(Electronic Supplementary Information)

Thiazolo[5,4-d]thiazole-Bridged Porphyrin Organic Framework as Promising Nonlinear Optical Material

Mahalaxmi Samal, Sreeramulu Valligatla, Nabil A. Saad, M. Veeramohan Rao, D. Narayana Rao, Rojalin Sahu* and Bishnu P. Biswal*<sup>a</sup><sup>,e,f</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, School of Applied Sciences, Kalinga Institute of Industrial Technology (KIIT), Deemed to be University, Bhubaneswar-24, Odisha, India. E-mail: rsahufch@kiit.ac.in; Tel: (+91)-9778127994; Fax: (+91)-674 2725113.

<sup>b</sup>Institute for Integrative Nanosciences, IFW- Dresden, 01069, Dresden, Germany.

<sup>c</sup>School of Physics, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad-500046, India.

<sup>d</sup>Department of Physics, Pondicherry University, Pondicherry - 606015, India.

<sup>e</sup>Faculty of Chemistry and Food Chemistry, Center for Advancing Electronics Dresden, Technische Universität Dresden, 01062, Dresden, Germany.

<sup>f</sup>Present Address: Max-Planck-Institute for Solid State Research, Heisenbergstraße 1, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany. E-mail: b.biswal@fkf.mpg.de.

Contents

| Section S1: General information | 2 |
| Section S2: Synthetic procedures | 3 |
| Section S3: Characterization details of Por-TzTz-POF | 6 |
| Section S4: Morphology (SEM and TEM) and XPS | 7 |
| Section S5: Nonlinear optical (NLO) properties | 10 |
| Section S6: References | 13 |
Section S1: General information

General remarks: All solvents, reagents and chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used without further purification. Meso-tetrakis(4-bromophenyl)porphyrin, 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-aminophenyl)-21H,23H-porphyrin (TAPP) was purchased from PorphyChem. Silica gel for column chromatography was used from Sigma Aldrich (pore size 60 Å, 70 – 230 mesh, 63 – 200 µm). Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were recorded on STOE STADI P diffractometer for Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å), with a scan speed of 1° min⁻¹ and a step size of 0.02° in 2θ. NMR data were recorded on a Bruker AV 300 spectrometer operating at 300 MHz for ¹H with standard Bruker plus programs at room temperature. Chemical shifts δ are given in ppm relative to TMS; coupling constants J are given in Hertz (Hz). CD₂Cl₂, δ (¹H) = 5.32 ppm were used as solvent, lock and internal standard. ¹³C CP solid-state NMR spectra were recorded on a BRUKER Ascend 800 MHz spectrometer using a commercial 2.5 mm MAS NMR probe and operating at a resonance frequency of 201.2 MHz. The MAS frequency was 18 kHz. As external standard, adamantane was used. Ramped ¹H-¹³C cross-polarization (CP, contact time: 4 ms) and SPINAL ¹H-decoupling during the signal acquisition was applied. The mass spectrometry analysis was performed on a Bruker Autoflex Speed MALDI TOF MS (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) using dithranol as matrix. UV-visible spectra were measured on an Agilent Cary 5000 UV-VIS-NIR spectrophotometer using 10 mm optical-path quartz cell at room temperature. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker Optics ALPHA-E spectrometer with a universal Zn-Se ATR (attenuated total reflection) accessory in the 600–4000 cm⁻¹. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were carried out on a TG50 analyzer (Mettler-Toledo) under N₂ atmosphere with a heating rate of 10 ºC min⁻¹. Low-pressure volumetric gas adsorption measurements were performed at 77 K for N₂, maintained by a liquid nitrogen bath, with pressures ranging from 0 to 760 Torr on a Micromeritics, TriStar II Plus automated, three-station, surface area and porosity analyzer. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller surface area was calculated within the relative pressure range 0.01 to 0.2. Total volume was calculated at P/Po = 0.99. Non-local Density Functional Theory (NLDFT) cylindrical-slit adsorption kernel for carbon was used to determine the pore size distributions. Prior to physisorption measurements the samples were evacuated at 120°C for 12 h. SEM images were obtained with a Zeiss DSM 950 scanning electron microscope and FEI, QUANTA 200 3D Scanning Electron Microscope with tungsten filament as electron source operated at 10 kV. The samples were sputtered with Au (nano-sized film) prior to imaging by a SCD 040 Balzers Union. TEM images were recorded using FEI Tecnai G2 F20 X-TWIN TEM at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. For TEM, the samples were sonicated for 15 min in 2-propanol, followed by drop casting the sample on carbon coated copper TEM grids (TED PELLA, INC. 200 mesh). Similar methodology was followed for SEM sample preparation, where in the samples were coated on Silicon Oxide surface. Steady-state and time-resolved
emission data was collected at room temperature using an Edinburgh FLS980 spectrometer. For steady-state emission, samples were excited using light output from a housed 450 W Xe lamp passed through a single grating (1800 l/mm, 250 nm blaze) Czerny-Turner monochromator and finally a bandwidth slit. Emission from the sample was passed through a double grating (1200 l/mm, 500 nm blaze) Czerny-Turner monochromator (appropriate bandwidth) and finally detected by a cooled microchannel plate photomultiplier tube (MCP-PMT) detector. The dynamics of emission decay was monitored by using the FLS980’s time-correlated single-photon counting capability (1024 channels; 100 ns window) with data collection for 5000 counts. Excitation was provided by an Edinburgh EPL-375 picosecond pulsed laser diode (375 ± 6 nm, pulse width - 68 ps) and a cooled microchannel plate photomultiplier tube (MCP-PMT) was used as the detector. Kinetics were fit with a (bi)exponential function by using the Edinburgh software package, whenever required. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed with an Axis Ultra system from Kratos equipped with a monochromatized Al Kα source. Detail scans were acquired with a pass energy of 20 eV. The peaks were fitted after subtraction of a Shirley background. A Gauss/Lorentz ratio of 30% was used for all peaks and the full width half maximum was used as a free fitting parameter. Elemental analysis (EA) was carried out with an Elementar vario EL (Elementar Analysensysteme, Hanau, Germany).

