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1. Chemical synthesis

General part

Reactions containing air- or moisture-sensitive compounds were performed under argon atmosphere in oven-dried glassware using Schlenk techniques.

Chemicals were purchased from ABCR, Acros Organics, Alfa Aesar, Fluka, TCI, Fluorochem and Sigma Aldrich and used as received. Degassed K₂CO₃ solution (2N) was prepared by sonicating K₂CO₃ (13.8 g, 100 mmol) in H₂O (50 ml) for 10 min, 3 subsequent freeze-and-thaw cycles and bubbling argon into the solution for 1 h.

Solvents for extraction or flash chromatography (FC) and NEt₃ were distilled before use. THF was freshly distilled from K, Et₂O from K/Na alloy prior use and CH₂Cl₂ from P₂O₅. Dry EtOH, DMF, MeOH and toluene were purchased from Acros Organics (Extra Dry over Molecular Sieve, AcroSeal).

Flash chromatography was performed on Merck silica gel 60 (40-63 μm) or Acros Organics silica gel (35-70 μm) with an excess argon pressure up to 0.5 bar applied. Merck silica gel 60 F254 plates were used for thin layer chromatography (TLC) using UV light (254/366 nm) for detection.

¹H-NMR (300 MHz and 500 MHz) and ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz and 126 MHz) measurements were carried out on a Bruker DPX 300 and an Agilent DD2 500 spectrometer respectively. The chemical shifts were referred to the solvent residual peak (¹H: δ = 7.26 ppm, ¹³C: δ = 77.16 ppm (CDCl₃) or ¹H: δ = 2.50 ppm, ¹³C: δ = 39.52 ppm (DMSO-d₆)). The multiplicity was described as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), br (broad), and m (multiplet) as well as its combinations.

All melting points (MP) were determined by a Stuart SMP10 and are uncorrected.

Infrared spectra (IR) were recorded by a Digilab 3100 FT-IR Excalibur Series spectrometer. The IR signals are listed as s (strong), m (medium) and w (weak) in cm⁻¹.

HR-ESI-MS (m/z) spectra were measured on a Bruker MicroTof, HR-APCI-MS (m/z) spectra were measured on a Thermo Fisher Scientific Orbitrap LTQ XL and EI-MS (m/z) spectra were measured on a Thermo Fisher Scientific TSQ 7000.
**General procedure for Suzuki-coupling of aryl boronic acid with aryl halides (GP1)**

The aryl halide (1.00 eq.), the according aryl boronic acid (1.0-3.0 eq.) and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (8.0-8.1 mol%) were dissolved in THF (0.5-30 ml) and aqueous K₂CO₃ solution (2 M, 0.05-2.5 ml) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 24-72 h: After cooling to RT it was added to water and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3x). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. After removing the solvents in vacuo, the crude product was purified by FC or recrystallization.
1,3,5-Tris(4-bromophenyl)benzene (7)

According to a procedure reported in the literature,1 4'-bromoacetophenone (3.98 g, 20.0 mmol, 1.00 eq.) was dissolved in ethanol (6 ml) and SOCl₂ (2.40 ml, 33.0 mmol, 1.64 eq.) was added dropwise while stirring. The resulting mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 2 h. After cooling to RT and the addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution (50 ml), the precipitate was isolated and washed with water (20 ml) and ethanol (20 ml). The purification by FC (pentane/CH₂Cl₂ 10:1) yielded triphenylbenzene 7 as a pale yellow solid (578 mg, 1.06 mmol, 16%).

**¹H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 293 K): δ = 7.69 (s, 3H, Aryl-H), 7.61 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 6H, Aryl-H), 7.53 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 6H, Aryl-H). **¹³C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 293 K): δ = 141.7 (C), 139.8 (C), 132.2 (CH), 129.0 (CH), 125.1 (CH), 122.3 (C). **MS** (EI): m/z = [546.6 (8), 545.7 (28), 544.9 (14), 543.7 (100), 542.7 (16), 541.7 (91), 540.8 (3), 539.8 (26)] [M⁺], {157.9 (0.1), 156.9 (2), 156.2 (0.2), 154.9 (3)}.

Spectroscopic data are in accordance with those described in the literature.¹

1,3,5-Tris(4-cyanophenyl)benzene (1)

According to a procedure reported in the literature,² 1,3,5-tris(4-bromophenyl)benzene 7 (355 mg, 654 µmol, 1.00 eq.) and CuCN (198 mg, 2.21 mmol, 3.38 eq.) in DMF (3.5 ml) were heated at 155 °C for 25 h under an atmosphere of argon. After cooling the mixture to 90 °C, ethylenediamine (1.5 ml) and water (10 ml) were added and the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3x25 ml). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The purification by FC (CH₂Cl₂) yielded triphenylbenzene **TPB 1** as a white solid (208 mg, 545 µmol, 83%).
**1H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 293 K): δ = 7.81 (s, 3H, Aryl-H), 7.80-7.78 (m, 12H, Aryl-H).

