conditions. Typically, 20 mg of as-synthesized CdS NP mixed Ni-CPNS (e.g.,3mg), which were added into the mortar and followed by addition ethanol solution. Then the sample a was mechanically ground for 20 min inside the glovebox. Finally, the nominal weight Ni-CPNS loaded on to CdS were 13 wt.% for the final product. The procedure for fabrication of different content Ni-CPNS loaded on to CdS (1.5, 5.7, 13,18 and 22.0 wt.%) was the same as that for 13 wt.%.

1.2 Main Physicochemical Characterization

All powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) analyses were studied by a Rigaku Dmax2500
a diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation. UV-Visible diffuse reflectance spectra were acquired on a UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Steady-state photoluminescence spectra and time-resolved PL decay curves were measured at room temperature. XPS was tested on a VG ESCALAB 210 XPS spectrometer system with Mg K source. The XANES measurements were carried out at the Australian Synchrotron.

### 1.3 Photocatalytic H₂ production test

The photocatalytic H₂ production were evaluated in a 100 ml Pyrex flask, followed the 300 W Xenon arc lamp, which equipped with a UV-cutoff filter (λ ≥ 420 nm) was used as the light source to drive the photocatalytic reaction.
Part II: Supplementary Results

**Fig. S1.** AFM image of ultra-thin Ni-CPNS (a) and the corresponding height profiles (b).

**Fig. S2.** The thickness of Ni-CPs single chain with ~4Å (a) or ~18Å corresponding to metal coordination layer and 4, 4’-bipyridine ligands, respectively.
**Fig. S3.** The PXRD patterns of Ni-CPs and Ni-CPNS.

**Fig. S4.** The typical TEM images of CdS nanoparticles.
Fig. S5. The XRD patterns of CdS and Ni-CPNS@CdS before and after reaction.

Fig. S6. XPS survey of Ni-CPNS@CdS.
Fig. S7. XPS spectra of the Ni 2p in the Ni-CPNS@CdS.
Fig. S8. The Zeta potential of the CdS (black line) and Ni-CPNS@CdS (blue line).

Fig. S9. The particle size distribution of the CdS (red line) and Ni-CPNS@CdS (blue line).

Fig. S10. AFM images of Ni-CPNS@CdS.
Fig. S11. SEM image of bulk Ni-CPs with CdS NPs after grinding.

Fig. S12. A comparison of the photocatalytic H₂-production activities of Ni-CPs (a: Ni-CPs), Ni-CPNS (b: Ni-CPNS), CdS (c) and co-catalysts (d: Ni-CPs@CdS; e: Ni-CPNS@CdS).
**Fig. S13.** Time course of $\text{H}_2$ production over Ni-CPNS@CdS under visible-light.

**Fig. S14.** SEM image of Ni-CPNS@CdS after photocatalyze $\text{H}_2$ evolution under visible-light.
Fig. S15. TEM image of Ni-CPNS@CdS after photocatalytic H₂ evolution under visible-light.

Fig. S16. Time course of H₂ production over Ni-CPNS@CdS over 20 hours test under visible light-driven catalytic processes.
Fig. S17. Photocurrent tests of CdS nanoparticle and Ni-CPNS@CdS.

Fig. S18. EIS plots of CdS nanoparticle, Ni-CPNS and Ni-CPNS@CdS.
**Fig. S19.** Mott-Schottky plots of CdS (blue line) nanoparticle and Ni-CPNS@CdS (black line).
Table S1. The hydrogen production comparison of this work with other photocatalytic Co-catalyst.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photocatalyst</th>
<th>Co-catalyst</th>
<th>H$_2$ production Rate (μmol h$^{-1}$ g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CdS/MoS$_2$-Graphene</td>
<td>MoS$_2$/Graphene</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>ACS Nano 2014, 8, 7078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-CPNS@CdS</td>
<td>Ni-CPNS</td>
<td>10210</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>