Section S2: Synthetic procedures

5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-formylphenyl)-21H,23H-porphyrin (TFPP): TFPP has been synthesized using our reported procedure. To a solution of Meso-tetrakis(4-bromophenyl)porphyrin (500 mg, 0.54 mmol) in dry diethylether (60 mL), n-buthyllithium (5.4 mL, 8.6 mmol) was added at -50°C dropwise under argon. The resulting solution was stirred for 30 min at -50 ºC, then warmed up slowly to 0 ºC, and stirred for 8 h more. After cooling down to -50°C, dry DMF (10 mL) was added. The temperature was kept for 1 h, then the resulting mixture was warmed up to room temperature and stirred overnight. 5% HCl (350 mL) was added to quench the reaction. After 30 min, NH₄OH was added at 0 ºC, and the mixture was extracted with chloroform and evaporated. The crude product was subjected to column chromatography with dichloromethane (DCM) as eluent, to give TFPP as dark purple solid (137 mg, 35% yield). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ (ppm): 10.39 (s, 4H), 8.87 (s, 8H), 8.42 (d, 8H), 8.30 (d, 8H), -2.82 (s, 2H). HR-MALDI-TOF MS for C₄₈H₃₀N₄O₄ (calcd. 726.2267): m/z = 726.2256 ([M + H]⁺). FT-IR, (cm⁻¹): 3313 (NH), 3107 (CHAr), 1694 (C=O), 1597 (C=Cphenyl), 1560 (C=Cpyrrole), 1469 (C=N), 1378 (=C-N), 1166 (CHpyrrole), 965 (CH porp. ring), 801 (CH pyr. ring), 728 (NH bending).
Figure S1. High resolution-MALDI-TOF MS spectra of TFPP with DCTB as matrix.