**13C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 293 K): δ = 144.7 (C), 141.4 (C), 133.0 (CH), 128.1 (CH), 126.5 (CH), 118.7 (C), 112.2 (C).

**HRMS** (ESI): m/z calculated for [M+Na]^+: 404.1158; found: 404.1153.

Spectroscopic data are in accordance with those described in the literature.²

**2,6-Dicyanonaphthalene (8)**

Based on a procedure reported in the literature,² 2,6-dibromonaphthalene (186 mg, 650 µmol, 1.00 eq.) and CuCN (131 mg, 1.46 mmol, 2.25 eq.) in DMF (3.5 ml) were heated at 155 °C for 25 h under an atmosphere of argon. After cooling the mixture to 90 °C, ethylenediamine (1.5 ml) and water (10 ml) were added and the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3x20 ml). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The purification by FC (CH₂Cl₂) yielded dinitrile 8 as a white solid (105 mg, 589 µmol, 91%).

**1H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 293 K): δ = 8.31-8.28 (m, 2H, Aryl-H), 8.02 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Aryl-H), 7.76 (dd, J = 8.5 Hz, 1.3 Hz, 2H, Aryl-H). **HRMS** (ESI): m/z calculated for [M+Na]^+: 201.0423; found: 201.0433.

Spectroscopic data are in accordance with those described in the literature.³

**p-Terphenyl-3,3''-dicarbonitril (9)**

According to GPI with 1,4-diiodobenzene (166 mg, 503 µmol, 1.00 eq.), 3-cyanophenylboronic acid (0.22 g, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 eq.) and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (47 mg, 41 µmol, 8.1 mol%) in THF (30 ml) and aqueous K₂CO₃ solution (2 M, 2.5 ml) at 80 °C for 70 h. FC (CH₂Cl₂:pentane 2:1) yielded dinitrile 9 as a colorless solid (114 mg, 407 µmol, 81%).

**1H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 293 K): δ = 7.92 (dd, J = J = 1.5 Hz, 2H, Aryl-H), 7.87 (ddd, J = J = 7.7 Hz, J = 1.5 Hz, 2H, Aryl-H), 7.71-7.64 (m, 6H, Aryl-H), 7.58 (dd, J = J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, Aryl-H).
13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3, 293 K): δ = 141.7 (C), 139.1 (C), 131.5 (CH), 131.2 (CH), 130.8 (CH), 129.9 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 118.8 (C), 113.4 (C). HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for [M+Na]+: 303.0893; found: 303.0905. IR (neat): 3069w, 3041w, 2231m, 1812w, 1699w, 1578m, 1525m, 1477m, 1435m, 1395m, 1374w, 1322w, 1280w, 1259w, 1177w, 1125w, 1098w, 1055w, 1014m, 972w, 906m, 849m, 843m, 832m, 781s, 734w, 687s, 619m, 594m, 568m, 531m, 513m. MP.: 243-244 °C.

3,3’-(Anthracene-1,8-diyl)dibenzonitrile (10)

According to GP1 with 1,8-diiodoanthracene (244 mg, 568 µmol, 1.00 eq.), 3-cyanophenylboronic acid (0.25 g, 1.7 mmol, 3.0 eq.) and Pd(PPh3)4 (53 mg, 46 µmol, 8.1 mol%) in THF (10 ml) and aqueous K2CO3 solution (2 M, 1 ml) at 80 °C for 71 h. FC (pentane:EtOAc 20:1→10:1) yielded dinitrile 10 as a colorless solid (202 mg, 531 µmol, 93%).

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3, 293 K): δ = 8.59 (s, 1H, Aryl-H), 8.23 (s, 1H, Aryl-H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Aryl-H), 7.78-7.50 (m, 10H, Aryl-H), 7.41 (dd, J = 6.8 Hz, J = 1.2 Hz, 2H, Aryl-H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3, 293 K): δ = 141.7 (C), 138.0 (C), 134.5 (CH), 133.4 (CH), 132.0 (C), 131.2 (CH), 129.9 (C), 129.4 (CH), 128.9 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 127.0 (CH), 125.5 (CH), 122.3 (CH), 118.8 (C), 112.7 (C). HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for [M+Na]+: 403.1206; found: 403.1191. IR (neat): 3057w, 2957w, 2925s, 2854m, 2362m, 2337w, 1734w, 1718w, 1669w, 1653w, 1617w, 1599w, 1576m, 1559w, 1542w, 1526w, 1507w, 1481m, 1437m, 1411w, 1374w, 1322w, 1270m, 1204w, 1179w, 1096w, 909s, 877s, 807s. MP.: decomp. >170 °C.

3,3’-(Anthracene-9,10-diyl)dibenzonitrile (11)

According to GP1 with 9,10-dibromoanthracene (165 mg, 494 µmol, 1.00 eq.), 3-cyanophenylboronic acid (0.22 g, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 eq.) and Pd(PPh3)4 (46 mg, 41 µmol, 8.1 mol%) in THF (10 ml) and aqueous K2CO3 solution (2 M, 1 ml) at 80 °C for 24 h. FC (pentane:EtOAc 20:1→8:1) yielded dinitrile 11 as a yellow solid (155 mg, 407 µmol, 82%) as a mixture of diastereomers (dr 1:1).
\[ \textbf{1H NMR} \ (300 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_3, 293 \text{ K}): \delta = 7.93-7.87 \ (m, 2H, \text{Aryl-H}), \ 7.83-7.72 \ (m, 6H, \text{Aryl-H}), \ 7.62-7.51 \ (m, 4H, \text{Aryl-H}), \ 7.47-7.36 \ (m, 4H, \text{Aryl-H}). \]  
\[ \textbf{13C NMR} \ (75 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_3, 293 \text{ K}): \delta = 140.4 \ (C), \ 136.0 \ (CH), \ 135.9 \ (CH), \ 135.2 \ (C), \ 134.8 \ (CH), \ 134.8 \ (CH), \ 131.6 \ (C), \ 129.8 \ (C), \ 129.7 \ (CH), \ 129.6 \ (CH), \ 126.4 \ (CH), \ 126.1 \ (CH), \ 118.8 \ (C), \ 118.7 \ (C), \ 113.2 \ (C), \ 113.2 \ (C). \]  
\[ \textbf{HRMS} \ (ESI): m/z \text{ calculated for } [\text{M+Na}]^+: 403.1206; \text{ found: 403.1218.} \]  
\[ \textbf{IR} \ (\text{neat}): 3065\text{w}, \ 2925\text{m}, \ 2855\text{w}, \ 2362\text{m}, \ 2337\text{m}, \ 2231\text{s}, \ 1718\text{w}, \ 1685\text{w}, \ 1653\text{w}, \ 1599\text{m}, \ 1576\text{m}, \ 1559\text{w}, \ 121\text{w}, \ 1482\text{m}, \ 1457\text{w}, \ 1441\text{s}, \ 1386\text{s}, \ 1218\text{w}, \ 1171\text{w}, \ 1096\text{w}, \ 1029\text{m}, \ 1029\text{m}, \ 999\text{w}, \ 974\text{w}, \ 911\text{s}, \ 830\text{m}. \]  
\[ \textbf{MP}.: \text{ decomp.} \ >234 \degree \text{C}. \]

While the \textit{1H} NMR signals of both diastereomers overlay, some of the \textit{13C} NMR signals differ, which explains the overrepresented signals. High temperature NMR studies indicated that these signals are due to the presence of two diastereomers.

\textit{2,2'-Diaminobiphenyl (12)}

To a solution of 2,2'-dinitrobiphenyl (1.00 g, 4.09 mmol, 1.00 eq.) in MeOH (50 ml) Pd/C (0.44 g, 0.41 mmol, 10 mol%) was added and the mixture was stirred under an atmosphere of hydrogen for 23 h at RT. After filtration through a pad of celite, the solvent was removed \textit{in vacuo}. FC (EtOAc:pentane:NEt\textsubscript{3} 1:1:0.01) yielded diamine \textbf{12} as a yellow solid (627 mg, 3.40 mmol, 83%).

\[ \textbf{1H NMR} \ (300 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_3, 293 \text{ K}): \delta = 7.34–7.02 \ (m, 4H, \text{Aryl-H}), \ 7.02–6.63 \ (m, 4H, \text{Aryl-H}), \ 3.69 \ (brs, 4H, \text{NH}_2). \]  
\[ \textbf{13C NMR} \ (75 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_3, 293 \text{ K}): \delta = 144.2 \ (C), \ 131.1 \ (CH), \ 128.8 \ (CH), \ 124.6 \ (C), \ 118.8 \ (CH), \ 115.6 \ (CH). \]  
\[ \textbf{HRMS} \ (ESI): m/z \text{ calculated for } [\text{M+Na}]^+: 185.1073, \text{ found: 185.1084.} \]

Spectroscopic data are in accordance with those described in the literature.\textsuperscript{4}
N,N’-(Biphenyl-2,2’-diyl)diformamid (13)

Based on procedures reported in the literature,\textsuperscript{5,6} acetic anhydride (1.5 ml, 16 mmol, 5.0 eq.) was added to formic acid (0.60 ml, 16 mmol, 5.0 eq.) and the mixture was stirred at 55 °C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was then added dropwise to a solution of diamine 12 (585 mg, 3.18 mmol, 1.00 eq.) in THF (10 ml) at 0 °C. After warming to RT, stirring was continued for 2 h. Afterwards the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃-Lösung (20 ml). The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3x30 ml) and the combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄. After removing the solvent \textit{in vacuo}, the crude product of 13 was used for the next reaction step without further purification.