Figure S2. $^1$H NMR spectra of TFPP recorded in CD$_2$Cl$_2$. 
Synthesis of Por-TzTz-POF: A PYREX® tube (o.d. x i.d. = 1 x 0.8 cm² and length 18 cm) was charged with 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-formylphenyl)-21H,23H-porphyrin (TFPP) (30.2 mg, 41.6 μmol, 1 equiv.), dithiooximide (DTO) (10 mg, 83.2 μmol, 2 equiv.) and 2 mL of nitrobenzene. This mixture was sonicated for 10 min. in order to get a homogenous dispersion. The tube was then flash frozen at 77 K (liquid N₂ bath) and degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles. The tube was sealed under vacuum and then heated at 150 °C for 4 days. A brownish-black precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with ethanol, N,N'‐dimethylacetamide (DMAc) and acetone several times until the filtrate became colorless, dried at 120 °C under vacuum for 12 h to obtain Por-TzTz-POF (29 mg) in 72 % isolated yield. Anal. Calcd. (%) for (C₅₂H₂₆N₈S₄)ₙ: C, 70.10; H, 2.92; N, 12.58; S, 14.37. Found (%): C, 69.43; H, 4.41; N, 10.72; S, 9.61.

Synthesis of Por-C=N-COF: Por-C=N-COF has been synthesized using our previously reported procedure. A PYREX® tube (o.d. x i.d. = 1 x 0.8 cm² and length 18 cm) was charged with 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-formylphenyl)-21H,23H-porphyrin (TFPP) (21.5 mg, 1 eq.), 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-aminophenyl)-21H,23H-porphyrin (TAPP) (20 mg, 1 eq.) in o-dichlorobenzene and n-butanol (1: 1 by vol., 1.5 ml) in presence of 6M aqueous acetic acid (0.1 ml). This mixture was sonicated for 5 min. in order to get a homogenous dispersion and the tube was then flash frozen at 77 K (liquid N₂ bath), degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles. Finally sealed under vacuum and then heated at 120 °C for 3 days. A brownish coloured precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with N,N'‐dimethylacetamide (DMAc) ethanol, tetrahydrofuran, and acetone several times until the filtrate became colourless, dried at 100 °C under vacuum for about 12 h to obtain Por-COF-HH as light brown solid (32 mg, 77% yield). Anal. Calcd. (%) for (C₉₂H₆₈N₁₂S₈): C, 82.36; H, 5.11; N, 12.53. Found (%): C, 76.34; H, 4.63; N, 11.97.
**Section S-3: Characterization details of Por-TzTz-POF**

**Figure S3.** Comparison of FT-IR spectra of Por-TzTz-POF and respective starting materials (TFPP and DTO).

**Figure S4.** Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) profiles of Por-TzTz-POF.
Figure S5. Pore size distributions of Por-TzTz-POF calculated using NLDFT method.

Section S-4: Morphology (SEM and TEM)

Figure S6. a, b) SEM images and c, d) TEM images of Por-TzTz-POF at different magnifications.
Figure S7. X-ray photoelectron spectral (XPS) analysis of Por-C=N-COF\textsuperscript{S1} with survey scans on the top and high resolution scans on the bottom. Indium comes from the substrate. Some oxygen and carbon impurity might also be present as contamination of the Indium foil.

XPS analysis of Por-TzTz-POF and Por-C=N-COF indicates that both contains free base porphyrin, three prominent nitrogen species can be identified corresponding to the pyrolic and iminic N of porphyrin and the COF imine (C=N) bond respectively. A fourth, albeit less intense N species corresponding to a binding energy of 402.91 eV can also be observed that possibly corresponds to some oxidized N (NO\textsubscript{x}) impurities. However, in case of Por-TzTz-POF, majorly one type of S atom is present ascribe to the TzTz unit along with some oxidized S (SO\textsubscript{x}) impurities peak and that results poor fitting of the S 2p.
Figure S8. X-ray photoelectron spectral (XPS) analysis of Por-TzTz-POF with survey scans on the top and high-resolution scans at the bottom. Indium comes from the substrate. Some oxygen and carbon impurity might also be present as contamination of the Indium foil.
Figure S9. Ball and stick models of the energy optimized primary structural unit of a) Por-TzTz-POF and b) Por-C=N-COF, the dihedral angles has been marked to show case the linkage planarity of TzTz-phenyl and imine (C=N)-phenyl respectively. The optimized structural models were constructed using BIOVIA Materials Studio 2017 (17.1.0.48. Copyright © 2016 Dassault Systèmes) suite.