**HRMS** (ESI): m/z calculated for [M+Na]\textsuperscript{+}: 263.0791, gefunden: 263.0802.

4,9-Diazapyrene (14)

According to a procedure reported in the literature,\textsuperscript{7} crude 13 was added to a molten mixture of NaCl (2.98 g, 50.9 mmol, 16.0 eq.) and AlCl₃ (13.6 g, 102 mmol, 32.0 eq.) at 100 °C. The mixture was stirred at 230 °C for 8 h. After cooling to RT the mixture was added to an ice/water mixture (50 ml). The mixture was alkalized and extracted with toluene (2x100 ml). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 ml) and dried over MgSO₄. After removing the solvent \textit{in vacuo}, FC (EtOAc:pentane 2:1 → 3:1 → 4:1) yielded diazapyrene 14 as an orange solid (393 mg, 1.92 mmol, 61% over two steps).

\textbf{1H NMR} (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 293 K): \( \delta = 9.70 \, (s, \, 2H, \, Aryl-H), \) 8.66 (\( dd, \, J = 7.9, \, 1.0 \, Hz, \, 2H, \, Aryl-H) \), 8.40 (\( dd, \, J = 7.7 \, Hz, \, 1.0 \, Hz, \, 2H, \, Aryl-H) \), 8.26 (\( dd, \, J = J = 7.8 \, Hz, \, 2H, \, Aryl-H) \). \textbf{13C NMR} (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 293 K): \( \delta = \) 154.5 (CH), 141.9 (C), 130.0 (CH), 128.8 (CH), 125.8 (CH), 125.2 (C), 120.4 (C). **HRMS** (ESI): m/z calculated for [M+H]\textsuperscript{+}: 205.0766, found: 205.0745. **IR** (neat): 3368s, 2362m, 2335m, 1684w, 1653w, 1572w, 1475s, 1253m, 937w, 841w, 789s, 718m, 691m. **MP.**: 211-214 °C.
4,9-Diaza-3,8-diphenylpyrene (3)

Based on a procedure reported in the literature, 4,9-diazapyrene 14 (122 mg, 598 µmol, 1.00 eq.) and 1,3-dimesitylimidazolium chloride (IMes·HCl, 24.5 mg, 71.9 µmol, 12.0 mol%) were added to an oven-dried schlenk tube and brought into an Ar filled glovebox. Rh$_2$(OAc)$_4$ (15.9 mg, 36.0 µmol, 6.01 mol%) and NaOtBu (144 mg, 1.50 mmol, 2.51 eq.) were added to the schlenk tube and taken outside the box. Afterwards, toluene (0.6 ml) and bromobenzene (124 µL, 1.18 mmol, 1.98 eq.) were transferred into the tube under a positive stream of Ar and the reaction mixture was stirred vigorously for 24 h at 95 °C. After removing the solvent in vacuo, FC (EtOAc:pentane 1:2→2:1→3:1) and several recrystallizations (toluene) yielded diazapyrene DP 3 as an orange solid (30 mg, 84 µmol, 14%).

$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 293 K): $\delta = 9.73$ (s, 2H, Aryl-H), 8.43 ($d, J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H, Aryl-H), 8.32 ($d, J = 7.9$ Hz, 2H, Aryl-H), 7.93-7.90 ($m, 4H, Aryl-H$), 7.64-7.58 ($m, 4H, Aryl-H$), 7.54-7.48 ($m, 2H, Aryl-H$). $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 293 K): $\delta = 154.3$ (CH), 141.9 (C), 139.5 (C), 139.3 (C), 131.3 (CH), 130.6 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 128.2 (CH), 125.2 (CH), 124.7 (C), 121.7 (C). HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for [M+H]$^+$: 357.1386, found 357.1387. IR (neat): 2958m, 2925s, 2854m, 2160w, 1672w, 1579w, 1477s, 1223w, 1012w, 991w, 910w, 827w, 774s, 730m, 693s. MP: decomp. >268 °C

4,9-Diaza-3,8-bis(3,5-phenylphen-1-yl)pyrene (4)

Based on a procedure reported in the literature, 4,9-diazapyrene 14 (82 mg, 0.40 mmol, 1.0 eq.), 5'-bromo-1,1':3',1''-terphenyl (247 mg, 799 µmol, 1.99 eq.) and IMes·HCl (16.4 mg, 48.1 mol, 12.0 mol%) were added to an oven-dried schlenk tube and brought into an Ar filled glovebox. Rh$_2$(OAc)$_4$ (10.6 mg, 24.0 mmol, 5.97 mol%) and NaOtBu (96.1 mg, 1.00 mmol, 2.49 eq.) were added to the schlenk tube and taken outside the box. Afterwards, toluene (0.4 ml) was transferred into the tube under a positive stream of Ar and the reaction mixture was stirred vigorously for 24 h at 95 °C. The reaction mixture was then cooled to RT and filtrated
through a silica pad. After removing the solvent in vacuo, FC (EtOAc:pentane 0:1→1:20) yielded diazapyrene DAP 4 as an orange solid (45 mg, 68 µmol, 17%).

\[ \text{1}^H \text{ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl}_3, 293 K): \delta = 9.76 (s, 2H, Aryl-H), 8.51-8.38 (m, 4H, Aryl-H), 8.14 (s, 4H, Aryl-H), 7.97 (s, 2H, Aryl-H), 7.80 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 8H, Aryl-H), 7.51 (m, 8H, Aryl-H), 7.41 (m, 4H, Aryl-H). \]

\[ \text{1}^3C \text{ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl}_3, 293 K): \delta = 154.4 (CH), 141.9 (C), 141.7 (C), 141.4 (C), 140.1 (C), 139.6 (C), 130.6 (CH), 129.3 (CH), 129.0 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 126.1 (CH), 125.3 (CH), 124.8 (C), 121.6 (C). \]

\[ \text{HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for [M+H]^+: 661.2638, found 661.2616.} \]

\[ \text{IR (neat): 3056w, 3032w, 2926w, 2985w, 1653w, 1594m, 1576m, 1485m, 1465m, 1424w, 1411w, 1353w, 1286w, 1248w, 1223w, 1189w, 1156w, 1127w, 1075w, 1031w, 995w, 934w, 881m, 827m, 757s, 730m, 696m.} \]

\[ \text{MP: decomp. >235 °C.} \]

4,4’-Dibromobiphenyl-2,2’-dicarboxylic acid (15)

Based on a procedure reported in the literature,\(^9\) diphenic acid (1.45 g, 6.00 mmol, 1.00 eq.) was dissolved in concentrated H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) (18 ml) and cooled to 0 °C. Dibromoisocyanuric acid (1.76 g, 6.13 mmol, 1.02 eq.) was added in portions and the resulting mixture was stirred at RT for 18 h. Afterwards it was added to ice water (180 ml). The precipitate was filtrated, washed with water (2x30 ml) and pentane (2x 10 ml). After drying the crude product 15 under HV it was used for the next step without further purification.

\[ \text{HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for [M−H]^-: 396.87166, found: 396.87129.} \]

4,4’-Dibromobiphenyl-2,2’-dicarboxamide (16)

Crude 15 was dissolved in SOCl\(_2\) (6 ml) and stirred at reflux for 2 h. After cooling to RT, volatiles were removed under HV. The residue was treated with toluene (6 mL) and aqueous NH\(_3\) (28%, 6 ml) was added dropwise at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred at RT for 2 h. Afterwards aqueous HCl (1 M, 10 ml) was added and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc

S10
The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and the solvents were removed in vacuo. FC (EtOAc:pentane 3:1) yielded diamide 16 as a yellow solid (960 mg, 2.41 mmol, 40%).

**1H NMR** (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆, 293 K): δ = 7.98 (s, 2H, CONH₂), 7.69-7.61 (m, 4H, Aryl-H), 7.47 (s, 2H, CONH₂), 7.04 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, Aryl-H). **13C NMR** (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆, 293 K): δ = 169.1 (CONH₂), 138.5 (C), 136.7 (C), 131.9 (CH), 131.2 (CH), 129.7 (CH), 120.8 (C). **HRMS** (ESI): m/z calculated for [M+Na]⁺: 418.90012, found: 418.90002. **IR** (neat): 3319w, 3170w, 1729w, 1661s, 1610m, 1582m, 1553w, 1466w, 1411m, 1372m, 1253w, 1157w, 1085w, 1045w, 1004m, 894w, 824w. **MP**: decomp. >207 °C.

**4,4’Dibromobiphenyl-2,2’-dicarbonitrile (17)**

Diamide 16 (958 mg, 2.41 mmol, 1.00 eq.) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (25 ml) and NEt₃ (5.3 ml, 38 mmol, 16 eq.) was added at 0 °C. After the dropwise addition of TFAA (3.3 ml, 24 mmol, 9.8 eq.) the resulting mixture was allowed to warm to RT and then stirred at this temperature for 25 h. The reaction was quenched upon addition of H₂O (50 ml) and EtOAc (100 ml). The phases were separated and the organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (100 ml) and dried over MgSO₄. Recrystallization (CH₂Cl₂/pentane) yielded dinitrile 17 as a yellow solid (149 mg, 412 µmol, 17%).