Section S-5: Nonlinear optical (NLO) properties

Third order nonlinear optical properties of Por-C=N-COF and Por-TzTz-POF were measured in the dispersion state [1 mg of respective solid material in 10 ml of 2-propanol; Por-C=N-COF: Molecular Weight: 1325.57 and concentration is 7.544×10⁻⁵ mol/L; Por-TzTz-POF: Molecular Weight: 890.15 g/mol and concentration is 1.1234×10⁻⁴ mol/L] using Z-scan technique with nanosecond (ns) laser pulses. The ns laser is a frequency doubled, Q-switched Nd: YAG (INDI-40, Spectra-Physics) laser, delivering 6 ns pulses at 532 nm with a repetition rate of 10 Hz. The very popular Z-scan technique, which is a focussed single beam method and translation of the sample across the focal point, was used for measuring both nonlinear refraction and nonlinear absorption. A lens with focal length of 12 cm was used to focus the Gaussian beam and the sample moved through the beam waist of the laser beam over the length of 60 mm. At the focal point, the sample experiences maximum pump intensity and which gradually decreases in either direction from the focus. The sample solution taken in 1 mm cuvette is smaller than the Rayleigh range of the focussed beam, which is calculated to be ~ 4 mm. The five level rate equations were used to fit the open aperture data in ns region.
\[
\frac{\partial N_0}{\partial t} = -\frac{\sigma_o I N_0}{\hbar \omega} - \frac{\beta I^2}{2\hbar \omega} + \frac{N_1}{\tau_{S_1}} + \frac{N_3}{\tau_{t_1}} 
\]

\[
\frac{\partial N_1}{\partial t} = \frac{\sigma_o I N_0}{\hbar \omega} - \frac{\sigma_1 I N_1}{\hbar \omega} - \frac{N_1}{\tau_{S_1}} - \frac{N_1}{\tau_{isc}} + \frac{N_2}{\tau_{s_1}} 
\]

\[
\frac{\partial N_2}{\partial t} = \frac{\sigma_1 I N_1}{\hbar \omega} + \frac{\beta I^2}{2\hbar \omega} - \frac{N_2}{\tau_{s_1}} 
\]

\[
\frac{\partial N_3}{\partial t} = -\frac{\sigma_2 I N_3}{\hbar \omega} - \frac{N_3}{\tau_{t_1}} + \frac{N_1}{\tau_{isc}} + \frac{N_4}{\tau_{t_n}} 
\]

\[
\frac{\partial N_4}{\partial t} = -\frac{\sigma_3 I N_3}{\hbar \omega} - \frac{N_4}{\tau_{t_n}} 
\]

\[N = N_1 + N_2 + N_3 + N_4 + N_{T_1}\]

Where, \(N_j\) is the population per cubic centimetre of state \(S_j\), while \(j = 1, 2, 3\); \(h\) is the reduced Planck constant, \(\omega\) is the laser frequency and \(\tau_{i}\) is the lifetime for state \(S_i\), while \(i = 1, n\).

\[
I(Z, t) = I_{oo} \left( \frac{\omega_o^2}{\omega^2(Z)} \right) \cdot \exp \left( -\frac{2r^2}{\omega^2(Z)} \right) \cdot \exp \left[ \frac{-t^2}{\tau_p^2} \right] 
\]

\[
\omega(Z) = \omega_o \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{Z}{Z_o} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} 
\]

\[
Z_o = \frac{\pi \omega_o^2}{\lambda} 
\]

\(\alpha_o\) is linear absorption coefficient, \(\beta\) is the two photon absorption coefficient (TPA), \(Z_o\) is Rayleigh range \(\omega_o\) is the beam waist at focus, \(I\) is the intensity as a function of \(r, t, \) and \(z\), \(I_{oo}\) is peak intensity at the focus of the Gaussian beam, and \(\tau_p\) is the input pulse width used. The differential equation is solved numerically. The differential equation is integrated temporally and spatially. Assuming the input beam to be a Gaussian, the limits of integration for \(r, t, \) and \(z\) are varied from 0 to \(\infty\), -\(\infty\) to \(\infty\), and 0 to \(L\) (length of the sample), respectively.