**1H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 293 K): δ = 7.96 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 2H, Aryl-H), 7.86 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, 2H, Aryl-H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Aryl-H). **13C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 293 K): δ = 139.4 (C), 136.5 (CH), 136.3 (CH), 131.9 (CH), 123.8 (C), 116.1 (C), 114.2 (C). **HRMS** (ESI): m/z calculated for [M+Na]⁺: 382.8790, found: 382.8792. **IR** (neat): 2963w, 2928w, 2232w, 2201w, 2163w, 2099m, 1972w, 1584w, 1465m, 1260s, 1187w, 1091s, 1018s, 903w, 821s, 805s. **MP**: 250-252 °C.
[1,1’:4’,1”’:4’’,1”’’-Quarterphenyl]-2’’,3’’-dicarbonitrile (5)

According to GP1 with dinitrile 17 (109 mg, 301 µmol, 1.00 eq.), phenylboronic acid (110 g, 902 µmol, 3.00 eq.) and Pd(PPh3)4 (28 mg, 24 µmol, 8.0 mol%) in THF (1 ml) and aqueous K2CO3 solution (2 M, 0.10 ml) at 80 °C for 69 h. Instead of CH2Cl2, CHCl3 (6x5 ml) was used for extraction and recrystallization (CH2Cl2:pentane) yielded QDN 5 as a colorless solid (104 mg, 292 µmol, 97%).

1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3, 293 K): δ = 8.05 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 2H, Aryl-H), 7.94 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.0 Hz, 2H, Aryl-H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, Aryl-H), 7.67-7.62 (m, 4H, Aryl-H), 7.56-7.42 (m, 6H, Aryl-H). 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3, 293 K): δ = 142.7 (C), 139.9 (C), 138.3 (C), 132.3 (CH), 131.6 (CH), 131.3 (CH), 129.4 (CH), 128.9 (CH), 127.3 (CH), 117.9 (C), 113.0 (C). HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for [M+Na]⁺: 379.1206, found: 379.1206. IR (neat): 2934m, 2923m, 2855w, 2554w, 2416w, 2272m, 2226m, 2159s, 2010m, 1599w, 1473s, 1449w, 1380w, 1301w, 1260w, 1032w, 897w, 843w, 772s, 763s, 699s, 587m. MP: >300 °C.
2. Extended analysis of additional STM and DFT results

a. Dinitriles measurements.

SI-Figure 1. Overview of various dinitriles we measured at Au(111). (a-d) The upper half shows chemical structures and the lower shows their respective high resolution STM images at Au(111) surface (a, 4 × 4 nm², -2 V, 50 pA; b, 5 × 5 nm², -0.5 V, 300 pA; c, 4.4 × 4.4 nm², -2.5 V, 10 pA; d, 5.8 × 5.8 nm², -2 V, 10 pA).

It is necessary to point out that all efforts on various dinitriles towards polymerization failed. No covalent coupling of these dinitriles was clearly identified (for all Ag(111), Cu(111) and Au(111) cases), only self-assembly and coordinated chains (for Cu(111) case) were observed.
b. Low coverage case of TPB 1 on Au(111) surface

SI-Figure 2. STM image of TPB 1 deposited on an Au(111) substrate which was at room temperature during the deposition (42 × 42 nm², -1.5 V, 10 pA). The coverage is much lower than 1 ML (monolayer) controlled by only 20% of the deposition time needed for 1 ML (see manuscript Figure 1a)).

It is shown that TPB 1 aggregates in big islands with the same self-assembly structure on Au(111) surface for high and low coverage case. No obvious coverage dependency was observed.

c. TPB molecule at Au(111) surface.
**SI-Figure 3.** High resolution STM image of the organometallic network. (a). Overview STM image (16.8 × 16.8 nm², -0.9 V, 200 pA). (b). Zoomed-in STM image (8.4 × 8.4 nm², -0.9 V, 200 pA). One gold adatom was highlighted by a red circle. The center-to-center distance $d$ is measured to be $2.00 \pm 0.02$ nm.

With a specific tip (maybe terminated by one molecule), the gold adatoms can be identified between the organic molecules. This is best visible at the edge of the organometallic network. In case of the dimeric structure no adatoms were clearly observed inside the structure.

**SI-Figure 4.** STM image at the edge of a closely packed self-assembled structure after thermal annealing to 240 °C (17 × 17 nm², 0.5 V, 10 pA).
SI-Figure 5. STM image of the TPB sample after thermal annealing to 283 °C (84 × 84 nm², 2 V, 10 pA).
d. Distance measurements, STM manipulations towards $d$-TPB 2.

**SI-Figure 6:** Distance measurements and lineprofiles of $d$-TPB 2. In (a) and (c), the distance is calculated between former core benzene rings in the TPB along the lineprofile. The former core benzene rings of TPB were calibrated by three intersectant center lines (green) along the feature of the former TPB. Ten different molecules are measured independently. The given length is the average value and the error is the standard error of the mean. In (a), the distance shown here was measured to be 1.16 nm, and the average distance of 10 measurements is $1.16 \pm 0.02$ nm. In (c), the distance shown here was measured to be 1.15 nm, and the average distance of 10 measurements is $1.15 \pm 0.02$ nm. However, in (b), the image was obtained by a specific tip without clear feature
of the terminal benzene rings. It is difficult to calibrate the center of the benzene ring. The attached triangular features at the ends of the DP molecules were assigned as the benzene rings and the center was used for distance measurements. The average distance of 10 measurements is $1.16 \pm 0.02$ nm. The distance $L_1$ in DP was measured to show that the distances $L$, $L_1$ and $L_2$ are comparable.

SI-Figure 7. STM manipulation towards $d$-TPB 2. (a) Before and (b) after manipulation (acquired at 0.5 V and 1 V, 100 pA, $12.5 \times 12.5$ nm$^2$, respectively). The directions of manipulation were marked by black arrows.

The STM manipulation 1 and 2 were towards the nitrile coordinated TPB molecules, which turns out they can be separated from the networks individually. STM manipulation 3 towards to one TPB coordinated with one $d$-TPB 2 dimer, which turns out that the $d$-TPB 2 dimer was rotated as a whole and the nitrile-coordination was bent.

e. Computational details, optimized structures of $d$-TPB 2 and DAP 4 at Au(111) and STM simulation

Computational Details:

Periodic DFT calculations (surface simulations) were carried out using VASP 5.4.4\textsuperscript{10-12} and projector-augmented-wave-based pseudopotentials\textsuperscript{13,14} in the 5.2 PBE variant. To describe
exchange and correlation, the PBE functional was used\textsuperscript{15,16} and long-range dispersion interactions were taken into account by applying the D3 dispersion correction\textsuperscript{17} with Becke–Johnson damping.\textsuperscript{18-21} The basis set was limited at an energy cutoff of 500 eV and the k-space was sampled using 1x2x1 k-points. The surface was modeled by a three-layer p(12x8) Au(111) slab with 15 Å vacuum separation based on a calculated lattice constant of 4.10 Å (experimental 4.08 Å\textsuperscript{22}). During the structural relaxation, the two lowermost layers were kept fixed and the forces were converged to 0.01 eV/Å. A dipole correction along the surface normal was applied. The STM simulations were performed within the Tersoff-Hamann approximation,\textsuperscript{23} using the FHI-aims software.\textsuperscript{24} The converged geometries obtained by the VASP calculations were employed and the same structural parameters as mentioned above were used. While all possible computational parameters were also kept fixed, the 'tier 1/light' basis set and the many-body dispersion energy correction\textsuperscript{25-26} were applied. The images showing the geometries were generated using VMD 1.9.4.\textsuperscript{27} and the simulated STM images were created with the WSxM\textsuperscript{28} software.
SI-Figure 8. Top: Geometry of d-TPB 2 (left) and DAP 4 (right) from converged periodic DFT calculations (starting from the respective gas phase geometries). Bottom: STM simulations of d-TPB 2 (left, U = 0.5 V) and DAP 4 (right, U = 0.5 V).
f. t-TPB at Au(111) surface

SI-Figure 9. Trimers formed by TPB on Au(111) surface. (a) STM image of trimer 1 (7 × 7 nm², 0.6 V, 10 pA) and its probable structure sketch. (b) STM image of trimer 2 (7 × 7 nm², 1 V, 5 pA) and its probable structure sketch, the trimer 2’ and trimer 2 are diastereomers at the surface.
g. TPB molecules at Ag(111), Cu(111) and Cu(100) surfaces.

**SI-Figure 10.** TPB molecules at Ag(111), Cu(111) and Cu(100) surfaces. (a) STM images of TPB deposition at Ag(111) surface (Overview, 42.1 × 42.1 nm² and zoomed-in, 5 × 5 nm², 0.5 V, 50 pA). (b) STM images of TPB at Cu(111) surface. b-i) As grown (overview, 42 × 42 nm² and zoomed-in, 7 × 7 nm², 0.5 V, 5 pA and 10 pA, respectively); b-ii) Annealing at 170 °C (overview, 42 × 42 nm² and zoomed-in, 12 × 12 nm², 0.5 V, 50 pA); b-iii) Hot surface deposition at 185 °C (iii-1, overview, 42 × 42 nm² and high resolution, 16 × 16 nm², 0.5 V, 10 pA). (c) STM images of TPB at Cu(100) surface after annealing at 175 °C (overview, 42 × 42 nm² and zoomed-in, 17 × 17 nm², 0.5 V, 50 pA).