\[
\frac{dl}{dz} = -(\beta I^2 + \sigma_o NI + \sigma_1 N_1 I + \sigma_2 N_3 I) 
\]

Where, \(\beta\) is two-photon absorption coefficient (TPA), \(\sigma_o\) is ground state absorption cross section and \(\sigma_1\) is first excited state absorption cross section coefficient and \(\sigma_2\) is second excited state absorption cross section coefficient. While modelling, we introduced the saturation for both \(\sigma_1\) and \(\beta\) as

\[
\frac{\sigma_1}{\left(1 + \frac{I(Z)}{I_{sat}}\right)} 
\]

and
\[
\frac{\beta}{(1 + \left( \frac{I}{I_{\text{sat}}} \right)^2)}
\]
to explain the switching behaviour. Where \( I_{\text{sat}} \) is saturation intensity of \( S_n \) state. For porphyrins molecules, \( \sigma_1 \) is taken as effective excited state absorption cross section which includes the \( S_1 \rightarrow S_n \) and \( T_1 \rightarrow T_n \) of porphyrin molecules and \( \beta \) is taken as effective TPA coefficient for these compounds.

Table S1: Two photon absorption co-efficient (\( \beta \)), ground state (\( \sigma_0 \)), first excited state (\( \sigma_1 \)) and second excited state absorption coefficients (\( \sigma \)) for Por-C=N-COF and Por-TzTz-POF. \( \sigma_1/\sigma_0 \) represents the figure of merit (FoM).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>( \beta ) (cm/GW)</th>
<th>( \sigma_0 ) ((10^{-19})\text{cm}^2)</th>
<th>( \sigma_1 ) ((10^{-19})\text{cm}^2)</th>
<th>( \sigma_2 ) ((10^{-19})\text{cm}^2)</th>
<th>FoM ((\sigma_1/\sigma_0))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Por-C=N-COF(^{S1})</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>3534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Por-TzTz-POF</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>7800</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>5571</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S2: A list of the nonlinear optical parameters of different materials including metalloporphyrins, graphene, graphene-porphyrin composites, coordination polymers (CPs or MOFs) and COFs measured using Z-scan technique.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Measurement condition</th>
<th>NLO parameters</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PorCOF-ZnNi</td>
<td>Z-scan, 532 nm, 6 ns</td>
<td>4170 cm/GW, FoM = 3762</td>
<td>S1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PorCOF-ZnCu</td>
<td>Z-scan, 532 nm, 6 ns</td>
<td>4470 cm/GW, FoM = 3565</td>
<td>S1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D Tetrazine chromophore MOFs (1-3)</td>
<td>Z-scan, 532 nm, 5 ns</td>
<td>( \beta = 0.28-0.46 \text{cm/GW} )</td>
<td>S4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel-p-benzenedicarboxylic acid MOF (Ni-MOF)</td>
<td>Z-scan, 800 nm</td>
<td>( \beta_{\text{eff}} = -3 \times 10^{-2} \text{cm/GW} )</td>
<td>S5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa-TAPA COF</td>
<td>Z-scan, 532 nm, 10 Hz</td>
<td>Optical limiting threshold = 2.38 J/cm(^2)</td>
<td>S6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphene</td>
<td>Z-scan, 532 nm, 6 ns</td>
<td>900 cm/GW, FoM = 5.68</td>
<td>S7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphene-Cu-porphyrin</td>
<td>Z-scan, 532 nm, 6 ns</td>
<td>4720 cm/GW, FoM = 45.78</td>
<td>S7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphene-Zn-porphyrin</td>
<td>Z-scan, 532 nm, 6 ns</td>
<td>3570 cm/GW, FoM = 43.67</td>
<td>S7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu-porphyrin</td>
<td>Z-scan, 532 nm, 6 ns</td>
<td>132 cm/GW, FoM = 19.75</td>
<td>S7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-porphyrin</td>
<td>Z-scan, 532 nm, 6 ns</td>
<td>366 cm/GW, FoM = 25.68</td>
<td>S7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure of merit (FoM) = \( \sigma_1/\sigma_0 \), where, \( \sigma_1 \) is first excited state absorption cross-section and \( \sigma_0 \) is ground state absorption cross-section.
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