At Ag(111) surface, TPB will form a self-assembly structure after deposition (SI-Figure 6.a) and desorb after annealing, no reactions were identified. At Cu(111) surface, TPB formed the same self-assembly structure after deposition (SI-Figure 6.b-i), and after thermal annealing TPB formed various dimer, trimer and tetramer complexes via CN coordination or CN covalent coupling (SI-Figure 6.b-ii). Here, it is not easy to distinguish whether the dimers (especially the nonlinear linked
dimers) were linked by CN coordination or CN covalent coupling. Hot surface grow TPB slowly, can give better coordinated TPB complexes/structures and CN covalent coupling TPB-dimers, as compared with the directly annealed sample (SI-Figure 6.b-iii).

h. **Distance measurement of QDN before and after the surface reaction as well as lineprofiles.**

**SI-Figure 11.** Distance measurements of QDN and c-QDN on Au(111) after annealing to 215 °C. (a). The edge to edge distance measurement of unreacted QDN and its lineprofile along the molecule. The distance shown here is measured to be 0.83 nm, and the average distance of 10 measurements is 0.82 ± 0.02 nm. (b). The edge-to-edge distance measurement of c-QDN (after reaction) and its lineprofile along the molecule. The distance showed here is measured to be 0.68 nm, and the average distance of 10 measurements is 0.69 ± 0.02 nm. Please note that the edge-to-edge distances are highly influenced by the STM contrast and do not represent the width of the molecules. As both molecules are in the same STM image with the same contrast, it can still be shown that the distance shrinks dramatically after the reaction.
i. Optimized structures of QDN 5 and c-QDN 6 at Au(111) and STM simulation

SI-Figure 12: Top: Geometry of QDN 5 (left) and c-QDN 6 (right) from converged periodic DFT calculations (starting from the respective gas phase geometries). Bottom: STM simulations of QDN 5 (left, U = 0.5 V) and c-QDN 6 (right U = 0.5 V). The distances given in the optimized structures only show the shrinking of the molecule after the reaction. The experimentally measured edge to edge distances are larger than those calculated by DFT because of the enlargement effect by scanning probe microscopy and the influence of the contrast.
Width of DAP 4 measured in STM studies

**SI-Figure 13.** Distance measurement of DAP on Au(111) surface and its lineprofile along the molecule (5.9 nm × 5.9 nm, -0.2 V, 50 pA). The edge-to-edge distance of the diazapyrene group showed here was measured to be 0.66 nm, and the average distance of 10 measurements is 0.65 ± 0.02 nm. Again, the edge-to-edge distance could be different with different image contrast. The distance here is to show that it is comparable with the c-QDN.
3. \(^1\)H- and \(^{13}\)C-NMR spectra of new compounds

\(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\), 293 K) of \(p\)-Terphenyl-3,3''-dicarbonitril (8)

\(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl\(_3\), 293 K) of \(p\)-Terphenyl-3,3''-dicarbonitril (8)
$^1\text{H NMR}$ (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 293 K) of 3,3'-(Anthracene-1,8-diyl)dibenzonitrile (9)

$^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 293 K) of 3,3'-(Anthracene-1,8-diyl)dibenzonitrile (9)
\(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\), 293 K) of 3,3’-(Anthracene-9,10-diyl)dibenzonitrile (10)

\[^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl\(_3\), 293 K) of 3,3’-(Anthracene-9,10-diyl)dibenzonitrile (10)
$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 293 K) of 4,9-Diazapyrene (13)

$^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 293 K) of 4,9-Diazapyrene (13)
$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 293 K) of 4,9-Diaza-3,8-diphenylpyrene (3)

$^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 293 K) of 4,9-Diaza-3,8-diphenylpyrene (3)
**1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 293 K) of 4,9-Diaza-3,8-bis(3,5-phenylphen-1-yl)pyrene (4)**

**13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 293 K) of 4,9-Diaza-3,8-bis(3,5-phenylphen-1-yl)pyrene (4)**
$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 293 K) of 4,4'-Dibromobiphenyl-2,2'-dicarboxamide (15)

$^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 293 K) of 4,4'-Dibromobiphenyl-2,2'-dicarboxamide (15)
$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 293 K) of 4,4’Dibromobiphenyl-2,2’-dicarbonitrile (16)
$^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 293 K) of [1,1':4',1''':4''',1''''-Quarterphenyl]-2''',3'-dicarbonitrile (5)

$^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 293 K) of [1,1':4',1''':4''',1''''-Quarterphenyl]-2''',3'-dicarbonitrile (5)
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